

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Reorganized Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	X	

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, Darlene Calderon, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am employed by Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, the Court appointed claims and noticing agent for the Reorganized Debtors in the above-captioned cases.

On November 16, 2010, I caused to be served the documents listed below (i) upon the parties listed on Exhibit A hereto via electronic notification, and (ii) upon the party listed on Exhibit B hereto via postage pre-paid U.S. mail:

- 1) Reorganized Debtors' Second Supplemental Reply with Respect to Proofs of Claim Numbers 10123 and 10393 (Heraeus Entities) ("Second Supplemental Reply - Heraeus Entities") (Docket No. 20802) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit C]
- 2) (I) Notice of Adjournment of Evidentiary Hearing with Respect to Debtors' Objection to Proofs of Claim Numbers 1294 and 1301 Filed by Ohio Bureau of Workers' Compensation and (II) Notice of Sufficiency Hearing with Respect to Debtors' Objection to Proof of Claim Number 1294 Filed by Ohio Bureau of Workers' Compensation ("Notice of Adjournment of Claims Objection Hearing as to Proofs of Claim Numbers 1294 and 1301 and Notice of Sufficiency Hearing as to Proof of Claim Number 1294") (Docket No. 20803) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit D]
- 3) Notice of Claims Objection Hearing with Respect to Debtors' Objection to Proof of Claim Number 14955 (Technology Properties Ltd.) (Docket No. 20804) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit E]
- 4) Notice of Claims Objection Hearing with Respect to Reorganized Debtors' Objection to Proof of Administrative Expense Claim Number 19081 (All Tool Sales, Inc.) (Docket No. 20805) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit F]

- 5) Notice of Claims Objection Hearing with Respect to Reorganized Debtors' Objection to Proofs of Administrative Expense Claim Numbers 18797 and 19718 (Bing Metals Group, LLC) (Docket No. 20806) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit G]
- 6) Notice of Sufficiency Hearing with Respect to Reorganized Debtors' Objection to Proofs of Administrative Expense Claim Numbers 19063, 19134, 19135, 19136 and 20017 (Docket No. 20807) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit H]
- 7) Reorganized Debtors' Notice of Withdrawal of Debtors' Seventeenth Omnibus Claims Objection with Respect to Proof of Claim Number 16576 ("Notice of Withdrawal of Seventeenth Omnibus Claims Objection with Respect to Proof of Claim Number 16576") (Docket No. 20808) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit I]
- 8) Reorganized Debtors' Supplemental Reply with Respect to Proof of Administrative Expense Claim No. 19571 (Joe N. Swan) ("Supplemental Reply - Joe N. Swan") (Docket No. 20809) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit J]
- 9) Reorganized Debtors' Supplemental Reply with Respect to Proof of Administrative Expense Claim No. 19570 (Emma C. Kyles) ("Supplemental Reply - Emma C. Kyles") (Docket No. 20810) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit K]
- 10) Reorganized Debtors' Supplemental Reply with Respect to Proof of Administrative Expense Claim No. 19566 (Kendrick D. Holmes) ("Supplemental Reply - Kendrick D. Holmes") (Docket No. 20811) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit L]
- 11) Reorganized Debtors' Supplemental Reply with Respect to Proof of Administrative Expense Claim No. 19567 (Cathy L. Anderson) ("Supplemental Reply - Cathy L. Anderson") (Docket No. 20812) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit M]

On November 16, 2010, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit N hereto via overnight mail:

- 12) Reorganized Debtors' Second Supplemental Reply with Respect to Proofs of Claim Numbers 10123 and 10393 (Heraeus Entities) ("Second Supplemental Reply - Heraeus Entities") (Docket No. 20802) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit C]

On November 16, 2010, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the party listed on Exhibit O hereto via overnight mail:

- 13) (I) Notice of Adjournment of Evidentiary Hearing with Respect to Debtors' Objection to Proofs of Claim Numbers 1294 and 1301 Filed by Ohio Bureau of Workers' Compensation and (II) Notice of Sufficiency Hearing with Respect to Debtors' Objection to Proof of Claim Number 1294 Filed by Ohio Bureau of Workers' Compensation ("Notice of Adjournment of Claims Objection Hearing as to Proofs of Claim Numbers 1294 and 1301 and Notice of Sufficiency Hearing as to Proof of Claim Number 1294") (Docket No. 20803) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit D]

On November 16, 2010, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit P hereto via overnight mail:

- 14) Notice of Claims Objection Hearing with Respect to Debtors' Objection to Proof of Claim Number 14955 (Technology Properties Ltd.) (Docket No. 20804) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit E]

On November 16, 2010, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit Q hereto via overnight mail:

- 15) Notice of Claims Objection Hearing with Respect to Reorganized Debtors' Objection to Proof of Administrative Expense Claim Number 19081 (All Tool Sales, Inc.) (Docket No. 20805) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit F]

On November 16, 2010, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the party listed on Exhibit R hereto via overnight mail:

- 16) Notice of Claims Objection Hearing with Respect to Reorganized Debtors' Objection to Proofs of Administrative Expense Claim Numbers 18797 and 19718 (Bing Metals Group, LLC) (Docket No. 20806) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit G]

On November 16, 2010, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit S hereto via overnight mail:

- 17) Notice of Sufficiency Hearing with Respect to Reorganized Debtors' Objection to Proofs of Administrative Expense Claim Numbers 19063, 19134, 19135, 19136 and 20017 (Docket No. 20807) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit H]

On November 16, 2010, I caused to be served the document listed below (i) upon the party listed on Exhibit T hereto via overnight mail, and (ii) upon the party listed on Exhibit U hereto via electronic notification:

- 18) Reorganized Debtors' Notice of Withdrawal of Debtors' Seventeenth Omnibus Claims Objection with Respect to Proof of Claim Number 16576 ("Notice of Withdrawal of Seventeenth Omnibus Claims Objection with Respect to Proof of Claim Number 16576") (Docket No. 20808) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit I]

On November 16, 2010, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit V hereto via overnight mail:

- 19) Reorganized Debtors' Supplemental Reply with Respect to Proof of Administrative Expense Claim No. 19571 (Joe N. Swan) ("Supplemental Reply - Joe N. Swan") (Docket No. 20809) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit J]

On November 16, 2010, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit W hereto via overnight mail:

- 20) Reorganized Debtors' Supplemental Reply with Respect to Proof of Administrative Expense Claim No. 19570 (Emma C. Kyles) ("Supplemental Reply - Emma C. Kyles") (Docket No. 20810) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit K]

On November 16, 2010, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit X hereto via overnight mail:

- 21) Reorganized Debtors' Supplemental Reply with Respect to Proof of Administrative Expense Claim No. 19566 (Kendrick D. Holmes) ("Supplemental Reply - Kendrick D. Holmes") (Docket No. 20811) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit L]

On November 16, 2010, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the parties listed on Exhibit Y hereto via overnight mail:

- 22) Reorganized Debtors' Supplemental Reply with Respect to Proof of Administrative Expense Claim No. 19567 (Cathy L. Anderson) ("Supplemental Reply - Cathy L. Anderson") (Docket No. 20812) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit M]

On November 17, 2010, I caused to be served the document listed below upon the party listed on Exhibit Z hereto via overnight mail:

- 23) Reorganized Debtors' Notice of Withdrawal of Debtors' Seventeenth Omnibus Claims Objection with Respect to Proof of Claim Number 16576 ("Notice of Withdrawal of Seventeenth Omnibus Claims Objection with Respect to Proof of Claim Number 16576") (Docket No. 20808) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit I]

Dated: November 18, 2010

/s/ Darlene Calderon

Darlene Calderon

State of California
County of Los Angeles

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 18th day of November, 2010, by Darlene Calderon, proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who appeared before me.

Signature: /s/ Michelle Cruz

Commission Expires: 1/2/14

EXHIBIT A

Post-Emergence Master Service List

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Deborah L. Thorne Kathleen L. Matsoukas	One N Wacker Drive	Suite 4400	Chicago	IL	60606	312-357-1313	dthorne@btlaw.com kmatsoukas@btlaw.com	Counsel to Johnson Controls Battery Group, Inc.; Johnson Controls, Inc. (Power Solutions)
Delphi Automotive Systems LLP	Sean Corcoran Karen Craft David M. Sherbin	5725 Delphi Drive		Troy	MI	48098	248-813-2000	sean.p.corcoran@delphi.com karen.i.craft@delphi.com david.sherbin@delphi.com	Delphi Automotive Systems LLP
DPH Holdings Corp.	John Brooks	5725 Delphi Drive		Troy	MI	48098	248-813-2143	john.brooks@delphi.com	Reorganized Debtors
Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP	Frank L. Gorman, Esq. Robert B. Weiss, Esq.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226-3583	313-465-7000	fgorman@honigman.com rweiss@honigman.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Ruskin Moscou Faltischek PC	Jeffrey A. Wurst, Esq.	1425 RXR Plaza	15th Floor	Uniondale	NY	11556	516-663-6535	jwurst@rmfpc.com	
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	Ron E. Meisler	155 N Wacker Drive	Suite 2700	Chicago	IL	60606-1720	312-407-0700	rmeisler@skadden.com	Counsel to the Reorganized Debtor
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Harvey R. Miller Robert J. Lemons	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153	212-310-8500	harvey.miller@weil.com robert.lemons@weil.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Adalberto Cañadas Castillo		Avda Ramon de Carranza	10-1º	Cadiz		11006	Spain	34 956 226 311	adalberto@canadas.com	Representative to DASE
Adler Pollock & Sheehan PC	Joseph Avanzato	One Citizens Plz 8th Fl		Providence	RI	02903		401-274-7200	javanzato@apslaw.com	Attorneys for Fry's Metals Inc. and Specialty Coatings Systems Eft
Airgas, Inc.	David Boyle	259 Radnor-Chester Road, Suite 100	P.O. Box 6675	Radnor	PA	19087-8675		610-902-6028	david.boyle@airgas.com	Counsel to Airgas, Inc.
Akebono Brake Corporaton	Brandon J. Kessinger	310 Ring Road		Elizabethtown	KY	42701		270-234-5580	bkessinger@akebono-usa.com	Representative for Akebono Corporation
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, LLP	Christina M. Padien	2029 Centure Park East	Suite 2400	Los Angeles	CA	90067		310-229-1000	cpadien@akingump.com	Counsel to Wamco, Inc.
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, LLP	David M Dunn	1333 New Hampshire Ave NW		Washington	DC	20036		202-887-4000	ddunn@akingump.com	Counsel to TAI Unsecured Creditors Liquidating Trust
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, LLP	Ira S Dizengoff	One Bryant Park		New York	NY	10036		212-872-1000	idezengoff@akingump.com	Counsel to TAI Unsecured Creditors Liquidating Trust
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP	Michael S. Greger	1900 Main Street	Fifth Floor	Irvine	CA	92614-7321		949-553-1313	mgreger@allenmatkins.com	Counsel to Kilroy Realty, L.P.
Alliance for Sustainable Energy LLC	National Renewable Energy Laboratory	Jim Martin Senior Attorney	1617 Golden Blvd MS 1734	Golden	CO	80401		303-384-7497	jim.martin@nrel.gov	Counsel for National Renewable Energy Laboratory
Alston & Bird, LLP	Craig E. Freeman	90 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10016		212-210-9400	craig.freeman@alston.com	Counsel to Cadence Innovation, LLC
Alston & Bird, LLP	Dennis J. Connolly; David A. Wender	1201 West Peachtree Street		Atlanta	GA	30309		404-881-7269	dconnolly@alston.com dwender@alston.com	Counsel to Cadence Innovation, LLC, PD George Co, Furukawa Electric Company, Ltd., and Furukawa Electric North America APD, Inc.
American Axle & Manufacturing, Inc.	Steven R. Keyes	One Dauch Drive, Mail Code 6E-2-42		Detroit	MI	48243		313-758-4868	steven.keyes@aam.com	Representative for American Axle & Manufacturing, Inc.
Anglin, Flewelling, Rasmussen, Campbell & Trytten, LLP	Mark T. Flewelling	199 South Los Robles Avenue	Suite 600	Pasadena	CA	91101-2459		626-535-1900	mtf@afrc.com	Counsel to Stanley Electric Sales of America, Inc.
Arent Fox PLLC	Robert M. Hirsh	1675 Broadway		New York	NY	10019		212-484-3900	Hirsh.Robert@arentfox.com	Counsel to Pullman Bank and Trust Company
Arnall Golden Gregory LLP	Darryl S. Laddin	171 17th Street NW	Suite 2100	Atlanta	GA	30363-1031		404-873-8120	dladdin@agg.com	Counsel to Daishinku (America) Corp. d/b/a KDS America ("Daishinku"), SBC Telecommunications, Inc. (SBC)
Arnold & Porter LLP	Joel M. Gross	555 Twelfth Street, N.W.		Washington	D.C.	20004-1206		202-942-5000	joel_gross@aporter.com	Counsel to CSX Transportation, Inc.
ATS Automation Tooling Systems Inc.	Carl Galloway	250 Royal Oak Road		Cambridge	Ontario	N3H 4R6	Canada	519-653-4483	cgalloway@atsautomation.com	Company
Balch & Bingham LLP	Eric T. Ray	PO Box 306		Birmingham	AL	35201		205-251-8100	eray@balch.com	Attorney for Alabama Power Company
Barack, Ferrazzano, Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP	Kimberly J. Robinson	200 W Madison St Ste 3900		Chicago	IL	60606		312-984-3100	kim.robinson@bfkn.com	Counsel to Motion Industries, Inc., EIS, Inc. and Johnson Industries, Inc.
Barack, Ferrazzano, Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP	William J. Barrett	200 W Madison St Ste 3900		Chicago	IL	60606		312-984-3100	william.barrett@bfkn.com	Counsel to Motion Industries, Inc., EIS, Inc. and Johnson Industries, Inc.
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Alan K. Mills	11 S. Meridian Street		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-236-1313	alan.mills@btlaw.com	Counsel to Mays Chemical Company
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Damon R Leichty	600 1st Source Bank Center	100 North Michigan	South Bend	IN	46601		574-233-1171	damon.leichty@btlaw.com	Counsel to Bank of America, N.A.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	David M. Powlen	1000 N West Street	Suite 1200	Wilmington	DE	19801		302-888-4536	david.powlen@btlaw.com	Counsel to Howard County, Indiana
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Deborah L. Thorne	One North Wacker Drive	Suite 4400	Chicago	IL	60606		312-357-1313	deborah.thorne@btlaw.com	Counsel to Johnson Controls Battery Group, Inc.; Johnson Controls, Inc. (Power Solutions)
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	John T. Gregg	171 Monroe Avenue NW	Suite 1000	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-742-3930	jgregg@btlaw.com	Counsel to Priority Health; Clarion Corporation of America; Continental AG and Affiliates
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Kathleen L. Matsoukas	One North Wacker Drive	Suite 4400	Chicago	IL	60606		312-357-1313	kathleen.matsoukas@btlaw.com	Counsel to Johnson Controls Battery Group, Inc.; Johnson Controls, Inc. (Power Solutions); Howard County, Indiana
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Mark R. Owens	11 S. Meridian Street		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-236-1313	mark.owens@btlaw.com	Counsel to Clarion Corporation of America
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Michael K. McCrory	11 S. Meridian Street		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-236-1313	michael.mccrory@btlaw.com	Counsel to Gibbs Die Casting Corporation; Clarion Corporation of America
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Patrick E. Mears	171 Monroe Avenue NW	Suite 1000	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-742-3936	pmears@btlaw.com	Counsel to Armada Rubber Manufacturing Company, Bank of America Leasing & Leasing & Capital, LLC, & AutoCam Corporation
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Sarah Quinn Kuhny	600 1st Source Bank Center	100 North Michigan	South Bend	IN	46601		574-233-1171	sarah.kuhny@btlaw.com	Counsel to Bank of America, N.A.
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Wendy D. Brewer	11 S. Meridian Street		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-236-1313	wendy.brewer@btlaw.com	Counsel to Gibbs Die Casting Corporation
Bartlett Hackett Feinberg P.C.	Frank F. McGinn	155 Federal Street	9th Floor	Boston	MA	02110		617-422-0200	ffm@bostonbusinesslaw.com	Counsel to Iron Mountain Information Management, Inc.
Beeman Law Office	Thomas M Beeman	33 West 10th Street	Suite 200	Anderson	IN	46016		765-640-1330	tom@beemanlawoffice.com	Counsel to Madison County (Indiana) Treasurer
Bendinelli Law Office PC	Jerry Sumner	11184 Huron Street	Suite 10	Denver	CO	80234		303-940-9900	js@colawfirm.com michelle@colawfirm.com	Counsel to Jose C Alfaro
Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossman	Hannah E. Greenwald	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019		212-554-1411	hannah@blbglaw.com	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
Berry Moorman P.C.	James P. Murphy	535 Griswold	Suite 1900	Detroit	MI	48226		313-496-1200	murph@berrymoorman.com	Counsel to Kamax L.P.; Optrex America, Inc.; GKN Sinter Metals, Inc.
Bialson, Bergen & Schwab	Kenneth T. Law, Esq.	2600 El Camino Real	Suite 300	Palo Alto	CA	94306		650-857-9500	klaw@bbslaw.com	Counsel to UPS Supply Chain Solutions, Inc..

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Bialson, Bergen & Schwab	Lawrence M. Schwab, Esq.	2600 El Camino Real	Suite 300	Palo Alto	CA	94306		650-857-9500	lschwab@bbslaw.com	Counsel to UPS Supply Chain Solutions, Inc.; Solectron Corporation; Solectron De Mexico SA de CV; Solectron Invtotronics; Coherent, Inc.; Veritas Software Corporation
Bialson, Bergen & Schwab	Thomas M. Gaa	2600 El Camino Real	Suite 300	Palo Alto	CA	94306		650-857-9500	tgaa@bbslaw.com	Counsel to Veritas Software Corporation
Bingham McCutchen LLP	Kate K Simon	One State Street		Hartford	CT	06103		860-240-2700	kate.simon@bingham.com	Counsel to Sumitomo Corporation and Sumitomo Corp. of America
Bingham McHale LLP	Whitney L Mosby	10 West Market Street	Suite 2700	Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-635-8900	wmosby@binghammchale.com	Counsel to Universal Tool & Engineering co., Inc. and M.G. Corporation
Blank Rome LLP	Marc E. Richards	The Chrysler Building	405 Lexington Avenue	New York	NY	10174		212-885-5000	mrichards@blankrome.com	Counsel to DENSO International America, Inc.
Bodman LLP	Ralph E. McDowell	100 Renaissance Center	34th Floor	Detroit	MI	48243		313-393-7592	rmcdowell@bodmanllp.com	Counsel to Freudenberg-NOK; General Partnership; Freudenberg-NOK, Inc.; Flextech, Inc.; Vibracoustic de Mexico, S.A. de C.V.; Lear Corporation; American Axle & Manufacturing, Inc.
Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC	Camille W. Hill	One Lincoln Center	18th Floor	Syracuse	NY	13202		315-218-8000	chill@bsk.com	Counsel to Marquardt GmbH and Marquardt Switches, Inc.; Tessy Plastics Corp.
Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC	Charles J. Sullivan	One Lincoln Center	18th Floor	Syracuse	NY	13202		315-218-8000	csullivan@bsk.com	Counsel to Diemolding Corporation
Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC	Stephen A. Donato	One Lincoln Center	18th Floor	Syracuse	NY	13202		315-218-8000	sdonato@bsk.com	Counsel to Marquardt GmbH and Marquardt Switches, Inc.; Tessy Plastics Corp; Diemolding Corporation
Boult, Cummings, Conners & Berry, PLC	Austin L. McMullen	1600 Division Street, Suite 700	PO Box 34005	Nashville	TN	37203		615-252-2307	amcmullen@bccb.com	Counsel to Calsonic Kansei North America, Inc.; Calsonic Harrison Co., Ltd.
Boult, Cummings, Conners & Berry, PLC	Roger G. Jones	1600 Division Street, Suite 700	PO Box 34005	Nashville	TN	37203		615-252-2307	rjones@bccb.com	Counsel to Calsonic Kansei North America, Inc.; Calsonic Harrison Co., Ltd.
Brembo S.p.A.	Massimiliano Cini	Administration Department via Brembo 25	24035 Curno BG	Bergamo			Italy	00039-035-605-529	massimiliano_cini@brembo.it	Creditor
Brown & Connery, LLP	Donald K. Ludman	6 North Broad Street		Woodbury	NJ	08096		856-812-8900	dludman@brownconnery.com	Counsel to SAP America, Inc.
Buchalter Nemer, A Profesional Corporation	Shawn M. Christianson	333 Market Street	25th Floor	San Francisco	CA	94105-2126		415-227-0900	schristianson@buchalter.com	Counsel to Oracle USA, Inc.; Oracle Credit Corporation
Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC	Mary Caloway	The Brandywine Building	1000 West Street, Suite 1410	Wilmington	DE	19801		302-552-4200	mary.caloway@bjpc.com	Counsel to Fiduciary Counselors
Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC	Peter S. Russ	620 Eighth Ave	23rd Floor	New York	NY	10018		212-440-4400	peter.russ@bjpc.com	Counsel to ATEL Leasing Corp.
Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC	William H. Schorling, Esq.	Two Liberty Place	50 S. 16th St., Ste 3200	Philadelphia	PA	19102		215-665-5326	william.schorling@bjpc.com	Counsel to Fiduciary Counselors
Butzel Long	Cynthia J. Haffey	150 W. Jefferson	Suite 100	Detroit	MI	48226		313-983-7434	haffey@butzel.com	Counsel to Delphi Corporation

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Butzel Long	Donald V. Orlandoni	150 W. Jefferson	Suite 100	Detroit	MI	48226		313-225-7063	orlandoni@butzel.com	Counsel to Delphi Corporation
Cadwalader Wickersham & Taft LLP	Jeannine D'Amico	1201 F St NW Ste 1100		Washington	DC	20004		202-862-2452	jeannine.damico@cwt.com	Attorneys for the Audit Committee of Dephi Corporation
Cadwalader Wickersham & Taft LLP	John J. Rapisardi Esq Joseph Zujkowski Esq	One World Financial Center		New York	NY	10281		212-504-6000	john.rapisardi@cwt.com joseph.zujkowski@cwt.com jonathan.greenberg@BASF.COM	Counsel to the Auto Task Force of the U.S. Department of the Treasury
Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP	Jonathan Greenberg	80 Pine Street		New York	NY	10005		212-701-3000		Counsel to Engelhard Corporation
Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP	Kevin Burke	80 Pine Street		New York	NY	10005		212-701-3000	kburke@cahill.com	Counsel to Engelhard Corporation
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLC	Jean R. Robertson, Esq.	1400 McDonald Investment Ctr	800 Superior Ave	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-622-8404	jrobertson@calfee.com	Counsel to Brush Engineered materials
Calinoff & Katz, LLP	Dorothy H. Marinis-Riggio Robert Calinoff	140 East 45th Street	17th Floor	New York	NY	10017		212-826-8800	dhriaggio@gmail.com rcalinoff@candklaw.com	Counsel to Computer Patent Annuities Limited Partnership, Hydro Aluminum North America, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Adrian, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Precision Tubing NA, LLC, Hydro Alumunim Ellay Enfield Limited, Hydro Aluminum Rockledge, Inc., Norsk Hydro Canada, I
Cantor Colburn LLP	Michael J Rye	20 Church Street	22nd Floor	Hartford	CT	06103-3207		860-286-2929	mrye@cantorcolburn.com	Patent Counsel to Delphi Corporation et al., Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession
Carson Fischer, P.L.C.	Joseph M Fischer Patrick J Kukla	4111 Andover Road	West 2nd Floor	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48302		248-644-4840	brcy@carsonfischer.com	Counsel to Bing Metals Group, LLC; Behr America, Inc.; Findlay Industries; Vitec, LLC
Carson Fischer, P.L.C.	Robert A. Weisberg	4111 Andover Road	West 2nd Floor	Birmingham	MI	48302		248-644-4840	rweisberg@carsonfischer.com brcy@carsonfischer.com	Counsel to Cascade Die Casting Group, Inc.; Behr America, Inc.
Carter Ledyard & Milburn LLP	Aaron R. Cahn	2 Wall Street		New York	NY	10005		212-732-3200	cahn@clm.com	Counsel to STMicroelectronics, Inc.
Chadbourne & Parke LLP	Douglas Deutsch, Esq.	30 Rockefeller Plaza		New York	NY	10112		212-408-5100	ddeutsch@chadbourne.com	Counsel to EagleRock Capital Management, LLC
Clark Hill PLC	Joel D. Applebaum	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 3500	Detroit	MI	48226-3435		313-965-8300	japplebaum@clarkhill.com	Counsel to 1st Choice Heating & Cooling, Inc.; BorgWarner Turbo Systems Inc.; Metaldyne Company, LLC
Clark Hill PLC	Shannon Deeby	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 3500	Detroit	MI	48226-3435		313-965-8300	sdeeby@clarkhill.com	Counsel to BorgWarner Turbo Systems Inc.; Metaldyne Company, LLC
Clark Hill PLLC	Robert D. Gordon	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 3500	Detroit	MI	48226-3435		313-965-8572	rgordon@clarkhill.com	Counsel to ATS Automation Tooling Systems Inc.
Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP	Deborah M. Buell	One Liberty Plaza		New York	NY	10006		212-225-2000	maofiling@cqsh.com	Counsel to Amesex Electricos Automotrices, S.A.de C.V.; Cordaflex, S.A. de C.V.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Cleary, Gottlieb, Steen & Hamilton LLP	James L. Bromley	One Liberty Plaza		New York	NY	10006		212-225-2000	maofiling@cqsh.com	Counsel to Bear, Stearns, Co. Inc.; Citigroup, Inc.; Credit Suisse First Boston; Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.; Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.; JP Morgan Chase & Co.; Lehman Brothers, Inc.; Merrill Lynch & Co.; Morgan Stanley & Co., Inc.; UBS Securities, LLC
Cohen & Grigsby, P.C.	Thomas D. Maxson	11 Stanwix Street	15th Floor	Pittsburgh	PA	15222-1319		412-297-4706	tmaxson@cohenlaw.com	Counsel to Nova Chemicals, Inc.
Cohen, Weiss & Simon LLP	Joseph J. Vitale Babette Ceccotti	330 West 42nd Street		New York	NY	10036		212-356-0238	jvital@cwswy.com bceccotti@cwswy.com	Counsel to International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agriculture Implement Works of America (UAW)
Cohn Birnbaum & Shea P.C.	Scott D. Rosen, Esq.	100 Pearl Street, 12th Floor		Hartford	CT	06103		860-493-2200	srosen@cb-shea.com	Counsel to Floyd Manufacturing Co., Inc.
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP	Jeffrey C. Wisler, Esq.	1007 N. Orange Street	P.O. Box 2207	Wilmington	DE	19899		302-658-9141	jwisler@cblh.com	Counsel to ORIX Warren, LLC
Coolidge Wall Co. LPA	Ronald S. Pretekin	33 West First Street	Suite 600	Dayton	OH	45402		937-223-8177	Pretekin@coolaw.com	Counsel to Harco Industries, Inc.; Harco Brake Systems, Inc.; Dayton Supply & Tool Company; Attorneys for Columbia Industrial
Covington & Burling	Susan Power Johnston Aaron R. Marcu	620 Eighth Ave		New York	NY	10018		212-841-1005	sjohnston@cov.com	Special Counsel to the Debtor
Cox, Hodgman & Giarmarco, P.C.	Sean M. Walsh, Esq.	Tenth Floor Columbia Center	101 W. Big Beaver Road	Troy	MI	48084-5280		248-457-7000	swalsh@chglaw.com	Counsel to Nissinbo Automotive Corporation
Curtin & Heefner, LLP	Daniel P. Mazo	250 N. Pennsylvania Avenue		Morrisville	PA	19067		215-736-2521	dpm@curtinheefner.com	Counsel to SPS Technologies, LLC; NSS Technologies, Inc.; SPS Technologies Waterford Company; Greer Stop Nut, Inc.
Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP	Cindi Eilbott	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0061		212-696-6936	ceilbott@curtis.com	Counsel to Flextronics International, Inc., Flextronics International USA, Inc.; Multek Flexible Circuits, Inc.; Sheldahl de Mexico S.A.de C.V.; Northfield Acquisition Co.; Flextronics Asia-Pacific Ltd.; Flextronics Technology (M) Sdn. Bhd
Damon & Morey LLP	William F. Savino	1000 Cathedral Place	298 Main Street	Buffalo	NY	14202-4096		716-856-5500	wsavino@damonmorey.com	Counsel to Relco, Inc.; The Durham Companies, Inc.
David P. Martin		519 Energy Center Blvd	Ste 1104	Northport	AL	35401		205-343-1771	davidpmartin@erisacase.com davidpmartin@bellsouth.net	Co-Counsel for David Gargis, Jimmy Mueller, and D. Keith Livingston
Day Pitney LLP	Richard M. Meth	P.O. Box 1945		Morristown	NJ	07962-1945		973-966-6300	rmeth@daypitney.com	Counsel to Marshall E. Campbell Company
Day Pitney LLP	Ronald S. Beacher Conrad K. Chiu	7 Times Square		New York	NY	10036		212-297-5800	rbeacher@daypitney.com cchiu@daypitney.com	Counsel to IBJTC Business Credit Corporation, as successor to IBJ Whitehall Business Credit Corporation

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Dechert LLP	Glenn E. Siegel James O. Moore	1095 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036-6797		212-698-3500	glenn.siegel@dechert.com james.moore@dechert.com	Counsel for Kensington International Limited, Manchester Securities Corp. and Springfield Associates, LLC
Denso International America, Inc.	Carol Sowa	24777 Denso Drive		Southfield	MI	48086		248-372-8531	carol_sowa@denso-diam.com	Counsel to Denso International America, Inc.
DiConza Law, P.C.	Gerard DiConza, Esq.	630 Third Avenue, 7th Floor		New York	NY	10017		212-682-4940	gdiConza@dlawpc.com	Counsel to Tyz-All Plastics, Inc.; Co-Counsel to Tower Automotive, Inc.
Dinsmore & Shohl LLP	John Persiani	1900 Chemed Center	255 East Fifth Street	Cincinnati	OH	45202		513-977-8200	john.persiani@dinslaw.com	Counsel to The Procter & Gamble Company
DLA Piper Rudnick Gray Cary US LLP	Richard M. Kremen Maria Ellena Chavez-Ruark	The Marbury Building	6225 Smith Avenue	Baltimore	Maryland	21209-3600		410-580-3000	richard.kremen@dlapiper.com	Counsel to Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. & Constellation NewEnergy - Gas Division, LLC
Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP	Andrew C. Kassner	18th and Cherry Streets		Philadelphia	PA	19103		215-988-2700	andrew.kassner@dbr.com	Counsel to Penske Truck Leasing Co., L.P.
Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP	David B. Aaronson	18th and Cherry Streets		Philadelphia	PA	19103		215-988-2700	david.aaronson@dbr.com	Counsel to Penske Truck Leasing Co., L.P. and Quaker Chemical Corporation
Duane Morris LLP	Joseph H. Lemkin	744 Broad Street	Suite 1200	Newark	NJ	07102		973-424-2000	jhlemkin@duanemorris.com	Counsel to NDK America, Inc./NDK Crystal, Inc.; Foster Electric USA, Inc.; JST Corporation; Nichicon (America) Corporation; Taiho Corporation of America; American Aikoku Alpha, Inc.; Sagami America, Ltd.; SL America, Inc./SL Tennessee, LLC; and Hosiden America Corporation
Duane Morris LLP	Lewis R Olshin Esq	30 South 17th Street		Philadelphia	PA	19103		215-979-1129	Olshin@duanemorris.com	Counsel to ACE American Insurance Company and Pacific Employers Insurance Company
Duane Morris LLP	Margery N. Reed, Esq.	30 South 17th Street		Philadelphia	PA	19103-4196		215-979-1000	dmdelphi@duanemorris.com mreed@duanemorris.com	Counsel to ACE American Insurance Company and Pacific Employers Insurance Company
Duane Morris LLP	Wendy M. Simkulak, Esq.	30 South 17th Street		Philadelphia	PA	19103-4196		215-979-1547	wmsimkulak@duanemorris.com	Counsel to ACE American Insurance Company and Pacific Employers Insurance Company
Dykema Gossett PLLC	Douglas S Parker	39577 Woodward Ave	Suite 300	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304		248-203-0703	dparker@dykema.com	Counsel for Federal Screw
Dykema Gossett PLLC	Robert D. Nachman	10 South Wacker Drive	Suite 2300	Chicago	IL	60606		312-876-1700	nachman@dykema.com	Counsel to MJ Celco, Inc.
Dykema Gossett PLLC	Sharon A. Salinas	10 South Wacker Dr	Suite 2300	Chicago	IL	60606		312-627-2199	ssalinas@dykema.com	Counsel to Tremont City Barrel Fill PRP Group
Electronic Data Systems Corporation	Ayala Hassell	5400 Legacy Dr.	Mail Stop H3-3A-05	Plano	TX	75024		212-715-9100	ayala.hassell@eds.com	Representative for Electronic Data Systems Corporation
Ellenberg, Ogier, Rothschild & Rosenfeld, P.C.	Barbara Ellis-Monro	170 Mitchell Street, SW		Atlanta	GA	30303		404-581-3818	bem@eorlaw.com	Counsel to Southwire Company
Entergy Services, Inc.	Alan H. Katz	639 Loyola Ave 26th Fl		New Orleans	LA	70113			akatz@entergy.com	Assistant General Counsel to Entergy Services, Inc

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Epstein Becker & Green PC	Maura I. Russell									Counsel to SPCP Group LLC as agent for Silver Point Capital Fund LP and Silver Point Capital Offshore Fund Ltd
Ettelman & Hochheiser, P.C.	Anthony B. Stumbo	250 Park Ave	11th Floor	New York	NY	10177-1211		212-351-4500	MRussell@ebqlaw.com	
	Gary Ettelman	c/o Premium Cadillac	77 Main Street	New Rochelle	NY	10801		516-227-6300	gettelman@e-hlaw.com	Counsel to Jon Ballin
Faegre & Benson LLP	Elizabeth K. Flaagan	3200 Wells Fargo Center	1700 Lincoln St	Denver	CO	80203-4532		303-607-3694	elflaagan@faegre.com	Counsel to CoorsTek, Inc.; Corus, L.P.
Farrell Fritz PC	Louis A. Scarcella	1320 RexCorp Plaza		Uniondale	NY	11556-1320		516-227-0700	lsarcella@farrellfritz.com	Counsel to Official Committee of Equity Holders
	Patrick T. Collins								pcollins@farrellfritz.com	
Filardi Law Offices LLC	Charles J. Filardi, Jr., Esq.	65 Trumbull Street	Second Floor	New Haven	CT	06510		203-562-8588	charles@filardi-law.com	Counsel to Federal Express Corporation
Finkel Goldstein Rosenbloom & Nash LLP	Ted J. Donovan	26 Broadway	Suite 711	New York	NY	10004		212-344-2929	tdonovan@finkgold.com	Counsel to Pillarhouse (U.S.A.) Inc.
Foley & Lardner LLP	Ann Marie Uetz	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 2700	Detroit	MI	48226-3489		313-234-7100	auetz@foley.com	Counsel to PBR Tennessee
Foley & Lardner LLP	Jill L. Murch	321 North Clark Street	Suite 2800	Chicago	IL	60610-4764		312-832-4500	jmurch@foley.com	Counsel to Kuss Corporation
Foley & Lardner LLP	John A. Simon	One Detroit Center	500 Woodward Ave Suite 2700	Detroit	MI	48226-3489		313-234-7100	jsimon@foley.com	Counsel to Ernst & Young LLP
Foley & Lardner LLP	John R. Trentacosta								jtrentacosta@foley.com	
	Katherine R. Catanese	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 2700	Detroit	MI	48226-3489		313-234-7100	kcatanese@foley.com	Counsel to Kautex Inc.
Fox Rothschild LLP	Brian Isen	1301 Atlantic Avenue		Atlantic City	NJ	08401		609-348-2294	bisen@foxrothschild.com	Counsel to M&Q Plastic Products L.P.
Fox Rothschild LLP	Fred Stevens	100 Park Avenue	15th Floor	New York	NY	10017		212-878-7900	fstevens@foxrothschild.com	Counsel to M&Q Plastic Products, Inc.
Frederick T. Rikkers		419 Venture Court	P.O. Box 930555	Verona	WI	53593		608-848-6350	fridders@rikkerslaw.com	Counsel to Southwest Metal Finishing, Inc.
Frost Brown Todd LLC	Ronald E. Gold	2200 PNC Center	201 East Fifth Street	Cincinnati	OH	45202-4182		513-651-6156	rgold@fbtlaw.com	Counsel to AKS Receivables, LLC
Fulbright & Jaworski LLP	David A. Rosenzweig	666 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10103-3198		212-318-3000	drosenzweig@fulbright.com	Counsel to Southwest Research Institute
Fulbright & Jaworski LLP	Michael M. Parker	300 Convent St Ste 2200		San Antonio	TX	78205		210-224-5575	mparker@fulbright.com	Attorney for Solvay Fluorides, LLC
Genovese Joblove & Battista, P.A.	David C. Cimo	100 S.E. 2nd Street	Suite 4400	Miami	FL	33131		305-349-2300	dcimo@gjb-law.com	Counsel to Southwest Research Institute
Gibbons P.C.	David N. Crapo	One Gateway Center		Newark	NJ	07102-5310		973-596-4523	dcrapo@gibbonslaw.com	Counsel to Ryder Integrated Logistics, Inc.
Goldberg Segalla LLP	Attn Bruce W Hoover	665 Main St Ste 400		Buffalo	NY	14203		716-566-5400	bhoover@goldbergsegalla.com	Counsel to Epcos, Inc.
Gorlick, Kravitz & Listhaus, P.C.	Barbara S. Mehlsack	17 State Street	4th Floor	New York	NY	10004		212-269-2500	bmehlsack@gkllaw.com	Attorneys for MasTec Inc.
Goulston & Storrs, P.C.	Peter D. Bilowz	400 Atlantic Avenue		Boston	MA	02110-333		617-482-1776	pbilowz@goulstonstorrs.com	Counsel to International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10; International Union of Operating Engineers Local Union Nos. 18, 101 and 832
										Counsel to Thermotech Company

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Grant & Eisenhofer P.A.	James J Sabella	485 Lexington Ave		New York	NY	10017		646-722-8520	jsabella@gelaw.com	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP
Grant & Eisenhofer P.A.	Jay W. Eisenhofer	45 Rockefeller Center	650 Fifth Avenue	New York	NY	10111		212-755-6501	jeisenhofer@gelaw.com	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP
Gratz, Miller & Brueggeman, S.C.	Matthew R. Robbins	1555 N. RiverCenter Drive	Suite 202	Milwaukee	WI	53212		414-271-4500	mrr@previant.com	Counsel to International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10
Graydon Head & Ritchey LLP	J. Michael Debbler, Susan M. Argo	1900 Fifth Third Center	511 Walnut Street	Cincinnati	OH	45202		513-621-6464	mdebbler@graydon.com	Counsel to Grote Industries; Batesville Tool & Die; PIA Group; Reliable Castings
Greenberg Traurig, LLP	Maria J. DiConza	MetLife Bldg	200 Park Avenue	New York	NY	10166		212-801-9200	diconzam@gtlaw.com	Counsel to Samtech Corporation
Greenberg Traurig, LLP	Shari L. Heyen	1000 Louisiana	Suite 1800	Houston	TX	77002		713-374-3500	heyens@gtlaw.com	Counsel to Samtech Corporation
Greensfelder, Hemker & Gale, P.C.	Cherie Macdonald J. Patrick Bradley	10 S. Broadway	Suite 200	St. Louis	MO	63102		314-241-9090	ckm@greensfelder.com jpb@greensfelder.com	Counsel to ARC Automotive, Inc.
Hahn Loeser & Parks LLP	Lawrence E Oscar Christopher W Peer	200 Public Square	Suite 2800	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-621-0150	leoscar@hahnlaw.com cpeer@hahnlaw.com	Counsel to Casco Products, a Unit of Sequa Corporation and ARC Automotive, Inc.
Halperin Battaglia Raicht, LLP	Alan D. Halperin Christopher J. Battaglia Julie D. Dyas	555 Madison Avenue	9th Floor	New York	NY	10022		212-765-9100	cbattaglia@halperinlaw.net ahalperin@halperinlaw.net jdyas@halperinlaw.net	Counsel to Pacific Gas Turbine Center, LLC and Chromalloy Gas Turbine Corporation; ARC Automotive, Inc
Hancock & Estabrook LLP	R John Clark Esq	1500 Tower I	PO Box 4976	Syracuse	NY	13221-4976		315-471-3151	rjclark@hancocklaw.com	Counsel to Alliance Precision Plastics Corporation
Harrington, Dragich & O'Neill PLLC	David G Dragich	21043 Mack Avenue		Grosse Pointe Woods	MI	48236		313-886-4550	dldragich@hdolaw.com	Counsel to Internet Corporation
Harris D. Leinwand	Harris D. Leinwand	315 Madison Avenue	Suite 901	New York	NY	10017		212-725-7338	hleinwand@aol.com	Counsel to Baker Hughes Incorporated; Baker Petrolite Corporation
Haskell Slaughter Young & Rediker LLC	Robert H. Adams	2001 Park Place North	Suite 1400	Birmingham	AL	35203		205-251-1000	rha@hsy.com	Counsel to Simco Construction, Inc.
Haynes and Boone, LLP	Judith Elkin	153 East 53rd Street	Suite 4900	New York	NY	10022		212-659-7300	judith.elkin@haynesboone.com	Counsel to Highland Capital Management, L.P.
Haynes and Boone, LLP	Lenard M. Parkins Kenric D. Kattner	1 Houston Center	1221 McKinney, Suite 2100	Houston	TX	77010		713-547-2000	lenard.parkins@haynesboone.com kenric.kattner@haynesboone.com	Counsel to Highland Capital Management, L.P.
Herrick, Feinstein LLP	Paul Rubin	2 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10016		212-592-1448	prubin@herrick.com	Counsel to Canon U.S.A., Inc. and Schmidt Technology GmbH
Hewlett-Packard Company	Kenneth F. Higman	2125 E. Katella Avenue	Suite 400	Anaheim	CA	92806		714-940-7120	ken.higman@hp.com	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Company

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Hewlett-Packard Company	Ramona S. Neal	11311 Chinden Blvd., M/S 314		Boise	ID	83714-0021		208-396-6484	Ramona.neal@hp.com	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Company
Hewlett-Packard Company	Sharon Petrosino	420 Mountain Avenue		Murray Hill	NJ	07974		908-898-4760	sharon.petrosino@hp.com	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company
Hinckley Allen & Snyder LLP	Michael J Pendell	185 Asylum St CityPlace I	35th Floor	Hartford	CT	06103-3488		860-725-6200	mpendell@haslaw.com	Counsel to Barnes Group, Inc.
Hiscock & Barclay, LLP	J. Eric Charlton	300 South Salina Street	PO Box 4878	Syracuse	NY	13221-4878		315-425-2716	echarlton@hiscockbarclay.com	Counsel to GW Plastics, Inc.
Hodgson Russ LLP	Garry M. Graber	60 E 42nd St 37th Fl		New York	NY	10165-0150		212-661-3535	ggraber@hodgsonruss.com	Counsel to Hexcel Corporation
Hodgson Russ LLP	Julia S. Kreher	One M&T Plaza	Suite 2000	Buffalo	NY	14203		716-848-1330	jkreher@hodgsonruss.com	Counsel to Hexcel Corporation
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.	Audrey Moog	Columbia Square	555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.	Washington	D.C.	20004-1109		202-637-5677	amoog@hhlaw.com	Counsel to Umicore Autocat Canada Corp.
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.	Edward C. Dolan	Columbia Square	555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.	Washington	D.C.	20004-1109		202-637-5677	ecdolan@hhlaw.com	Counsel to Umicore Autocat Canada Corp.
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.	Scott A. Golden	875 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-918-3000	sagolden@hhlaw.com	Counsel to XM Satellite Radio Inc.
Hogan Lovells US LLP	Matthew P Morris	875 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-918-3000	matthew.morris@hoganlovells.com	Counsel to TESA AG
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	Donald T. Baty, Jr.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226		313-465-7314	dbaty@honigman.com	Counsel to Fujitsu Ten Corporation of America
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	E. Todd Sable	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226		313-465-7548	tsable@honigman.com	Counsel to Valeo Climate Control Corp.; Valeo Electrical Systems, Inc. - Motors and Actuators Division; Valeo Electrical Systems, Inc. - Wipers Division; Valeo Switches & Detection System, Inc.
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	I. W. Winsten, Esq.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226		313-465-7608	iww@honigman.com	Counsel to Affina Group Holdings Inc.
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	Lawrence J. Murphy	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Ave	Detroit	MI	48226		313-465-7488	lmurphy@honigman.com	Attorneys for Guide Corporation and Lightsource Parent Corporation
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	Seth A Drucker	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue Ste 2290	Detroit	MI	48226		313-465-7626	sdrucker@honigman.com	Counsel for Valeo Climate Control, Corp.
Howard & Howard Attorneys PC	Lisa S Gretchko	39400 Woodward Ave	Ste 101	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304-5151		248-723-0396	lgretchko@howardandhoward.com	Intellectual Property Counsel for Delphi Corporation, et al.
Howick, Westfall, McBryan & Kaplan, LLP	Louis G. McBryan	3101 Tower Creek Parkway	Ste 600 One Tower Creek	Atlanta	GA	30339		678-384-7000	lmcbryan@hwmklaw.com	Counsel to Vanguard Distributors, Inc.
Hunter & Schank Co. LPA	John J. Hunter	One Canton Square	1700 Canton Avenue	Toledo	OH	43624		419-255-4300	jrhunter@hunterschank.com	Counsel to ZF Group North America Operations, Inc.
Hunter & Schank Co. LPA	Thomas J. Schank	One Canton Square	1700 Canton Avenue	Toledo	OH	43624		419-255-4300	tomschank@hunterschank.com	Counsel to ZF Group North America Operations, Inc.
Hunton & Williams LLP	Steven T. Holmes	Energy Plaza, 30th Floor	1601 Bryan Street	Dallas	TX	75201		214-979-3000	sholmes@hunton.com	Counsel to RF Monolithics, Inc.
Hurwitz & Fine P.C.	Ann E. Evanko	1300 Liberty Building		Buffalo	NY	14202		716-849-8900	aee@hurwitzfine.com	Counsel to Jiffy-Tite Co., Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Ice Miller	Ben T. Caughey	One American Square	Box 82001	Indianapolis	IN	46282-0200		317-236-2100	Ben.Caughey@icemiller.com	Counsel to Sumco, Inc.
Ice Miller LLP	Henry A. Efroymsen	One American Square	29th Floor	Indianapolis	IN	46482		317-236-2397	henry.efroymsen@icemiller.com	Counsel to Fin Machine Co. Ltd
Infineon Technologies North America Corporation	Greg Bibbes	1730 North First Street	M/S 11305	San Jose	CA	95112		408-501-6442	greg.bibbes@infineon.com	General Counsel & Vice President for Infineon Technologies North America Corporation
Infineon Technologies North America Corporation	Jeff Gillespie	2529 Commerce Drive	Suite H	Kokomo	IN	46902		765-454-2146	jeffery.gillespie@infineon.com	Global Account Manager for Infineon Technologies North America
International Union of Operating Engineers	Richard Griffin	1125-17th Avenue, N.W.		Washington	DC	20036		202-429-9100	rgriffin@iuoe.org	Counsel to International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10; International Union of Operating Engineers Local Union Nos. 18, 101 and 832
Jackson Walker LLP	Bruce J. Ruzinsky	1401 McKinney St Ste 1900		Houston	TX	77010		713-751-4200	bruzinsky@jw.com	Counsel to Constellation NewEnergy, Inc.
Jackson Walker LLP	Heather M. Forrest	901 Main St Ste 600		Dallas	TX	75202		214-953-6000	hforrest@jw.com	Counsel to Constellation NewEnergy, Inc.
James R Scheuerle	Parmenter O'Toole	601 Terrace Street	PO Box 786	Muskegon	MI	49443-0786		231-722-1621	JRS@Parmenterlaw.com	Counsel to Port City Die Cast and Port City Group Inc
Jason, Inc.	Will Schultz, General Counsel	411 E. Wisconsin Ave	Suite 2120	Milwaukee	WI	53202		414-277-2110	wschultz@jasoninc.com	General Counsel to Jason Incorporated
Jenner & Block LLP	Ronald R. Peterson	One IBM Plaza		Chicago	IL	60611		312-222-9350	rpeterson@jenner.com	Counsel to SPX Corporation (Contech Division), Alcan Rolled Products-Ravenswood, LLC, Tenneco Inc. and Contech LLC
Johnston, Harris Gerde & Komarek, P.A.	Jerry W. Gerde, Esq.	239 E. 4th St.		Panama City	FL	32401		850-763-8421	gerdekomarek@bellsouth.net	Counsel to Peggy C. Brannon, Bay County Tax Collector
Jones Day	Corinne Ball	222 East 41st Street		New York	NY	10017		212-326-7844	cball@jonesday.com	Counsel to WL. Ross & Co., LLC
Jones Day	Peter J. Benvenuti Michaeline H. Correa	555 California St 26th Floor		San Francisco	CA	94104		415-626-3939	pibenvenuti@jonesday.com mcorrea@jonesday.com	Attorneys for Symantec Corporation, Successor-in-Interest to Veritas Corporation
Jones Day	Scott J. Friedman	222 East 41st Street		New York	NY	10017		212-326-3939	sjfriedman@jonesday.com	Counsel to WL. Ross & Co., LLC
Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP	John P. Sieger, Esq.	525 West Monroe Street		Chicago	IL	60661		312-902-5200	john.sieger@kattenlaw.com	Counsel to TDK Corporation America and MEMC Electronic Materials, Inc.
Kaye Scholer LLP	Richard G Smolev	425 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10022-3598		212-236-8000	rsmolev@kayescholer.com	Counsel to InPlay Technologies Inc
Kegler, Brown, Hill & Ritter Co., LPA	Kenneth R. Cookson	65 East State Street	Suite 1800	Columbus	OH	43215		614-426-5400	kcookson@keglerbrown.com	Counsel to Solution Recovery Services

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Keller Rohrback L.L.P.	Lynn Lincoln Sarko Cari Campen Laufenberg Erin M. Rily	1201 Third Avenue	Suite 3200	Seattle	WA	98101		206-623-1900	lsarko@kellerrohrback.com claufenberg@kellerrohrback.com om eriley@kellerrohrback.com	Counsel to Neal Folck, Greg Bartell, Donald McEvoy, Irene Polito, and Thomas Kessler, on behalf of themselves and a class of persons similarly situated, and on behalf of the Delphi Savings-Stock Purchase Program for Salaried Employees in the United States and the Delphi Personal Savings Plan for Hourly-Rate Employees in the United States
Keller Rohrback P.L.C.	Gary A. Gotto	National Bank Plaza	3101 North Central Avenue, Suite 900	Phoenix	AZ	85012		602-248-0088	ggotto@kellerrohrback.com	Counsel to Neal Folck, Greg Bartell, Donald McEvoy, Irene Polito, and Thomas Kessler, on behalf of themselves and a class of persons similarly situated, and on behalf of the Delphi Savings-Stock Purchase Program for Salaried Employees in the United States and the Delphi Personal Savings Plan for Hourly-Rate Employees in the United States
Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP	Craig A. Wolfe	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178		212-808-7800	cwolfe@kelleydrye.com	Counsel to the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP	Merrill B. Stone	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178		212-808-7800	mstone@kelleydrye.com	Counsel to the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Kennedy, Jennick & Murray	Susan M. Jennik	113 University Place	7th Floor	New York	NY	10003		212-358-1500	sjennik@kjmlabor.com	Counsel to The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communicaitons Workers of America
Kennedy, Jennick & Murray	Thomas Kennedy	113 University Place	7th Floor	New York	NY	10003		212-358-1500	tkennedy@kjmlabor.com	Counsel to The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communicaitons Workers of America
Kerr Russell & Weber PLC	James E. DeLine	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 2500	Detroit	MI	48226		313-961-0200	jed@krwlaw.com	Counsel to Pontiac Coil, Inc.
Kerr Russell & Weber PLC	Patrick Warren Hunt	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 2500	Detroit	MI	48226		313-961-0200	pwh@krwlaw.com	Counsel to Pontiac Coil, Inc.
King & Spalding, LLP	H. Slayton Dabney, Jr.	1185 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036		212-556-2100	sdabney@kslaw.com	Counsel to KPMG LLP
Kirkland & Ellis LLP	David Spiegel	300 North LaSalle		Chicago	IL	60654		312-862-2000	david.spiegel@kirkland.com	
Kirkland & Ellis LLP	Jim Stempel	200 East Randolph Drive		Chicago	IL	60601		312-861-2000	jstempel@kirkland.com	Counsel to Lunt Manufacturing Company
Kirkpatrick & Lockhart Nicholson Graham LLP	Edward M. Fox	599 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-536-4812	efox@klnq.com	Counsel to Wilmington Trust Company, as Indenture trustee
Kokomo Gas & Fuel Company	Patti E Pope Revenue Recovery Manager	Northern Indiana Public Service Company	801 East 86th Avenue	Merrillville	IN	46410			pepope@nisource.com	Kokomo Gas & Fuel Company
Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP	Jordan D Kaye	1177 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036		212-715-9489	jkaye@kramerlevin.com	Counsel to HP Enterprise Services, LLC; Vishay Americas Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Krieg Devault LLP	Patricia L. Beaty Esq	One Indiana Square, Suite 2800		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-636-4341	pbeaty@kdlegal.com	Co-Counsel for Delphi Salaried Retirees Association Benefit Trust VEBA Committee
Krugliak, Wilkins, Griffiths & Dougherty CO., L.P.A.	Sam O. Simmerman	4775 Munson Street N.W.	P.O. Box 36963	Canton	OH	44735-6963		330-497-0700	sosimmerman@kwgd.com	Counsel to for Millwood, Inc.
Kutak Rock LLP	Jay Selanders	1010 Grand Blvd Ste 500		Kansas City	MO	64106		816-502-4617	jay.selanders@kutakrock.com	Counsel to DaimlerChrysler Corporation; DaimlerChrysler Motors Company, LLC; DaimlerChrysler Canada, Inc.
Kutchin & Rufo, P.C.	Edward D. Kutchin	Two Center Plaza	Suite 620	Boston	MA	02108-1906		617-542-3000	ekutchin@kutchinrufo.com	Counsel to Parlex Corporation
Kutchin & Rufo, P.C.	Kerry R. Northrup	Two Center Plaza	Suite 620	Boston	MA	02108-1906		617-542-3000	knorthup@kutchinrufo.com	Counsel to Parlex Corporation
Lambert. Leser, Isackson, Cook & Guinta, P.C.	Adam D. Bruski	309 Davidson Building	PO Box 835	Bay City	MI	48707-0835		989-893-3518	adbruski@lambertleser.com	Counsel to Creditor Linamar Corp.
Lambert. Leser, Isackson, Cook & Guinta, P.C.	Susan M. Cook	309 Davidson Building	PO Box 835	Bay City	MI	48707-0835		989-893-3518	smcook@lambertleser.com	Counsel to Linamar Corporation
Latham & Watkins	Mark A. Broude	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1384	mark.broude@lw.com	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	Michael J. Riela	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1200	michael.riela@lw.com	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	Mitchell A. Seider	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1200	mitchell.seider@lw.com	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	Robert Rosenberg	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1370	robert.rosenberg@lw.com	UCC Professional
Law Offices of Michael O'Hayer	Michael O'Hayer Esq	22 N Walnut Street		West Chester	PA	19380		610-738-1230	mkohayer@aol.com	Counsel to A-1 Specialized Services and Supplies Inc
Lewis and Roca LLP	Rob Charles, Esq.	One South Church Street	Suite 700	Tucson	AZ	85701		520-629-4427	rcharles@lrlaw.com	Counsel to Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems (U.S.A.) Inc.
Lewis and Roca LLP	Susan M. Freeman, Esq.	40 North Central Avenue	Suite 1900	Phoenix	AZ	85004-4429		602-262-5756	sfreeman@lrlaw.com	Counsel to Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems (U.S.A.) Inc.
Linear Technology Corporation	John England, Esq.	General Counsel for Linear Technology Corporation	1630 McCarthy Blvd.	Milpitas	CA	95035-7417		408-432-1900	jengland@linear.com	Counsel to Linear Technology Corporation
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP	Diane W. Sanders	1949 South IH 35 (78741)	P.O. Box 17428	Austin	TX	78760-7428		512-447-6675	austin.bankruptcy@publicans.com	Counsel to Cameron County, Brownsville ISD
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP	Elizabeth Weller	2323 Bryan Street	Suite 1600	Dallas	TX	75201		214-880-0089	dallas.bankruptcy@publicans.com	Counsel to Dallas County and Tarrant County
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP	John P. Dillman	P.O. Box 3064		Houston	TX	77253-3064		713-844-3478	houston_bankruptcy@publicans.com	Counsel in Charge for Taxing Authorities: Cypress-Fairbanks Independent School District, City of Houston, Harris County
Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell	Kevin J. Walsh	885 Third Avenue	26th Floor	New York	NY	10022-4802		212-812-8304	kwash@lockelord.com	Counsel to Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc. and Methode Electronics, Inc.
Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell	Timothy S. McFadden	111 South Wacker Drive		Chicago	IL	60606		312-443-0370	tmcfadden@lockelord.com	Counsel to Methode Electronics, Inc.
Loeb & Loeb LLP	P. Gregory Schwed	345 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10154-0037		212-407-4000	gschwed@loeb.com	Counsel to Creditor The Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc. and Proposed Auditor Deloitte & Touche, LLP

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Loeb & Loeb LLP	William M. Hawkins	345 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10154		212-407-4000	whawkins@loeb.com	Counsel to Industrial Ceramics Corporation
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Bruce S. Nathan	1251 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10020		212-262-6700	bnathan@lowenstein.com	Counsel to Daewoo International (America) Corp.
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Ira M. Levee	1251 Avenue of the Americas	18th Floor	New York	NY	10020		212-262-6700	ilevee@lowenstein.com	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Kenneth A. Rosen	65 Livingston Avenue		Roseland	NJ	07068		973-597-2500	krosen@lowenstein.com	Counsel to Cerberus Capital Management, L.P.
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Michael S. Etikin	1251 Avenue of the Americas	18th Floor	New York	NY	10020		212-262-6700	metkin@lowenstein.com	Counsel to Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Scott Cargill	65 Livingston Avenue		Roseland	NJ	07068		973-597-2500	scargill@lowenstein.com	Counsel to Cerberus Capital Management, L.P.; AT&T Corporation
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Vincent A. D'Agostino	65 Livingston Avenue		Roseland	NJ	07068		973-597-2500	vdagostino@lowenstein.com	Counsel to AT&T Corporation
Lyden, Liebenthal & Chappell, Ltd.	Erik G. Chappell	5565 Airport Highway	Suite 101	Toledo	OH	43615		419-867-8900	egc@lydenlaw.com	Counsel to Metro Fibres, Inc.
Maddin, Hauser, Wartell, Roth & Heller PC	Alexander Stotland Esq	28400 Northwestern Hwy	Third Floor	Southfield	MI	48034		248-354-4030	axs@maddinhauser.com	Attorney for Danice Manufacturing Co.
Madison Capital Management	Joe Landen	6143 South Willow Drive	Suite 200	Greenwood Village	CO	80111		303-957-4254	jlanden@madisoncap.com	Representative for Madison Capital Management
Margulies & Levinson, LLP	Leah M. Caplan, Esq.	30100 Chagrin Boulevard	Suite 250	Pepper Pike	OH	44124		216-514-4935	lmc@ml-legal.com	Counsel to Venture Plastics
Mastromarco & Jahn, P.C.	Victor J. Mastromarco, Jr.	1024 North Michigan Avenue	P.O. Box 3197	Saginaw	MI	48605-3197		989-752-1414	vmastromar@aol.com	Counsel to H.E. Services Company and Robert Backie and Counsel to Cindy Palmer, Personal Representative to the Estate of Michael Palmer
Masuda Funai Eifert & Mitchell, Ltd.	Gary D. Santella	203 North LaSalle Street	Suite 2500	Chicago	IL	60601-1262		312-245-7500	gsantella@masudafunai.com	Counsel to NDK America, Inc./NDK Crystal, Inc.; Foster Electric USA, Inc.; JST Corporation; Nichicon (America) Corporation; Taiho Corporation of America; American Aikoku Alpha, Inc.; Sagami America, Ltd.; SL America, Inc./SL Tennessee, LLC and Hosiden America Corporation
McCarter & English, LLP	David J. Adler, Jr. Esq.	245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor		New York	NY	10167		212-609-6800	dadler@mccarter.com	Counsel to Ward Products, LLC
McCarter & English, LLP	Eduardo J. Glas, Esq.	Four Gateway Center	100 Mulberry Street	Newark	NJ	07102-4096		913-622-4444	eglas@mccarter.com	Counsel to General Products Delaware Corporation
McCarthy Tetrault LLP	Lorne P. Salzman	66 Wellington Street West	Suite 4700	Toronto	Ontario	M5K 1E6		416-362-1812	lsalzman@mccarthy.ca	Counsel to Themselves (McCarthy Tetrault LLP)
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Gary O. Ravert	340 Madison Avenue		New York	NY	10017-1922		212-547-5477	gravert@mwe.com	Counsel for Temic Automotive of North America, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	James M. Sullivan	340 Madison Avenue		New York	NY	10017		212-547-5477	jmsullivan@mwe.com	Counsel to Linear Technology Corporation, National Semiconductor Corporation; Timken Corporation
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Stephen B. Selbst	340 Madison Avenue		New York	NY	10017		212-547-5400	sselbst@mwe.com	Counsel to National Semiconductor Corporation
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Steven P. Handler Monica M. Quinn	227 W Monroe St		Chicago	IL	60606		312-372-2000	shandler@mwe.com mquinn@mwe.com	Counsel for Temic Automotive of North America, Inc.
McDonald Hopkins Co., LPA	Scott N. Opincar, Esq.	600 Superior Avenue, E.	Suite 2100	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-348-5400	sopincar@mcdonaldhopkins.com	Counsel to Republic Engineered Products, Inc.
McDonald Hopkins Co., LPA	Shawn M. Riley, Esq.	600 Superior Avenue, E.	Suite 2100	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-348-5400	sriley@mcdonaldhopkins.com	Counsel to Republic Engineered Products, Inc.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP	Jeffrey Bernstein, Esq.	Three Gateway Center	100 Mulberry Street	Newark	NJ	07102-4079		973-622-7711	ibernstein@mdmc-law.com	Counsel to New Jersey Self-Insurers Guaranty Association
McGuirewoods LLP	Aaron G McCollough Esq	One James Center	901 East Cary Street	Richmond	VA	23219-4030		804-775-1000	amccollough@mcquirewoods.com	Counsel to Siemens Energy & Automation, Inc.
McGuirewoods LLP	Daniel F Blanks	One James Center	901 East Cary Street	Richmond	VA	23219		804-775-1000	dblanksmcquirewoods.com	Counsel for CSX Transportation, Inc.
McGuirewoods LLP	John H Maddock III	One James Center	901 East Cary Street	Richmond	VA	23219-4030		804-775-1178	jmaddock@mcquirewoods.com	Counsel to Siemens Logistics Assembly Systems, Inc.; Counsel for CSX Transportation, Inc.
Meyer, Suozzi, English & Klein, P.C.	Attn Thomas R Slome Esq	990 Stewart Ave Ste 300	PO Box 9194	Garden City	NY	11530-9194		516-741-6565	tslome@msek.com	Counsel for Pamela Geller; JAE Electronics, Inc.
Meyer, Suozzi, English & Klein, P.C.	Hanan Kolko	1350 Broadway	Suite 501	New York	NY	10018		212-239-4999	hkolko@msek.com	Counsel to The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communicaitons Workers of America
Meyers Law Group, P.C.	Merle C. Meyers	44 Montgomery Street	Suite 1010	San Francisco	CA	94104		415-362-7500	mmeyers@mlg-pc.com	Counsel to Alps Automotive, Inc.
Meyers, Rodbell & Rosenbaum, P.A.	M. Evan Meyers	Berkshire Building	6801 Kenilworth Avenue, Suite 400	Riverdale Park	MD	20737-1385		301-699-5800	emeyers@mrllaw.net	Counsel to Prince George County, Maryland
Meyers, Rodbell & Rosenbaum, P.A.	Robert H. Rosenbaum	Berkshire Building	6801 Kenilworth Avenue, Suite 400	Riverdale Park	MD	20737-1385		301-699-5800	rosenbaum@mrllaw.net	Counsel to Prince George County, Maryland
Miami-Dade County Tax Collector	April Burch	Paralegal Unit	140 West Flagler St Ste 1403	Miami	FL	33130		305-375-5314	mdtcbbc@miamidade.gov	Paralegal Collection Specialist for Miami-Dade County
Michael Cox		Cadillac Place	3030 W. Grand Blvd., Suite 10-200	Detroit	MI	48202		313-456-0140	miaq@michigan.gov	Attorney General for State of Michigan, Department of Treasury
Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth, Worker's Compensation Agency	Dennis J. Raterink	PO Box 30736		Lansing	MI	48909-7717		517-373-1176	raterink@michigan.gov	Assistant Attorney General for Worker's Compensation Agency; Attorney for the Funds Administration for the State of Michigan
Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth, Worker's Compensation Agency	Michael Cox	PO Box 30736		Lansing	MI	48909-7717		517-373-1820	miaq@michigan.gov	Attorney General for Worker's Compensation Agency; Attorney for the Funds Administration for the State of Michigan

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Miles & Stockbridge, P.C.	Thomas D. Renda	10 Light Street		Baltimore	MD	21202		410-385-3418	trenda@milesstockbridge.com	Counsel to Computer Patent Annuities Limited Partnership, Hydro Aluminum North America, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Adrian, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Precision Tubing NA, LLC, Hydro Aluminum Ellay Enfield Limited, Hydro Aluminum Rockledge, Inc., Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc., Emhart Technologies LLL and Adell Plastics, Inc.
Miller & Martin PLLC	Dale Allen	150 Fourth Ave North	Ste 1200	Nashville	TN	37219			vjones@millermartin.com	Counsel to Averitt Express
Miller Johnson	Thomas P. Sarb	250 Monroe Avenue, N.W.	Suite 800, PO Box 306	Grand Rapids	MI	49501-0306		616-831-1748	sarbt@millerjohnson.com	Counsel to Pridgeon & Clay, Inc.
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.	Robert D. Wolford							616-831-1726	wolfordr@millerjohnson.com	Counsel to Wells Operating Partnership, LP
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.	Jonathan S. Green	150 W. Jefferson Avenue	Suite 2500	Detroit	MI	48226		313-496-8452	greenj@millercanfield.com	Counsel to Brose North America Holding LP and its affiliates
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.	Marc N. Swanson	150 W. Jefferson Avenue	Suite 2500	Detroit	MI	48226		313-963-6420	swansonm@millercanfield.com	Counsel to Niles USA Inc.; Techcentral, LLC; The Bartech Group, Inc.; Fischer Automotive Systems
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.	Timothy A. Fusco	150 W. Jefferson Avenue	Suite 2500	Detroit	MI	48226		313-496-8435	fusco@millercanfield.com	Counsel to Hitachi Automotive Products (USA), Inc. and Conceria Pasubio
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris Glovsky and Pepco, P.C.	Paul J. Ricotta	One Financial Center		Boston	MA	02111		617-542-6000	piricotta@mintz.com pricotta@mintz.com	Counsel to Molex Connector Corp
Molex Connector Corp	Jeff Ott	2222 Wellington Ct.		Lisle	IL	60532		630-527-4254	Jeff.Ott@molex.com	Counsel to ITT Industries, Inc.; Hitachi Chemical (Singapore), Ltd.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Andrew D. Gottfried	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0060		212-309-6000	agottfried@morganlewis.com	Counsel to Hitachi Chemical (Singapore) Pte, Ltd.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Menachem O. Zelmanovitz	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178		212-309-6000	mzelmanovitz@morganlewis.com	Counsel to Sumitomo Corporation
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Richard W. Esterkin, Esq.	300 South Grand Avenue		Los Angeles	CA	90017		213-612-1163	resterkin@morganlewis.com	Counsel to Standard Microsystems Corporation and its direct and indirect subsidiaries Oasis SiliconSystems AG and SMSC NA Automotive, LLC (successor-in-interest to Oasis Silicon Systems, Inc.)
Moritt Hock Hamroff & Horowitz LLP	Leslie Ann Berkoff	400 Garden City Plaza		Garden City	NY	11530		516-873-2000	lberkoff@morithhock.com	Counsel to Texas Instruments Incorporated
Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr, P.C.	Raymond J. Urbanik, Esq., Joseph J. Wielebinski, Esq. and Davor Rukavina, Esq.	3800 Lincoln Plaza	500 North Akard Street	Dallas	RX	75201-6659		214-855-7590 214-855-7561 214-855-7587	rurbanik@munsch.com jwielebinski@munsch.com drukavina@munsch.com	Counsel to Lankfer Diversified Industries, Inc.
Nantz, Litowich, Smith, Girard & Hamilton, P.C.	Sandra S. Hamilton	2025 East Beltline, S.E.	Suite 600	Grand Rapids	MI	49546		616-977-0077	sandy@nlsq.com	

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Nathan, Neuman & Nathan, P.C.	Kenneth A. Nathan	29100 Northwestern Highway	Suite 260	Southfield	MI	48034		248-351-0099	Knathan@nathanneuman.com	Counsel to 975 Opdyke LP; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership c/o Etkin Equities, Inc.; 1401 Troy Associates LP; Brighton Limited Partnership; DPS Information Services, Inc.; Etkin Management Services, Inc. and Etkin Real Properties
National City Commercial Capital	Lisa M. Moore	995 Dalton Avenue		Cincinnati	OH	45203		513-455-2390	lmoore@pnc.com	Vice President and Senior Counsel to National City Commercial Capital
Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough	George B. Cauthen	1320 Main Street, 17th Floor	PO Box 11070	Columbia	SC	29201		803-7255-9425	george.cauthen@nelsonmullins.com	Counsel to Datwyler Rubber & Plastics, Inc.; Datwyler, Inc.; Datwyler i/o devices (Americas), Inc.; Rothrist Tube (USA), Inc.
New Jersey Attorney General's Office Division of Law	Tracy E Richardson Deputy Attorney General	R.J. Hughes Justice Complex	25 Market St P.O. Box 106	Trenton	NJ	08628-0106		609-292-1537	tracy.richardson@dol.lps.state.nj.us	Deputy Attorney General - State of New Jersey Division of Taxation
North Point	David G. Heiman	901 Lakeside Avenue		Cleveland	OH	44114		216-586-3939	dgheiman@jonesday.com	Counsel to WL. Ross & Co., LLC
Office of the Chapter 13 Trustee Office of the Texas Attorney General	Camille Hope Jay W. Hurst	P.O. Box 954 P.O. Box 12548		Macon Austin	GA TX	31202 78711-2548		478-742-8706 512-475-4861	cahope@chapter13macon.com jay.hurst@oag.state.tx.us	Office of the Chapter 13 Trustee Counsel to The Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency	c/o Michelle T. Sutter	Principal Assistant Attorney General Environmental Enforcement Section	30 E Broad St 25th Fl	Columbus	OH	43215		614-466-2766	msutter@ag.state.oh.us	Attorney for State of Ohio, Environmental Protection Agency
Orbotech, Inc.	Michael M. Zizza, Legal Manager	44 Manning Road		Billerica	MA	01821		978-901-5025	michaelz@orbotech.com	Company
O'Rourke Katten & Moody	Michael Moody	55 W Wacker Dr	Ste 1400	Chicago	IL	60615		312-849-2020	mmoody@orourkeandmoody.com	Counsel to Ameritech Credit Corporation d/b/a SBC Capital Services
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Alyssa Englund, Esq.	666 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10103		212-506-5187	aenglund@orrick.com	Counsel to America President Lines, Ltd. And APL Co. Pte Ltd.
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Frederick D. Holden, Jr., Esq.	405 Howard Street		San Francisco	CA	94105		415-773-5700	fholden@orrick.com	Counsel to America President Lines, Ltd. And APL Co. Pte Ltd.
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Raniero D'Aversa, Jr.	51 West 52nd Street at 6th Avenue		New York	NY	10103-0001		212-506-3715	rdaversa@orrick.com	Counsel to Bank of America, N.A.
Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP	Michael R. Seidl	919 N. Market Street, 17th Floor	P.O. Box 8705	Wilmington	DE	19899-8705		302-652-4100	mseidl@pszilaw.com	Counsel for Essex Group, Inc.
Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP	Robert J. Feinstein Ilan D. Scharf	780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor		New York	NY	10017-2024		212-561-7700	rfeinstein@pszilaw.com lscharf@pszilaw.com	Counsel for Essex Group, Inc.
Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP	Daniel A. Lowenthal	1133 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036		212-336-2720	dalowenthal@pbwt.com	Counsel to American Finance Group, Inc. d/b/a Guaranty Capital Corporation
Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP	David W. Dykhous Phyllis S. Wallitt	1133 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036-6710		212-336-2000	wdykhous@pbwt.com	Attorneys for Fry's Metals Inc. and Specialty Coatings Systems Eft

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Paul H. Spaeth Co. LPA	Paul H. Spaeth	130 W Second St Ste 450		Dayton	OH	45402		937-223-1655	spaethlaw@phslaw.com	Attorneys for F&G Multi-Slide Inc and F&G Tool & Die Co. Inc.
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Andrew N. Rosenberg	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064		212-373-3000	arosenberg@paulweiss.com	Counsel to Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Incorporated
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Douglas R. Davis	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064		212-373-3000	ddavis@paulweiss.com	Counsel to Noma Company and General Chemical Performance Products LLC
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Elizabeth R. McColm	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064		212-373-3000	emccolm@paulweiss.com	Counsel to Noma Company and General Chemical Performance Products LLC
Peggy Housner		Cadillac Place	3030 W. Grand Blvd., Suite 10-200	Detroit	MI	48202		313-456-0140	housnerp@michigan.gov	Assistant Attorney General for State of Michigan, Department of Treasury
Penachio Malara LLP	Anne Penachio	235 Main Street	Suite 600A	White Plains	NY	10601		914-946-2889	apenachio@pmlawllp.com	Counsel to UVA Machine Company and its successors by acquisition
Pepe & Hazard LLP	Kristin B. Mayhew	30 Jelliff Lane		Southport	CT	06890-1436		203-319-4022	kmayhew@pepehazard.com	Counsel for Illinois Tool Works Inc., Illinois Tool Works for Hobart Brothers Co., Hobart Brothers Company, ITW Food Equipment Group LLC and Tri-Mark, Inc.
Pepper, Hamilton LLP	Francis J. Lawall	3000 Two logan Square	Eighteenth & Arch Streets	Philadelphia	PA	19103-2799		215-981-4000	lawallf@pepperlaw.com	Counsel to Capro, Ltd, Teleflex Automotive Manufacturing Corporation and Teleflex Incorporated d/b/a Teleflex Morse (Capro)
Pepper, Hamilton LLP	Henry Jaffe	1313 Market Street	PO Box 1709	Wilmington	DE	19899-1709		302-777-6500	jaffeh@pepperlaw.com	Counsel to SKF USA, Inc.
Pepper, Hamilton LLP	Nina M. Varughese	3000 Two Logan Square	Eighteenth & Arch Streets	Philadelphia	PA	19103-2799		215-981-4000	varughesen@pepperlaw.com	Counsel to Capro, Ltd; Teleflex Automotive Manufacturing Corporation; Teleflex Incorporated; Ametek; Cleo, Inc.; Sierra International, Inc.
Pickrel Shaeffer & Ebeling	Sarah B. Carter Esq	2700 Kettering Tower		Dayton	OH	45423-2700		937-223-1130	scarter@pselaw.com	
Pierce Atwood LLP	Jacob A. Manheimer	One Monument Square		Portland	ME	04101		207-791-1100	jmanheimer@pierceatwood.com	Counsel to FCI Canada, Inc.; FCI Electronics Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V.; FCI USA, Inc.; FCI Brasil, Ltda; FCI Automotive Deutschland GmbH; FCI Italia S. p.A.
Pierce Atwood LLP	Keith J. Cunningham	One Monument Square		Portland	ME	04101		207-791-1100	kcunningham@pierceatwood.com	Counsel to FCI Canada, Inc.; FCI Electronics Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V.; FCI USA, Inc.; FCI Brasil, Ltda; FCI Automotive Deutschland GmbH; FCI Italia S. p.A.
Pietragallo Bosick & Gordon LLP	Richard J. Parks	54 Buhl Blvd		Sharon	PA	16146		724-981-1397	rjp@pbandg.com	Counsel to Ideal Tool Company, Inc.
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Karen B. Dine	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	karen.dine@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel to Clarion Corporation of America, Hyundai Motor Company and Hyundai Motor America

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Margot P. Erlich	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	margot.erlich@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel to MeadWestvaco Corporation, MeadWestvaco South Carolina LLC and MeadWestvaco Virginia Corporation
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Mark D. Houle	650 Town Center Drive	Ste 550	Costa Mesa	CA	92626-7122		714-436-6800	mark.houle@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel to Clarion Corporation of America, Hyundai Motor Company and Hyundai Motor America
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Richard L. Epling	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	richard.epling@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel to MeadWestvaco Corporation, MeadWestvaco South Carolina LLC and MeadWestvaco Virginia Corporation
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Robin L. Spear	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	robin.spear@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel to MeadWestvaco Corporation, MeadWestvaco South Carolina LLC and MeadWestvaco Virginia Corporation
Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, P.C.	Brett S. Moore, Esq.	100 Southgate Parkway	P.O. Box 1997	Morristown	NJ	07960		973-538-4006	bsmoore@pbnlaw.com	
Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, P.C.	John S. Mairo, Esq.	100 Southgate Parkway	P.O. Box 1997	Morristown	NJ	07960		973-538-4006	jsmairo@pbnlaw.com	Counsel to Neuman Aluminum Automotive, Inc. and Neuman Aluminum Impact Extrusion, Inc.
Previant, Goldberg, Uelman, Gratz, Miller & Brueggeman, S.C.	Jill M. Hartley and Marianne G. Robbins	1555 N. RiverCenter Drive	Suite 202	Milwaukee	WI	53212		414-271-4500	jh@previant.com mgr@previant.com	Counsel to International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10
PriceWaterHouseCoopers	Enrique Bujidos	Almagro	40	Madrid		28010	Spain	34 915 684 356	enrique.bujidos@es.pwc.com	Representative to DASE
QAD, Inc.	Stephen Tyler Esq	10,000 Midlantic Drive	Suite 100 West	Mt. Laurel	NJ	08054		856-840-2870	xst@qad.com	Counsel to QAD, Inc.
Quarles & Brady LLP	Kasey C. Nye	One South Church Street		Tucson	AZ	85701		520-770-8717	knye@quarles.com	Counsel to Offshore International, Inc.; Maquilas Teta Kawi, S.A. de C.V.; On Semiconductor Corporation; Flambeau Inc.
Quarles & Brady LLP	Roy Prange	33 E Main St Ste 900		Madison	WI	53703-3095		608-283-2485	rjp@quarles.com	Counsel for Flambeau Inc.
Reed Smith	Ann Pille	10 South Wacker Drive		Chicago	IL	60606		312-207-1000	apille@reedsmith.com	Counsel to Infineon; Infineon Technologies
Republic Engineered Products, Inc.	Joseph A Kaczka	3770 Embassy Parkway		Akron	OH	44333		330-670-3215	jkaczka@republicengineered.com	Counsel to Republic Engineered Products, Inc.
Riddell Williams P.S.	Joseph E. Shickich, Jr.	1001 4th Ave.	Suite 4500	Seattle	WA	98154-1195		206-624-3600	jshickich@riddellwilliams.com	Counsel to Microsoft Corporation; Microsoft Licensing, GP
Rieck and Crotty PC	Jerome F Crotty	55 West Monroe Street	Suite 3390	Chicago	IL	60603		312-726-4646	jcrotty@rieckcrotty.com	Counsel to Mary P. O'Neill and Liam P. O'Neill
Russell Reynolds Associates, Inc.	Charles E. Boulbol, P.C.	26 Broadway, 17th Floor		New York	NY	10004		212-825-9457	rtrack@msn.com	Counsel to Russell Reynolds Associates, Inc.
Satterlee Stephens Burke & Burke LLP	Christopher R. Belmonte	230 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10169		212-818-9200	cbelmonte@ssbb.com	Counsel to Moody's Investors Service

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Satterlee Stephens Burke & Burke LLP	Pamela A. Bosswick	230 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10169		212-818-9200	pbosswick@ssbb.com	Counsel to Moody's Investors Service
Satterlee Stephens Burke & Burke LLP	Roberto Carrillo	230 Park Avenue	Suite 1130	New York	NY	10169		212-818-9200	rcarrillo@ssbb.com	Attorney's for Tecnomec S.r.L.
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Daniel Weiner	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304		248-540-3340	dweiner@schaferandweiner.com	Counsel to Dott Industries, Inc.
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Howard Borin	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304		248-540-3340	hborin@schaferandweiner.com	Counsel to Dott Industries, Inc.
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Michael R Wernette	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304		248-540-3340	mwernette@schaferandweiner.com	Counsel to Dott Industries, Inc.
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Ryan Heilman	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304		248-540-3340	rheilman@schaferandweiner.com	Counsel to Dott Industries, Inc.
Schiff Hardin LLP	Eugene J. Geekie, Jr.	7500 Sears Tower		Chicago	IL	60606		312-258-5635	egeekie@schiffhardin.com	Counsel to Means Industries
Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP	David J. Karp	919 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-756-2000	david.karp@srz.com	Counsel to Parnassus Holdings II, LLC and Platinum Equity Capital Partners II, LP
Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP	James T. Bentley	919 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-756-2273	james.bentley@srz.com	Counsel to Panasonic Automotive Systems Company of America
Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP	Michael L. Cook	919 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-756-2000	michael.cook@srz.com	Counsel to Panasonic Automotive Systems Company of America; D.C. Capital Partners, L.P.
Schwartz Lichtenberg LLP	Barry E Lichtenberg Esq	420 Lexington Ave Ste 2400		New York	NY	10170		212-389-7818	barryster@att.net	Counsel to Marybeth Cunningham
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	Paul M. Baisier, Esq.	1545 Peachtree Street, N.E.	Suite 700	Atlanta	GA	30309-2401		404-885-1500	pbaisier@seyfarth.com	Counsel to Murata Electronics North America, Inc.; Fujikura America, Inc.
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	Robert W. Dremluk	620 Eighth Ave		New York	NY	10018-1405		212-218-5500	rdremluk@seyfarth.com	Counsel to Murata Electronics North America, Inc.; Fujikura America, Inc.
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	William J. Hanlon	World Trade Center East	Two Seaport Lane, Suite 300	Boston	MA	02210		617-946-4800	whanlon@seyfarth.com	Counsel to le Belier/LBQ Foundry S.A. de C.V.
Shaw Gussis Fishman Glantz Wolfson & Towbin LLC	Brian L Shaw	321 N. Clark St.	Suite 800	Chicago	IL	60654		312-541-0151	bshaw100@shawgussis.com	Counsel to ATC Logistics & Electronics, Inc.
Sheehan Phinney Bass + Green Professional Association	Bruce A. Harwood	1000 Elm Street	P.O. Box 3701	Manchester	NH	03105-3701		603-627-8139	bharwood@sheehan.com	Counsel to Source Electronics, Inc.
Sheldon S. Toll PLLC	Sheldon S. Toll	2000 Town Center	Suite 2550	Southfield	MI	48075		248-358-2460	lawtoll@comcast.net	Counsel to Milwaukee Investment Company
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	Eric Waters	30 Rockefeller Plaza	24th Floor	New York	NY	10112		212-332-3800	ewaters@sheppardmullin.com	Counsel to Gary Whitney
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	Malani J. Sternstein	30 Rockefeller Plaza	24th Floor	New York	NY	10112		212-332-3800	msternstein@sheppardmullin.com	Counsel to International Rectifier Corp. and Gary Whitney
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	Theodore A. Cohen	333 South Hope Street	48th Floor	Los Angeles	CA	90071		213-620-1780	tcohen@sheppardmullin.com	Counsel to Gary Whitney
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	Theresa Wardle	333 South Hope Street	48th Floor	Los Angeles	CA	90071		213-620-1780	twardle@sheppardmullin.com	Counsel to International Rectifier Corp.
Sher, Garner, Cahill, Richter, Klein & Hilbert, LLC	Robert P. Thibeaux	5353 Essen Lane	Suite 650	Baton Rouge	LA	70809		225-757-2185	rthibeaux@shergarner.com	Counsel to Gulf Coast Bank & Trust Company

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Sher, Garner, Cahill, Richter, Klein & Hilbert, LLC	Robert P. Thibaux	909 Poydras Street	28th Floor	New Orleans	LA	70112-1033		504-299-2100	rthibaux@shergarner.com	Counsel to Gulf Coast Bank & Trust Company
Shipman & Goodwin LLP	Kathleen M. LaManna	One Constitution Plaza		Hartford	CT	06103-1919		860-251-5603	bankruptcy@goodwin.com	
Sills, Cummis Epstein & Gross, P.C.	Andrew H. Sherman	30 Rockefeller Plaza		New York	NY	10112		212-643-7000	asherman@sillscummis.com	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company
Sills, Cummis Epstein & Gross, P.C.	Jack M. Zackin	30 Rockefeller Plaza		New York	NY	10112		212-643-7000	jzackin@sillscummis.com	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company
Sills, Cummis Epstein & Gross, P.C.	Valerie A Hamilton Simon Kimmelman	650 College Rd E		Princeton	NJ	08540		609-227-4600	vhamilton@sillscummis.com skimmelman@sillscummis.com	Counsel to Doosan Infracore America Corp.
Silver Point Capital, L.P.	Chaim J. Fortgang	Two Greenwich Plaza	1st Floor	Greenwich	CT	06830		203-542-4216	cfortgang@silverpointcapital.com	Counsel to Silver Point Capital, L.P.
Smith, Katzenstein & Furlow LLP	Kathleen M. Miller	800 Delaware Avenue, 7th Floor	P.O. Box 410	Wilmington	DE	19899		302-652-8400	kmiller@skfdelaware.com	Counsel to Airgas, Inc.
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP	D. Farrington Yates	1221 Avenue of the Americas	24th Floor	New York	NY	10020		212-768-6700	fyates@sonnenschein.com	Counsel to Molex, Inc. and INA USA, Inc. and United Plastics Group
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP	Monika J. Machen	8000 Sears Tower	233 South Wacker Drive	Chicago	IL	60606		312-876-8000	mmachen@sonnenschein.com	Counsel to United Plastics Group
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP	Oscar N. Pinkas	1221 Avenue of the Americas	24th Floor	New York	NY	10020		212-768-6700	opinkas@sonnenschein.com	Counsel to Schaeffler Canada, Inc. and Schaeffler KG
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP	Robert E. Richards	7800 Sears Tower	233 South Wacker Drive	Chicago	IL	60606		312-876-8000	rrichards@sonnenschein.com	Counsel to Molex, Inc. and INA USA, Inc.; Counsel to Schaeffler Canada, Inc. and Schaeffler KG
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.	G. Christopher Meyer	4900 Key Tower	127 Public Sq	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-479-8692	cmeyer@ssd.com	Counsel to Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd.; Counsel for the City of Dayton, Ohio
State of California Office of the Attorney General	Sarah E. Morrison	Deputy Attorney General	300 South Spring Street Ste 1702	Los Angeles	CA	90013		213-897-2640	sarah.morrison@doj.ca.gov	Attorneys for the State of California Department of Toxic Substances Control
State of Michigan Department of Labor & Economic Growth, Unemployment Insurance Agency	Roland Hwang Assistant Attorney General	3030 W. Grand Boulevard	Suite 9-600	Detroit	MI	48202		313-456-2210	hwangr@michigan.gov	Assistant Attorney General for State of Michigan, Unemployment Tax Office of the Department of Labor & Economic Growth, Unemployment Insurance Agency
State of Michigan Labor Division	Susan Przekop-Shaw	PO Box 30736		Lansing	MI	48909		517-373-2560	przekopshaws@michigan.gov	Assistant Attorney General as Attorney for the Michigan Workers' Compensation Agency
Steel Technologies, Inc.	John M. Baumann	15415 Shelbyville Road		Louisville	KY	40245		502-245-0322	jmbaumann@steeltechnologies.com	Counsel to Steel Technologies, Inc.
Sterns & Weinroth, P.C.	Michael A Spero Simon Kimmelman Valerie A Hamilton	50 West State Street, Suite 1400	PO Box 1298	Trenton	NJ	08607-1298		609-392-2100	jspecf@sternslaw.com	Counsel to Doosan Infracore America Corp.
Stevens & Lee, P.C.	Constantine D. Pourakis, Esq.	485 Madison Avenue	20th Floor	New York	NY	10022		212-319-8500	cp@stevenslee.com	Counsel to Tonolli Canada Ltd.; VJ Technologies, Inc. and V.J. ElectroniX, Inc.
Stinson Morrison Hecker LLP	Mark A. Shaiken	1201 Walnut Street		Kansas City	MO	64106		816-842-8600	mshaiken@stinsonmoheck.com	Counsel to Thyssenkrupp Waupaca, Inc. and Thyssenkrupp Stahl Company

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Stites & Harbison PLLC	Madison L. Cashman	424 Church Street	Suite 1800	Nashville	TN	37219		615-244-5200	robert.goodrich@stites.com	Counsel to Setech, Inc.
Stites & Harbison PLLC	Robert C. Goodrich, Jr.	424 Church Street	Suite 1800	Nashville	TN	37219		615-244-5200	madison.cashman@stites.com	Counsel to Setech, Inc.
Stites & Harbison, PLLC	W. Robinson Beard, Esq.	400 West Market Street		Louisville	KY	40202		502-681-0448 502-587-3400	wbeard@stites.com joucours@stites.com	Counsel to WAKO Electronics (USA), Inc., Ambrake Corporation, and Akebona Corporation (North America)
Stutman Treister & Glatt Professional Corporation	Christine M. Pajak Eric D. Goldberg Isaac M. Pachulski Esq Jeffrey H Davidson Esq	1901 Avenue of the Stars	12th Floor	Los Angeles	CA	90067		310-228-5600	cpajak@stutman.com egoldberg@stutman.com ipachulski@stutman.com j davidson@stutman.com	Counsel to CR Intrinsic Investors, LLC, Elliot Associates, L.P., Highland Capital Management, L.P.
Taft, Stettinius & Hollister LLP	Richard L. Ferrell	425 Walnut Street	Suite 1800	Cincinnati	OH	45202-3957		513-381-2838	ferrell@taftlaw.com	Counsel to Wren Industries, Inc.
Taft, Stettinius & Hollister LLP	W Timothy Miller Esq Jay Teitelbaum Ron Baskin	425 Walnut Street	Suite 1800	Cincinnati	OH	45202		513-381-2838	miller@taftlaw.com jteitelbaum@tblawllp.com rbaskin@tblawllp.com	Counsel to Select Industries Corporation and Gobar Systems, Inc.
Teitelbaum & Baskin LLP	Marvin E. Clements, Jr.	c/o TN Attorney General's Office, Bankruptcy Division	PO Box 20207	Nashville	TN	37202-0207		615-532-2504	agbanknewyork@ag.tn.gov	Tennessee Department of Revenue
Tennessee Department of Revenue	Jonathan D. Forstot	Two World Financial Center		New York	NY	10281		212-912-7679	jforstot@tpw.com	Counsel to TT Electronics, Plc
Thacher Proffitt & Wood LLP	Louis A. Curcio	Two World Financial Center		New York	NY	10281		212-912-7607	jcurcio@tpw.com	Counsel to TT Electronics, Plc
The Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd.	Mr. Tetsuhiro Niizeki	6-1 Marunouchi	2-Chrome, Chiyoda-ku	Tokyo	Japan	100-8322			niizeki.tetsuhiro@furukawa.co.jp	Legal Department of The Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd.
The Timpken Corporation BIC - 08	Robert Morris	1835 Dueber Ave. SW	PO Box 6927	Canton	OH	44706-0927		330-438-3000	robert.morris@timken.com	Representative for Timken Corporation
Thompson & Knight	Rhett G. Campbell	333 Clay Street	Suite 3300	Houston	TX	77002		713-654-1871	rhett.campbell@tklaw.com	Counsel to STMicroelectronics, Inc.
Thompson & Knight LLP	Ira L. Herman	919 Third Avenue	39th Floor	New York	NY	10022-3915		212-751-3045	ira.herman@tklaw.com	Counsel to Victory Packaging
Thompson & Knight LLP	John S. Brannon	1700 Pacific Avenue	Suite 3300	Dallas	TX	75201-4693		214-969-1505	john.brannon@tklaw.com	Counsel to Victory Packaging
Thompson Coburn Fagel Haber	Lauren Newman	55 East Monroe	40th Floor	Chicago	IL	60603		312-346-7500	lnewman@tcfhlaw.com	Counsel to Aluminum International, Inc.
Thompson Coburn LLP d/b/a Thompson Coburn Fagel Haber	Dennis E. Quaid Esq	55 E Monroe 37th Fl		Chicago	IL	60603		312-580-2215	dquaid@thompsoncoburn.com	Counsel for Penn Aluminum International Inc
Thompson Hine LLP	Jennifer L Maffett	2000 Courthouse Plaza NE	10 W Second St	Dayton	OH	45402		937-443-6600	Jennifer.Maffett@ThompsonHine.com	Counsel to Rieck Group, LLC n/k/a Mechanical Construction Managers, LLC
TI Group Automotive Systms LLC	Timothy M. Guerriero	12345 E Nine Mile Rd		Warren	MI	48089		586-755-8066	tguerriero@us.tiauto.com	General Counsel and Company Secretary to TI Group Automotive Systems LLC
Todd & Levi, LLP	Jill Levi, Esq.	444 Madison Avenue	Suite 1202	New York	NY	10022		212-308-7400	jlevi@todtlevi.com	Counsel to Bank of Lincolnwood
Todtman Nachamie Spizz & Johns PC	Janice B. Grubin	425 Park Avenue	5th Floor	New York	NY	10022		212-754-9400	jgrubin@tnsj-law.com	Counsel to Vanguard Distributors, Inc.
U.S. Department of Justice	Matthew L Schwartz Joseph N Cordaro	Assistant United States Attorneys	86 Chambers St 3rd Fl	New York	NY	10007		212-637-1945	matthew.schwartz@usdoj.gov Joseph.Cordaro@usdoj.gov hزامboni@underbergkessler.com	Counsel to Environmental Protection Agency; Internal Revenue Service; Department of Health and Human Services; and Customs and Border Protection
Underberg & Kessler, LLP	Helen Zamboni	300 Bausch & Lomb Place		Rochester	NY	14604		585-258-2800		Counsel to McAlpin Industries, Inc.
Union Pacific Railroad Company	Mary Ann Kilgore	1400 Douglas Street	MC 1580	Omaha	NE	68179		402-544-4195	mkilgore@UP.com	Counsel to Union Pacific Railroad Company

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy	Allied Industrial and Service Workers, Intl Union (USW), AFL-CIO	David Jury, Esq.	Five Gateway Center Suite 807	Pittsburgh	PA	15222		412-562-2546	djury@usw.org	Counsel to United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers, International Union (USW), AFL-CIO
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP	Tiffany Strelow Cobb	52 East Gay Street		Columbus	OH	43215		614-464-8322	tscoobb@vorys.com	Counsel to America Online, Inc. and its Subsidiaries and Affiliates
Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz	Richard G. Mason	51 West 52nd Street		New York	NY	10019-6150		212-403-1000	RGMason@wlrk.com	Counsel to Capital Research and Management Company
Warner Norcross & Judd LLP	Gordon J. Toering	900 Fifth Third Center	111 Lyon Street, N.W.	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-752-2185	gtoering@wnj.com	Counsel to Robert Bosch Corporation; Counsel to Daewoo International Corp and Daewoo International (America) Corp
Warner Norcross & Judd LLP	Michael G. Cruse	2000 Town Center	Suite 2700	Southfield	MI	48075		248-784-5131	mcruse@wnj.com	Counsel to Compuware Corporation
Warner Norcross & Judd LLP	Stephen B. Grow	900 Fifth Third Center	111 Lyon Street, N.W.	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-752-2158	growsb@wnj.com	Counsel to Behr Industries Corp.
Weltman, Weinberg & Reis Co., L.P.A.	Geoffrey J. Peters	175 South Third Street	Suite 900	Columbus	OH	43215		614-857-4326	gpeters@weltman.com	Counsel to Seven Seventeen Credit Union
White & Case LLP	Glenn Kurtz Gerard Uzzi Douglas Baumstein	1155 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036-2787		212-819-8200	dkurtz@ny.whitecase.com guzzi@whitecase.com dbaumstein@ny.whitecase.com	Counsel to Appaloosa Management, LP
White & Case LLP	Thomas Lauria Frank Eaton	Wachovia Financial Center	200 South Biscayne Blvd., Suite 4900	Miami	FL	33131		305-371-2700	tlauria@whitecase.com featon@miami.whitecase.com	Counsel to Appaloosa Management, LP
Whyte, Hirschboeck Dudek S.C.	Bruce G. Arnold	555 East Wells Street	Suite 1900	Milwaukee	WI	53202-4894		414-273-2100	barnold@whdlaw.com	Counsel to Schunk Graphite Technology
Wickens Herzer Panza Cook & Batista Co	James W Moennich Esq	35765 Chester Rd		Avon	OH	44011-1262		440-930-8000	jmoennich@wickenslaw.com	Counsel for Delphi Sandusky ESOP
Winston & Strawn LLP	David Neier Carey D. Schreiber	200 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10166-4193		212-294-6700	dneier@winston.com cschreiber@winston.com	Counsel to Ad Hoc Group of Tranche A & B DIP Lenders
Winthrop Couchot Professional Corporation	Marc. J. Winthrop	660 Newport Center Drive	4th Floor	Newport Beach	CA	92660		949-720-4100	mwinthrop@winthropcouchot.com	Counsel to Metal Surfaces, Inc.
Winthrop Couchot Professional Corporation	Sean A. O'Keefe	660 Newport Center Drive	4th Floor	Newport Beach	CA	92660		949-720-4100	sokeefe@winthropcouchot.com	Counsel to Metal Surfaces, Inc.
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, PLLC	Allen Grumbine	550 South Main St		Greenville	SC	29601		864-255-5402	agrumbine@wcsr.com	Counsel to Armacell
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, PLLC	Michael G. Busenkell	222 Delaware Avenue	Suite 1501	Wilmington	DE	19801			mbusenkell@wcsr.com	Counsel to Chicago Miniature Optoelectronic Technologies, Inc.
Woods Oviatt Gilman LLP	Ronald J. Kisinski	700 Crossroads Bldg	2 State St	Rochester	NY	14614		585-362-4514	rkisicki@woodsoviatt.com	
Zeichner Ellman & Krause LLP	Stuart Krause	575 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-223-0400	skrause@zeklaw.com	Counsel to Toyota Tsusho America, Inc.

EXHIBIT B

Post-Emergence Master Service List

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	PARTY / FUNCTION
United States Trustee	Brian Masumoto	33 Whitehall Street	21st Floor	New York	NY	10004-2112	212-510-0500	Counsel to United States Trustee

EXHIBIT C

Hearing Date: December 16, 2010
Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Website:
<http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	x	

**REORGANIZED DEBTORS' SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY WITH RESPECT TO
PROOFS OF CLAIM NUMBERS 10123 AND 10393 (HERAEUS ENTITIES)**

("SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY – HERAEUS ENTITIES")

DPH Holdings Corp. and certain of its affiliated reorganized debtors in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Reorganized Debtors") hereby submit this Second Supplemental Reply With Respect To Proofs Of Claim Numbers 10123 And 10393 filed by the Heraeus Entities¹ and respectfully represent as follows:

Preliminary Statement

1. The Heraeus Entities raise three arguments regarding why the Reorganized Debtors are not entitled to collect the \$488,660.90 receivable Heraeus Precious Metals owes DAS LLC (the "Receivable") through the claims objection procedures: (i) the Receivable is sought from Heraeus Precious Metals, a different entity than those (Heraeus Metal Processing and Heraeus Circuit Materials Division) that filed the Claims, (ii) recovery of the Receivable is time-barred by the statute of limitations, and (iii) amended Bankruptcy Rule 3007(b) prevents the Reorganized Debtors from collecting the Receivable through the claims process. Contrary to these assertions, the Reorganized Debtors are entitled to collect the Receivable through this Court's claims objection procedures. First, the Heraeus Entities' proofs of claim, as filed, squarely put the Receivable at issue. Moreover, although the Receivable is technically due to DAS LLC rather than the Delphi entities that the Heraeus Entities listed on the proofs of claim forms,² the Heraeus Entities cannot now rely on this technicality when they themselves listed the other Delphi entities (including DAS LLC) in the proofs of claim to assert the secured status of the Claims on account of their purported setoff rights from the Receivable. Second, on October 31, 2006, nearly three years before the statute of limitations passed to

¹ Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Reorganized Debtors' Supplemental Reply With Respect To Proofs Of Claim Numbers 10123 And 10393 (Heraeus Entities) (Docket No. 20554) (the "First Supplemental Reply").

² Proof of Claim 10123 was asserted against ASEC Manufacturing General Partnership ("ASEC") and Proof of Claim 10393 was asserted against Delphi Mechatronic Systems, Inc. ("Delphi Mechatronic").

commence an action to collect the Receivable, the Debtors objected to the Claims, thereby creating a contested matter with respect to all of the matters raised in the proofs of claim, including the validity and amount of the Receivable. Moreover, the Heraeus Entities voluntarily filed a response to the Debtors' objection which, under this Court's approved procedures, automatically adjourned the objection and the response to a later date to be determined by the Debtors in their sole discretion.³

2. Finally, at the time that the omnibus objection to the Claims was filed, Bankruptcy Rule 3007 provided that a claim objection could include a request for affirmative relief, and that such request would automatically transform the objection into an adversary proceeding. More than a year after the omnibus objection was filed, however, Bankruptcy Rule 3007 was amended to preclude a claim objection from containing a demand for relief of a kind ordinarily sought by separate adversary proceeding. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(b). Applying amended Bankruptcy Rule 3007(b) to the pending objection would be unjust, because it would deprive the Reorganized Debtors of rights they possessed at the time the objection to the Claims was filed, which objection was adjourned pursuant to this Court's claims procedures. See 28 U.S.C. § 2075 ("Such rules shall not abridge, enlarge, or modify any substantive right."); S. Ct. Order of April 30, 2007 ("[The amended Bankruptcy Rules] shall take effect on December 1, 2007, and shall govern in all proceedings in bankruptcy cases thereafter commenced and, insofar as just and practicable, all proceedings then pending."). Indeed, if amended Bankruptcy Rule 3007 applied retroactively to the Debtors' Third Omnibus Claims Objection, the Reorganized Debtors would be deprived of a substantive right they preserved by objecting to the Claims in

³ Pursuant to ¶ 9(a) of the Claim Objection Procedures Order (defined below) "all Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion."

2006. Thus, by putting the Receivable at issue in the Claims, the Debtors objection to the Claims (including the Heraeus Entities' reliance on the Receivable to assert a secured claim), and the Heraeus Entities consenting to have the Claims adjourned into the claims procedures, the collection of the Receivable is properly and timely before this Court.

A. Background

3. On July 21, 2006, Heraeus Metal Processing filed Claim 10123 against ASEC asserting a secured claim in the amount of \$322,860.53 arising from, among other things, the sale of Heraeus Products.

4. On July 24, 2006, Heraeus Circuit Materials Division filed Claim 10393 against Mechatronics asserting a secured claim in the amount of \$594,923.93 arising from, among other things, the sale of Heraeus Products. As stated in the addendum to the Claims, Heraeus Precious Metals acknowledged that it owed DAS LLC the Receivable.

5. On October 31, 2006, the Debtors objected to the Claims pursuant to the Third Omnibus Claims Objection and the Heraeus Entities filed their Response on November 21, 2006.

6. On April 30, 2007, Bankruptcy Rule 3007 was amended and the amendment became effective on December 1, 2007. Prior to December 1, 2007, Bankruptcy Rule 3007 stated in relevant part: "If an objection to a claim is joined with a demand for relief of the kind specified in Rule 7001, it becomes an adversary proceeding." Amended Bankruptcy Rule 3007(b) reads: "A party in interest shall not include a demand for relief of a kind specified in Rule 7001 in an objection to the allowance of a claim, but may include the objection in an adversary proceeding." See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(b). The Debtors' objection to the Claims preceded the amendment to Bankruptcy Rule 3007, and thus was governed by the pre-amendment rule.

7. On December 20, 2007, this Court entered the Order Under New Bankruptcy Rule 3007 And 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Authorizing Debtors To Continue Claims Objection Procedures Under Order Dated December 7, 2006 Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims (Docket No. 11561) (the "Order Authorizing Continued Claims Objection Procedures") which authorized the Debtors to continue the claims objection procedures. Contrary to the Heraeus Entities implied assertion, the Debtors do not have to reobject to all claims that were previously objected to prior to the amendment of Bankruptcy Rule 3007.⁴ Indeed, this result would be precisely the sort of unjust and impracticable application of the amended Bankruptcy Rules that the Supreme Court stated would not apply to pending proceedings. See S. Ct. Order of April 30, 2007. The amendment to Bankruptcy Rule 3007 was not applied retroactively to previous omnibus objections.

8. On August 26, 2010, the Reorganized Debtors filed their First Supplemental Reply.

9. On October 8, 2010, the Heraeus Entities filed Heraeus' Response To Reorganized Debtors' Supplemental Reply With Respect To Proof Of Claim Numbers 10123 And 10393 (Docket No. 20660) (the "Supplemental Response").

10. In the Supplemental Response, the Heraeus Entities concede that the Reorganized Debtors' reconciliation of the Claims is correct, yet disagree that the Reorganized

⁴ Specifically, the Heraeus Entities assert that amended Bankruptcy Rule 3007 applies to all pending objections and that the Order Authorizing Continued Claims Objection Procedures "only concerned new Bankruptcy Rule 3007(c) and the Debtors ability to continue to file omnibus objections" containing more than 100 claims per objection. (Docket No. 20660 ¶¶ 10, 11 n.4 (emphasis added).)

Debtors are entitled to the Receivable that the Heraeus Entities themselves admitted to be owed in the Claims. The Debtors and the Heraeus Entities had been negotiating the resolution of the Claims and the Receivable since the filing of the Third Omnibus Claims Objection. The Heraeus Entities now attempt to avoid payment of the Receivable, despite putting forth the issue of the Receivable in each of its Claims, listing Heraeus Precious Metals as a claimant in the addendum to each of the Claims, and relying on the Receivable to assert the Claims as secured.

Argument

11. For the reasons set forth below, Claim 10393 should be disallowed and expunged, Claim 10123 should be allowed as a general unsecured non-priority claim in the amount of \$16,688.13, and Heraeus Precious Metals should be required to pay the Receivable to DPH-DAS LLC.⁵

B. Collection Of The Receivable Was Put Before This Court By The Heraeus Entities

12. The Heraeus Entities put forth the issue of the Receivable in the Claims and included Heraeus Precious Metals in the addendum to both Claims. Specifically, pursuant to the addendum to both Claim 10123 (the "Addendum to Proof of Claim 10123") and Claim 10393 (the "Addendum to Proof of Claim 10393"), the Heraeus Entities put forward the issue of the Receivable. The Addendum to Proof of Claim 10123 states that Heraeus Metal Processing "seeks to setoff its claims against the Delphi Entities (\$322,860.53) against amounts owed by Heraeus [Precious Metals] to Delphi Entities (\$488,660.90)." (Addendum to Proof of Claim 10123 ¶ 9.) Likewise, the Addendum to Proof of Claim 10393 states that Heraeus Circuit Materials "seeks to setoff a portion of its claims against the Delphi Entities (\$594,923.93) against

⁵ The Reorganized Debtors hereby incorporate all arguments made in the First Supplemental Reply.

amounts owed by Heraeus [Precious Metals] to the Delphi Entities (\$488,660.90)." (Addendum to Proof of Claim 10393 ¶ 9.)

13. The Heraeus Entities further assert in the Claims that their setoff rights arise from the Mutual Setoff Agreement, which the Heraeus Entities describe as follows:

The Mutual Setoff Agreement was negotiated in good faith and at arm's length. Article 21 of the Delphi General Terms & Conditions and the Mutual Setoff Agreement clearly demonstrate the parties' pre-petition intent to aggregate debts of the parties and their affiliates and create a global resolution to settle claims among all of the various parties and their affiliates.

(Addendum to Proof of Claim 10123 ¶ 7; Addendum to Proof of Claim 10393 ¶ 7 (emphasis added).) The Heraeus Entities pleaded the Receivable owed by Heraeus Precious Metals to DAS LLC as part of their Claims; therefore, recovering the Receivable is proper in the context of resolving the Claims.

14. DPH-DAS LLC Is Entitled To Collect The Receivable Put Forth In The Heraeus Entities' Claims. In their Supplemental Response, the Heraeus Entities assert that DAS LLC is not entitled to collect the receivable because (a) Heraeus Precious Metals is a different entity than the ones that asserted the Claims and (b) DAS LLC is a different debtor entity than the entities against which the Heraeus Entities asserted their Claims. This position is contrary to the Proofs of Claim, and, in fact, the Receivable owed by Heraeus Precious Metals to DAS LLC was expressly incorporated into the addendum to each of the Claims. According to the Addendum to Claim 10123, which was filed by Heraeus Metal Processing against ASEC "and its related affiliates and entities" (see Addendum to Proof of Claim 10123 ¶ 1), Heraeus Precious Metals (the party that owed the Receivable to DAS LLC) was included in the defined term "Heraeus," which sought relief through the claims process. Specifically, Heraeus Metal

Processing defined the term "Heraeus" in the section titled "Claims of Heraeus Against ASEC" as follows:

Metal Processing, along with its parent, W.C. Heraeus GmbH ("W.C. Heraeus"), and its affiliates, including, Heraeus, Inc., Circuit Materials Division a/k/a Heraeus Cermalloy Inc. and Heraeus Inc., Cermalloy Division ("Circuit Materials") and Heraeus Precious Metals Management LLC ("Precious Metals") (W.C. Heraeus, Metal Processing, Circuit Materials, Precious Metals, and their affiliated and related entities shall collectively be referred to as "Heraeus").

(Addendum to Proof of Claim 10123 ¶ 3 (emphasis added).)

15. Similarly, according to the Addendum to Proof of Claim 10393, which was filed by Heraeus Circuit Materials against Delphi Mechatronic "and its related affiliates and entities" (see Addendum to Proof of Claim 103093 ¶ 1), Heraeus Precious Metals (the party that owed the Receivable to DAS LLC) was included in the defined term "Heraeus," which sought relief through the claims process. Specifically, Heraeus Circuit Materials (the party that asserted Claim 10393) defined the term "Heraeus" in the section titled "Claims of Heraeus Against Delphi" as follows:

Circuit Materials, along with its parent, W.C. Heraeus GmbH ("W.C. Heraeus"), and its affiliates, including, Heraeus Metal Processing, Inc. ("Metal Processing") and Heraeus Precious Metals Management LLC ("Precious Metals") (W.C. Heraeus, Metal Processing, Circuit Materials, Precious Metals, and their affiliated and related entities shall collectively be referred to as "Heraeus").

(Addendum to Proof of Claim 10393 ¶ 3 (emphasis added).)

16. Moreover, in the Supplemental Response, the Heraeus Entities assert that the Receivable is due to DAS LLC rather than ASEC (the debtor entity against which Proof of Claim 10123 was asserted) or Delphi Mechatronic (the debtor entity against which Proof of Claim 10393 was asserted). However, as set forth above, the addendum to each of the Heraeus Entities' Claims shows that the Claims were asserted not only against ASEC and Delphi Mechatronic, but against the "related affiliates and entities (collectively, the 'Delphi Entities')" of

each debtor listed on the proof of claim form. (Addendum to Proof of Claim 10123 ¶ 1; Addendum to Proof of Claim 10393 ¶ 1 (emphasis added).) The Heraeus Entities that filed the Claims also relied on that very Receivable to assert secured claims on account of their setoff rights from that same Receivable. Whether Claim 10123 is secured is based on Heraeus Metal Processing's attempt to "setoff its claim against the Delphi Entities (\$322,860.53) against amounts owed by Heraeus to Delphi Entities (\$488,660.90)." (Addendum to Proof of Claim 10123 ¶ 9.) Likewise, whether Claim 10393 is secured is based on Heraeus Circuit Materials' attempt to "setoff a portion of its claim against the Delphi Entities (\$594,923.93) against amounts owed by Heraeus to the Delphi Entities (\$488,660.90)." (Addendum to Proof of Claim 10393 ¶ 9.) Thus, the Receivable, along with the party that owes the Receivable (Heraeus Precious Metals) and the party to whom the Receivable is payable (DAS LLC), were put at issue in the Claims and the Heraeus Entities relied on the Receivable as part of the basis for their Claims.

17. The Heraeus Entities Consented To Resolving Contested Matters Through The Claims Procedures. Although in its Supplemental Response the Heraeus Entities assert that "(a) the Debtors have not objected to any proof of claim by Heraeus Precious Metals,⁶ and (b) Heraeus Precious Metals is neither asking the Court to abstain nor is it asserting a counterclaim," the issues of the Set-Off Request and the Receivable were included in both Claims as filed by Heraeus Circuit Materials and Heraeus Metals Processing. Neither the issues of (i) the secured status of the Claims, (ii) the Set-Off Request, nor (iii) the payment of the Receivable have been decided and remain contested matters pursuant to the Third Omnibus Claims Objection.

⁶ As described above, the Reorganized Debtors contend that by including the issue of the Receivable owed by Heraeus Precious Metals in both Claims and by including Heraeus Precious Metals as a party to both Claims, all issues set forth in the Claims properly became contested matters on October 31, 2006 pursuant to the Third Omnibus Claims Objection.

18. Furthermore, the Heraeus Entities committed to resolving these issues, including the payment of the Receivable through the procedures outlined in the Claim Objection Procedures Order⁷ when it filed its Response. Specifically, the Claim Objection Procedures Order states:

To the extent that a Response is filed with respect to any claim listed in a claims objection (each, a "Contested Claim"), each such Claim and the objection to such Claim asserted in the claims objection shall be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

(Claim Objection Procedures Order ¶ 5.)

All Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion.

(Claim Objection Procedures Order ¶ 9(a).)

19. Indeed, the Heraeus Entities filed their Response consistent with the procedures set forth in the Claim Objection Procedures Order and made no objection to the procedures. Therefore, the contested matter covering all of the issues set forth in the Claims was properly adjourned pursuant to the Claim Objection Procedures Order.

C. The Contested Matter Was Created Prior To The Amendment Of Bankruptcy Rule 3007 And Accordingly Was Raised Before The Expiration Of The Statute Of Limitations

20. The Receivable Was A Contested Matter Pursuant To The Third Omnibus Claims Objection. On October 31, 2006, the Debtors objected to the Claims pursuant to their Third Omnibus Claims Objection, thereby initiating a contested matter with respect to the Claims. See In re Nibisco Supply, 1997 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 7953, at *5-6 (W.D.N.Y. June 4, 1997) (citing In re Fairchild, 969 F.2d 866, 868 (10th Cir.1992)); see also In re Clark, 2001 Bankr. LEXIS

⁷ The "Claim Objection Procedures Order" refers to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. Section 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims (Docket No. 6089), entered December 6, 2006.

1794, at *6-7 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 2001) (holding that the contested matter initiated by an objection to a claim is governed by Bankruptcy Rule 9014); see also Claim Objection Procedures Order ¶ 5.

21. As discussed above, on April 30, 2007, Bankruptcy Rule 3007 was amended and the amendment became effective on December 1, 2007. Prior to December 1, 2007, Bankruptcy Rule 3007 stated in relevant part: "If an objection to a claim is joined with a demand for relief of the kind specified in Rule 7001, it becomes an adversary proceeding." Whereas, the current version of Bankruptcy Rule 3007(b) reads: "A party in interest shall not include a demand for relief of a kind specified in Rule 7001 in an objection to the allowance of a claim, but may include the objection in an adversary proceeding." Therefore, the contested matter was at issue before Bankruptcy Rule 3007 was amended and nearly three years before the alleged statute of limitations expired. As set forth above, applying amended Bankruptcy Rule 3007(b) to the pending objection would be unjust, because it would deprive the Reorganized Debtors of rights they possessed at the time the objection to the Claims was filed, which objection was adjourned pursuant to this Court's claims procedures. See 28 U.S.C. § 2075 ("Such rules shall not abridge, enlarge, or modify any substantive right."); S. Ct. Order of April 30, 2007 ("[The amended Bankruptcy Rules] shall take effect on December 1, 2007, and shall govern in all proceedings in bankruptcy cases thereafter commenced and, insofar as just and practicable, all proceedings then pending."). Accordingly, because applying amended Bankruptcy Rule 3007(b) would impair or abridge substantive rights of the Debtors as they existed at the time the objection was filed, amended Bankruptcy Rule 3007(b) should not be applied retroactively to the Third Omnibus Claims Objection.

22. The Debtors Are Free To Supplement The Third Omnibus Claims Objection. In its Supplemental Response, the Heraeus Entities suggest that the Reorganized

Debtors put forth the issue of the Receivable nearly five years after the statute of limitations expired. To the contrary, the Heraeus Entities put the Receivable at issue in their Claims, and the Debtors objected to the Claims, preserved their rights with respect to the issues asserted in the Claims and "expressly reserve[d] the right to amend, modify, or supplement [the] Third Omnibus Claims Objection[.]" (Third Omnibus Claims Objection ¶ 45.)

23. After it had become clear that the Heraeus Entities' attempts to set-off their Claims were invalid and could not provide the basis for a secured claim, the Reorganized Debtors noticed the Claims for a hearing on June 8, 2010.⁸ Consistent with the practice the Debtors and the Reorganized Debtors have consistently applied in these cases and as authorized under paragraph 9(d) of the Claim Objection Procedures Order, the Reorganized Debtors supplemented the Third Omnibus Claims Objection with their Statement of Disputed Issues and First Supplemental Reply, which requested, among other things, that the Receivable of \$488,660.90 that the Heraeus Entities put at issue in their Claims be paid to the Reorganized Debtors by Heraeus Precious Metals. Specifically, the Claim Objection Procedures Order provides:

The Statement Of Disputed Issues shall contain a concise statement summarily setting forth the primary reasons why the claim should be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified as set forth in the claims objection, including, but not limited to, the material factual and legal bases upon which the Debtors will rely in prosecuting the claims objection, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim.

⁸ The technical argument that the Debtors were required to specify that they were seeking to recover the Receivable at the time they lodged the Third Omnibus Claims Objection is without force. The Debtors objected to the Claims on a books and records basis, disputing everything put forth in the Claims. Indeed, the Heraeus Entities recognized that the Debtors sought to collect the Receivable as part of the claims process long before the Reorganized Debtors filed their Statement of Disputed Issues, as reflected by ongoing settlement negotiations between the parties. The Heraeus Entities should not be surprised, therefore, by the adjudication of the issues set forth in the Claims (including adjudication of the Receivable) through the claims process.

(Claim Objection Procedures Order ¶ 9(d).)

24. Supplementing the Third Omnibus Claims Objection in this manner is also consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(c), which provides, in relevant part, that "[a]n amendment to a pleading relates back to the date of the original pleading when . . . (B) the amendment asserts a claim or defense that arose out of the conduct, transaction, or occurrence set out – or attempted to be set out – in the original pleading[.]" Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(c) is made applicable by Bankruptcy Rule 7015.⁹

25. The Reorganized Debtors' supplement to the Third Omnibus Claims Objection by means of the Statement of Disputed Issues and the First Supplemental Reply is proper because it relates to issues raised by the Heraeus Entities in their Claims, and resolving the Receivable as set forth in the Statement of Disputed Issues should therefore relate back to the date of the Third Omnibus Claims Objection. See S.N.A. Nut Co. v. Tulare Nut Co. (In re S.N.A. Nut Co.), 197 B.R. 642, 649 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 1996) (overruling a timeliness objection to Debtors' adversary proceeding complaint because the complaint arose out of the same transaction upon which the defendant's claim arose and therefore the complaint related back to the Debtors' timely filed omnibus claims objection); see also Staren v. Am. Nat'l Bank & Trust Co., 529 F.2d 1257, 1263 (7th Cir.1976) ("It is well settled that the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure are to be liberally construed to effectuate the general purpose of seeing that cases are tried on the merits and to dispense with technical procedural problems. To this end, amendments pursuant to Rule 15(c) should be freely allowed."). The resolution of the Receivable as set forth in the Statement

⁹ Although Bankruptcy Rule 7015 is not incorporated into Bankruptcy Rule 9014, the Reorganized Debtors' supplement to the objection seeks collection of the Receivable and therefore constitutes an adversary proceeding under Bankruptcy Rule 3007. Accordingly, Bankruptcy Rule 7015 is applicable.

of Disputed Issues and First Supplemental Reply should therefore relate back to the date of the Third Omnibus Claims Objection and was also within the statute of limitations.

D. The Reorganized Debtors' Request For Affirmative Relief Transforms The Contested Matter Into An Adversary Proceeding Timely Before The Court

26. As the Heraeus Entities note in their Supplemental Response, amended Bankruptcy Rule 3007(b) went into effect on December 1, 2007. As of October 31, 2006, the date of the Third Omnibus Claims Objection and the date the contested matter was created, Bankruptcy Rule 3007 provided that "[i]f an objection to a claim is joined with a demand for relief of the kind specified in Rule 7001, it becomes an adversary proceeding." In In re Metiom, Inc., 301 B.R. 634 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2003), which was decided prior to the amendment of Bankruptcy Rule 3007 in 2007, this Court clarified the rule

Bankruptcy Rule 3007 states that "If an objection to a claim is joined with a demand for relief of the kind specified in Rule 7001, it *becomes* an adversary proceeding." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 (emphasis added). It does not have to be dismissed, as [claimant] contends, with the trustee's only option to recommence the action as an adversary proceeding.

Instead, when one of the types of relief specified in Bankruptcy Rule 7001 is raised in a claim objection, the objection automatically becomes more than a contested matter under Bankruptcy Rule 9014 . . .

Id. at 639.

27. Because the Debtors have joined their objection to the Claims with a request for affirmative relief to recover the Receivable, the contested matter automatically becomes an adversary proceeding. See In re Metiom, Inc., 301 B.R. at 639. Under these circumstances, the adversary proceeding is not a new proceeding but relates back to the date the Third Omnibus Claims Objection was filed. See In re S.N.A. Nut Co., 197 B.R. at 649.

28. The adversary complaint seeking affirmative relief against Heraeus Precious Metals therefore relates back to October 31, 2006 – well within the four year statute of

limitations period under Michigan, Delaware, or New York law asserted by the Heraeus Entities. Because at the time that the omnibus objection to the Claims was filed, Bankruptcy Rule 3007 provided that a claim objection could include a request for affirmative relief, the request for payment of the admittedly owed Receivable automatically transformed the objection into an adversary proceeding. Thus, by putting the Receivable at issue in the Claims, the Debtors objecting to the Claims (including objecting to the Heraeus Entities' reliance on the Receivable to assert a secured claim), and the Heraeus Entities consenting to have the Claims adjourned into the claims procedures, the collection of the Receivable is properly and timely before this Court.

Conclusion

29. For the foregoing reasons, the Reorganized Debtors assert that (a) the Heraeus Entities have conceded that the Claims should be resolved as set forth in the First Supplemental Reply, (b) Bankruptcy Rule 3007, as applicable at the time the Third Omnibus Claims Objection was filed, does not prevent the Reorganized Debtors from collecting the Receivable through the claims procedures, and (c) the Debtors' Third Omnibus Claims Objection was automatically transformed into an adversary proceeding properly and timely putting forth the issue of the Receivable before this Court. Accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors may collect the Receivable.

WHEREFORE the Reorganized Debtors respectfully request that this Court enter an order (a) disallowing and expunging Claim 10393 in its entirety, (b) allowing Claim 10123 as a general unsecured non-priority claim in the amount of \$16,688.13 against ASEC Manufacturing General Partnership, (c) ordering Heraeus Precious Metals to pay DPH-DAS LLC the total sum of \$488,660.90 as settlement of the Receivable within 30 days of the conclusion of the Claims Objection Hearing, and (d) granting the Reorganized Debtors such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: New York, New York
November 16, 2010

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

EXHIBIT D

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Website:
<http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	x	

(I) NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOFS OF CLAIM NUMBERS 1294 AND 1301 FILED
BY OHIO BUREAU OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION AND (II) NOTICE OF
SUFFICIENCY HEARING WITH RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF
CLAIM NUMBER 1294 FILED BY OHIO BUREAU OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION

("NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING AS TO
PROOFS OF CLAIM NUMBERS 1294 AND 1301 AND NOTICE OF
SUFFICIENCY HEARING AS TO PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 1294")

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on June 22, 2009, Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, former debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (f/k/a In re Delphi Corporation, et al.) (collectively, the "Debtors"), predecessors of DPH Holdings Corp. and certain of its affiliated reorganized debtors (the "Reorganized Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number 1294 ("Proof of Claim 1294") and proof of claim number 1301 ("Proof of Claim 1301" together with Proof of Claim 1294, the "Proofs of Claim") filed by the Ohio Bureau of Workers' Compensation pursuant to the Debtors' Thirty-Fourth Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11. U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To (I) Expunge (A) Certain Pension And OPEB Claims, (B) Certain Individual Workers' Compensation Claims, (C) Certain Duplicate And/Or Amended Individual Workers' Compensation Claims, (D) Certain Untimely Individual Workers' Compensation Claims, (E) A Secured Books And Records Claim, And (F) Certain Untimely Claims, (II) Modify Certain (A) Wage And Benefit Claims, (B) State Workers' Compensation Claims, And (C) Individual Workers' Compensation Claims Asserting Priority, (III) Provisionally Disallow Certain Union Claims, And (IV) Modify And Allow Certain Settled Claims (Docket No. 17182).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on October 6, 2009, the Debtors substantially consummated the First Amended Joint Plan Of Reorganization Of Delphi Corporation And Certain Affiliates, Debtors And Debtors-In-Possession, As Modified (the "Modified Plan"), which had been approved by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York pursuant to an order entered on July 30, 2009 (Docket No. 18707), and emerged from chapter 11 as the Reorganized Debtors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that Article 9.6(a) of the Modified Plan provides that "[t]he Reorganized Debtors shall retain responsibility for administering, disputing,

objecting to, compromising, or otherwise resolving all Claims against, and Interests in, the Debtors and making distributions (if any) with respect to all Claims and Interests."

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on March 16, 2010, the Reorganized Debtors filed the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proofs Of Claim Nos. 1294 And 1301 (Ohio Bureau Of Workers' Compensation) (Docket No. 19687), scheduling an evidentiary hearing (the "Evidentiary Hearing") on the merits of the Proofs of Claim.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on October 20, 2010, the Reorganized Debtors filed the Notice Of Adjournment Of Claims Objection Hearing With Respect To Debtors' Objection To Proofs Of Claim Numbers 1294 And 1301 Filed By Ohio Bureau Of Workers' Compensation (Docket No. 20693). The Evidentiary Hearing was scheduled for December 16, 2010, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, 300 Quarropas Street, Room 118, White Plains, New York 10601-4140.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to paragraph 9(a)(ii) of the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Claims Objection Procedures Order"), the Twelfth Supplemental Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered July 16, 2010 (Docket No. 20426), and the Thirteenth Supplemental Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) and Fed. R.

Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, and 9014 Establishing (I) Dates for Hearings Regarding Objections to Claims and (II) Certain Notices and Procedures Governing Objections to Claims, entered November 1, 2010 (Docket No. 20426), (a) the Evidentiary Hearing regarding Proof of Claim 1301 is hereby adjourned to February 17, 2011, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time), (b) the Evidentiary Hearing regarding Proof of Claim 1294 is hereby adjourned indefinitely, and (c) a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of Proof of Claim 1294 and whether such Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for December 16, 2010, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, 300 Quarropas Street, Room 118, White Plains, New York 10601-4140.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing regarding Proof of Claim 1294 and the Evidentiary Hearing regarding Proof of Claim 1301 will each proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Claims Objection Procedures Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Claims Objection Procedures Order carefully because failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Claims Objection Procedures Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of your Proofs of Claim. A copy of the Claims Objection Procedures Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Reorganized Debtors may further adjourn the Sufficiency Hearing regarding Proof of Claim 1294 or the Evidentiary Hearing regarding Proof of Claim 1301 at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
November 16, 2010

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X	
	:
In re	:
	:
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:
	:
Debtors.	:
	:
-----X	

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2002(m),
3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, AND 9014 ESTABLISHING (I) DATES FOR
HEARINGS REGARDING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND (II) CERTAIN
NOTICES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

("CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And
Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For
Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And
Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims, dated
October 31, 2006 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and
affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the
"Debtors"); and upon the objections to the Motion and the record of the hearing held on the
Motion; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:¹

A. Proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion has been provided, such notice was good, sufficient and appropriate under the particular circumstances, and no other or further notice of the Motion is or shall be required.

B. The Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The relief requested in the Motion and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED
THAT:

1. This Court shall conduct special periodic hearings on contested claims matters in these cases (the "Claims Hearing Dates"), to be held in Courtroom 610, United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 unless the Debtors and the parties whose claims are affected are otherwise notified by the Court. The following dates and times have been scheduled as Claims Hearing Dates in these chapter 11 cases:

December 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

January 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

February 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

March 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

¹ Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

March 21, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 5, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 27, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 10, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 22, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 2, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 17, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

September 28, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 11, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 26, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 8, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

December 6, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

2. Any response to a claims objection or an omnibus claims objection (a "Response") must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006,

9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel) and (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese), in each case so as to be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on the seventh calendar day prior to the Omnibus Hearing for which the relevant claims objection or omnibus claims objection is scheduled.

3. Every Response must contain at a minimum the following:

- (a) the title of the claims objection to which the Response is directed;
- (b) the name of the claimant (each holder of a proof of claim, a "Claimant") and a brief description of the basis for the amount of the claim;
- (c) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which the Claimant will rely in opposing the claims objection;
- (d) unless already set forth in the proof of claim previously filed with the Court, documentation sufficient to establish a prima facie right to payment; provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be

confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints;

(e) to the extent that the claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such claim upon liquidation of the claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; and

(f) the address(es) to which the Debtors must return any reply to the Response, if different from the address(es) presented in the claim.

4. Only those Responses made in writing and timely filed and received will be considered by the Court. If a Claimant whose proof of claim is subject to a claims objection and who is served with the relevant claims objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors may present to the Court an appropriate order seeking relief with respect to such claim consistent with the relief sought in the relevant claims objection without further notice to the claimant, provided that, upon entry of such an order, the claimant shall receive notice of the entry of such order as provided below; provided, however, that if the claimant files a timely Response, which does not include the required minimum information provided in paragraph 3 above, the Debtors shall seek disallowance and expungement of the relevant claim or claims only in accordance with the Claims Hearing Procedures provided in paragraph 9 below.

5. To the extent that a Response is filed with respect to any claim listed in a claims objection (each, a "Contested Claim"), each such Claim and the objection to such Claim asserted in the claims objection shall be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

6. The Debtors are hereby authorized and directed to serve each Claimant whose proof of claim is listed in any omnibus claims objection with (a) a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim which specifically identifies the Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to objection and the basis for such objection and (b) a complete copy of the relevant omnibus

claims objection without exhibits. Service of omnibus claims objections in such manner shall constitute good and sufficient notice and no other or further notice to claimants of an omnibus claims objection shall be required.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC (the "Claims Agent") is hereby authorized and directed to serve all orders entered with respect to any omnibus claims objections, including exhibits, upon only the master service list and the 2002 list. The Claims Agent is hereby further authorized and directed to serve all claimants whose proofs of claim are the subject of an order entered with respect to an omnibus claims objection with a copy of such order, without exhibits, and a personalized Notice Of Entry Of Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A specifically identifying such Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to the order, the Court's treatment of such proof of claim, and the basis for such treatment, and advising the Claimant of its ability to view the order with exhibits free of charge on the Debtors' Legal Information Website. Without limiting the foregoing, the Court hereby directs the Claims Agent to serve the First Omnibus Claims Order in the manner provided hereby.

8. Any order entered by the Court with respect to an objection asserted in an omnibus claims objection shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim covered by such order.

9. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the determination of Contested Claims (the "Claims Hearing Procedures"):

(a) Adjournment Of Claims Hearing.

(i) All Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion, by serving the Claimant with notice as provided herein. The Debtors may send such notice to each Claimant when they deem it appropriate to do so, subject to the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and any further order of this Court.

The Debtors shall schedule the further hearing upon each Contested Claim to a Claims Hearing of the Debtors' election:

(A) for a non-evidentiary hearing to address the legal sufficiency of the particular proof of claim and whether the proof of claim states a claim against the asserted Debtor under Bankruptcy Rule 7012 (a "Sufficiency Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B (a "Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 20 business days prior to the date of such Sufficiency Hearing, or

(B) for an evidentiary hearing on the merits of such Contested Claim (a "Claims Objection Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C (a "Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing" and, collectively with the Notice of Sufficiency Hearing, the "Notices of Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 65 calendar days prior to the date of such Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) The Debtors, in their sole discretion, are authorized to further adjourn a hearing scheduled in accordance herewith at any time by providing notice to the Court and the Claimant at least five business days prior to the date of the scheduled hearing; provided, however, that the hearing on any Contested Claim shall not be adjourned for more than a total of 180 calendar days from date of service of the initial Notice of Hearing set forth in paragraph 9(a)(i)(A) and (B) above without consent of the Claimant with respect thereto, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

(b) Sufficiency Hearing Procedures.

(i) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Debtors wish to file a supplemental pleading, they shall file and serve their pleading no later than ten calendar days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental pleading shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(ii) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Claimant wishes to file a supplemental response, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental response shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(iii) To the extent that this Court determines upon conclusion of the Sufficiency Hearing that a Contested Claim cannot be disallowed in whole or in part without further proceedings, the Debtors shall provide to the Claimant a Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing pursuant to the procedures set forth above.

(c) Mandatory Meet And Confer.

(i) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of

business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, and (C) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold an in-person meet and confer (an "In-Person Meet and Confer") at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan, or such other location as is reasonably acceptable to the Debtors, within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000, (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, or (3) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, and (B) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold a telephonic meet and confer (a "Telephonic Meet and Confer" and, collectively with In-Person Meet and Confers, the "Meet and Confers") within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) The following representatives of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend the Meet and Confer: (A) counsel for each of the parties, except for a Claimant proceeding pro se, who shall be prepared to discuss the matter described in paragraph 9 (k) below, and (B) a person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of the Debtors and the Claimant, respectively; provided, however, that counsel for each of the parties may participate in the Meet and Confer telephonically.

(iv) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Meet and Confer in good faith.

(d) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues. Within five business days after service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors shall file and serve a written statement of disputed issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") upon the Claimant. The Statement Of Disputed Issues shall contain a concise statement summarily setting forth the primary reasons why the claim should be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified as set forth in the claims objection, including, but not limited to, the material factual and legal bases upon which the Debtors will rely in prosecuting the claims objection, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim. The Statement of Disputed Issues shall also include documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim; provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Statement of Disputed Issues; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and

provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints.

(e) Claimant's Supplemental Response. The following procedures apply to the Claimant's written supplemental response (the "Supplemental Response"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k), filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing for a Contested Claim:

(i) The Claimant may file and serve its Supplemental Response (with a copy to chambers) no later than 30 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Response shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Claimant relies on exhibits, the Claimant shall include such exhibits in its Supplemental Response (other than those previously included with either its Proof of Claim or its Response); provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Contested Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Claimant shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Response, as appropriate.

(iii) The Supplemental Response may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the basis of the Contested Claim and evidence supporting the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Claimant intends to call a person not under such Claimant's control at the hearing, the Claimant shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Claimant's basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Claimant shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing; instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Response, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Claimant's control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Debtors may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing, or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Claimant.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Claimant timely filed a Supplemental Response, the Claimant may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Response and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of its witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Response and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Response shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(f) Debtors' Supplemental Reply. The following procedures shall apply to the Debtors' written supplemental reply, if any (the "Supplemental Reply"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing with respect to a Contested Claim:

(i) The Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) a Supplemental Reply no later than 20 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Reply shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Debtors rely on exhibits, the Debtors shall include such exhibits in their Supplemental Reply (other than those previously included with either their objection or reply); provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Reply; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Debtors intend to rely in support of their objection, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Debtors shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Reply.

(iii) The Supplemental Reply may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the Debtors' basis for objecting to the Contested Claim and evidence in support of such objection to the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Debtors intend to call a person not under the Debtors' control at the hearing, the Debtors shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Debtors' basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, as appropriate, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Debtors shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing, instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Reply, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Debtors' control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Claimant may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Debtors.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Debtors timely filed a Supplemental Reply, the Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Reply and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of their witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Reply and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Reply shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(g) Mandatory Non-Binding Summary Mediation. Except as set forth below, at least 15 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the Claimant shall submit to mandatory non-binding summary mediation (each, a

"Mediation") in an effort to consensually resolve the Contested Claim. The Mediation shall be governed by General Order M-143 except as follows. The following procedures shall apply to each Mediation, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) Each Mediation shall be assigned to one of the mediators listed by the Debtors on Exhibit D hereto (each, a "Mediator"). The Debtors and the Claimant shall agree upon the Mediator at the Meet and Confer; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a Mediator, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(ii) The Mediator shall not have the authority to require either the Debtors or the Claimant to provide any additional briefing with respect to the Mediation.

(iii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000) and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan.

(iv) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location reasonably acceptable to the Debtors and the Claimant; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a neutral location at the Meet and Confer, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(v) If (A) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000 or (B) the Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, participation in Mediation shall be voluntary and any Mediation may be held telephonically at either the Debtors' or the Claimant's request.

(vi) A person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend an in-person Mediation or participate in a telephonic Mediation, if any; provided, however, that the Debtors' counsel will not be precluded from attending and participating in a Mediation in the event that the claimant elects not to have its counsel attend or participate in a Mediation.

(vii) Absent consent of each of the Claimant and the Debtors, the length of the Mediation shall be limited to one day.

(viii) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Mediation in good faith.

(ix) The Debtors and the Claimant shall each bear its own costs in participating in the Mediation. The Debtors are hereby authorized to pay the Mediator's fees.

(h) Claims Objection Hearing Discovery. If a Claims Objection Hearing is scheduled for a particular Contested Claim, the Debtors and the Claimant shall be bound by the following discovery procedures, which shall otherwise be governed by the Bankruptcy Rules, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Response, the Debtors may request:

(A) That the Claimant produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Claimant respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Claimant respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Reply, the Claimant may request:

(A) That the Debtors produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Debtors respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Debtors respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors may, at their election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Claimant's Supplemental Response. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(iv) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Claimant may, at its election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Debtors' Supplemental Reply. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(v) Except as provided in paragraph 9(g)(vi) above, nothing in this Order alters any obligation of opposing counsel with regard to communications with non-counsel opponents or any applicable law regarding corporations or other business entities to be represented by counsel.

(i) Conduct Of The Claims Objection Hearing. The Debtors and the Claimant shall each be permitted, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, no more than one hour to present their respective cases, inclusive of time cross-examining their opponent's witnesses and making argument to the Court. The parties shall coordinate with each other in advance of the hearing with respect to, joint exhibit binders, stipulated admission of evidence, anticipated disputes regarding the admission of particular evidence and any designated deposition testimony.

(j) Estimation Based Upon Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount. To the extent that a Contested Claim would be subject to estimation pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Debtors have sought authority to estimate such Contested Claim pursuant to an omnibus claims objection and/or a motion to estimate claims, if the Claimant has filed a Response in accordance with the procedures outlined above which (i) acknowledges that the Contested Claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) provides the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such Contested Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount"), the Debtors are hereby authorized, in their sole discretion, to elect to provisionally accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of such Contested Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code for all purposes other than allowance, but including voting and establishing reserves for purposes of distribution, subject to further objection and reduction as appropriate and section 502(j) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' election shall be made by serving the Claimant with a Notice Of Election To Accept Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E. The Contested Claim will otherwise remain subject in all respects to the procedures outlined herein.

(k) Ability To Modify Procedures By Agreement Or Order Of Court. At the Meet and Confer, the parties shall discuss discovery parameters, briefing, evidence to be presented, the timing outlined herein, and any modifications thereto that are necessary due to the facts and circumstances of the relevant Contested Claim. Should the parties be unable to agree on reasonable modifications to these Claim Hearing Procedures, if any, either party may request that the Court promptly schedule a teleconference to consider such proposed modifications. No discovery, testimony, or motion practice other than that described herein, as modified, shall be permitted, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court.

10. The procedures approved herein shall not apply to claims filed by Banc of America Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10758), Barclays Capital Inc. (as to proof of claim number 11658), Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10732), Cadence Innovation LLC, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10731), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (as to proof of claim number 10763), Merrill Lynch, Peirce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10761), Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10762), the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Robert Bosch GmbH, the State of California Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Michigan Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Properties, Ltd., UBS Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10759), the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (as to proof of claim number 10760) (collectively, the "Excluded Parties") for any purpose, including, but not limited to, any objections to such claims or other litigation in respect of such claims; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall preclude any of the Excluded Parties or the Debtors, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, from seeking to establish appropriate alternative claims resolution procedures.

11. With respect to the claim of Gary Whitney ("Mr. Whitney") (claim number 10157) and NuTech Plastics Engineering, Inc. ("NuTech") (claim number 1279 against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC), nothing in this Order shall limit Mr. Whitney's or NuTech's ability to request relief from the automatic stay provisions under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code subject to the Debtors' right to object to such request.

12. The Debtors shall not serve a Notice of Hearing on Orix Warren, LLC ("Orix Warren") with respect to proof of claim number 10202 until the earliest of the following

to occur: (a) the Debtors assume the lease between Delphi Automotive Systems LLC and Orix Warren with respect to property located at 4551 Research Parkway in Warren, Ohio (the "Orix Lease"), (b) the Debtors reject the Orix Lease, or (c) the Orix Lease terminates or is terminated pursuant to its terms.

13. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any right to seek estimation of a claim under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, any right to seek relief from the automatic stay under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code to liquidate a claim in a different forum, any right to seek protection of information under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code or any right not specifically addressed in this Order.

14. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

15. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: New York, New York
December 6, 2006

/s/Robert D. Drain

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER WITH RESPECT
TO [] OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the United States Bankruptcy
Court for the Southern District of New York entered a [title of order] (the "Order").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT a copy of the Order, excluding exhibits, is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the proof of claim listed below, which you filed against Delphi Corporation and/or other of its subsidiaries and affiliates that are debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), was the subject of the Order and was listed on Exhibit __ to the Order and was accordingly disallowed and expunged, unless otherwise provided below in the column entitled "Treatment Of Claim."

Date Filed	Claim Number	Asserted Claim Amount¹	Basis For Objection	Treatment Of Claim	Surviving Claim Number (if any)

¹ Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you may view the complete exhibits to the Order by requesting a copy from the claims and noticing agent in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, at 1-888-259-2691 or by accessing the Debtors' Legal Information Website at www.delphidocket.com.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of the Proof of Claim and whether the Proof of Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for _____, 200_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	X	

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim is hereby scheduled for _____, 200__, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT D

LIST OF MEDIATORS

Lawrence Abramczyk
Marc Abrams
Ronald Barliant
Michael Baum
Morton Collins
Susan Cook
Samuel Damren
Eugene Driker
Jonathan Flaxer
Rozanne Giunta
Erwin Katz
Edward Moran
Alan Nisselson
Thomas Plunkett
Marty Reisig

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF DEBTORS' ELECTION TO ACCEPT CLAIMANT'S
ASSERTED ESTIMATED AMOUNT FOR PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the Claimant filed its response to the objection, wherein Claimant (i) acknowledged that the Proof of Claim asserts claims that are contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) stated that the Claimant believes that the allowable amount of the Proof of Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate, is \$_____ (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), the Debtors hereby provide notice that the Debtors elect to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of the Proof of Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as set forth in the Objection. A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any hearing scheduled pursuant to the Order is hereby cancelled.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors' election to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases, or to further object to the Proof of Claim, on any grounds whatsoever.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT E

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Website:
<http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 14955

(TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LTD.)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on October 31, 2006, Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, former debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (f/k/a In re Delphi Corporation, et al.) (collectively, the "Debtors"), predecessors of DPH Holdings Corp. and its affiliated reorganized debtors (the "Reorganized Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number 14955 (the "Claim") filed by Technology Properties Ltd. (the "Claimant") pursuant to the Debtors' (I) Third Omnibus Objection (Substantive) Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed.R.Bankr.P. 3007 To Certain (A) Claims With Insufficient Documentation, (B) Claims Unsubstantiated By Debtors' Books And Records, And (C) Claims Subject To Modification And (II) Motion To Estimate Contingent And Unliquidated Claims Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(c) (Docket No. 5452).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on October 6, 2009, the Debtors substantially consummated the First Amended Joint Plan Of Reorganization Of Delphi Corporation And Certain Affiliates, Debtors And Debtors-In-Possession, As Modified (the "Modified Plan"), which had been approved by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York pursuant to an order entered on July 30, 2009 (Docket No. 18707), and emerged from chapter 11 as the Reorganized Debtors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that Article 9.6(a) of the Modified Plan provides that "[t]he Reorganized Debtors shall retain responsibility for administering, disputing, objecting to, compromising, or otherwise resolving all Claims against, and Interests in, the Debtors and making distributions (if any) with respect to all Claims and Interests."

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices

And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Order") and the Thirteenth Supplemental Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered November 1, 2010 (Docket No. 20765), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Claim is hereby scheduled for January 20, 2011, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, 300 Quarropas Street, Room 118, White Plains, New York 10601-4140 (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully because failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of your Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Reorganized Debtors may further adjourn the Claims Objection Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
November 16, 2010

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re : Chapter 11
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
-----X

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2002(m),
3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, AND 9014 ESTABLISHING (I) DATES FOR
HEARINGS REGARDING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND (II) CERTAIN
NOTICES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

("CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And
Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For
Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And
Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims, dated
October 31, 2006 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and
affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the
"Debtors"); and upon the objections to the Motion and the record of the hearing held on the
Motion; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,



IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:¹

A. Proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion has been provided, such notice was good, sufficient and appropriate under the particular circumstances, and no other or further notice of the Motion is or shall be required.

B. The Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The relief requested in the Motion and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. This Court shall conduct special periodic hearings on contested claims matters in these cases (the "Claims Hearing Dates"), to be held in Courtroom 610, United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 unless the Debtors and the parties whose claims are affected are otherwise notified by the Court. The following dates and times have been scheduled as Claims Hearing Dates in these chapter 11 cases:

December 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

January 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

February 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

March 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

¹ Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

March 21, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 5, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 27, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 10, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 22, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 2, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 17, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

September 28, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 11, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 26, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 8, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

December 6, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

2. Any response to a claims objection or an omnibus claims objection (a "Response") must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006,

9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel) and (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese), in each case so as to be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on the seventh calendar day prior to the Omnibus Hearing for which the relevant claims objection or omnibus claims objection is scheduled.

3. Every Response must contain at a minimum the following:

- (a) the title of the claims objection to which the Response is directed;
- (b) the name of the claimant (each holder of a proof of claim, a "Claimant") and a brief description of the basis for the amount of the claim;
- (c) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which the Claimant will rely in opposing the claims objection;
- (d) unless already set forth in the proof of claim previously filed with the Court, documentation sufficient to establish a prima facie right to payment; provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be

confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints;

(e) to the extent that the claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such claim upon liquidation of the claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; and

(f) the address(es) to which the Debtors must return any reply to the Response, if different from the address(es) presented in the claim.

4. Only those Responses made in writing and timely filed and received will be considered by the Court. If a Claimant whose proof of claim is subject to a claims objection and who is served with the relevant claims objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors may present to the Court an appropriate order seeking relief with respect to such claim consistent with the relief sought in the relevant claims objection without further notice to the claimant, provided that, upon entry of such an order, the claimant shall receive notice of the entry of such order as provided below; provided, however, that if the claimant files a timely Response, which does not include the required minimum information provided in paragraph 3 above, the Debtors shall seek disallowance and expungement of the relevant claim or claims only in accordance with the Claims Hearing Procedures provided in paragraph 9 below.

5. To the extent that a Response is filed with respect to any claim listed in a claims objection (each, a "Contested Claim"), each such Claim and the objection to such Claim asserted in the claims objection shall be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

6. The Debtors are hereby authorized and directed to serve each Claimant whose proof of claim is listed in any omnibus claims objection with (a) a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim which specifically identifies the Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to objection and the basis for such objection and (b) a complete copy of the relevant omnibus

claims objection without exhibits. Service of omnibus claims objections in such manner shall constitute good and sufficient notice and no other or further notice to claimants of an omnibus claims objection shall be required.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC (the "Claims Agent") is hereby authorized and directed to serve all orders entered with respect to any omnibus claims objections, including exhibits, upon only the master service list and the 2002 list. The Claims Agent is hereby further authorized and directed to serve all claimants whose proofs of claim are the subject of an order entered with respect to an omnibus claims objection with a copy of such order, without exhibits, and a personalized Notice Of Entry Of Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A specifically identifying such Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to the order, the Court's treatment of such proof of claim, and the basis for such treatment, and advising the Claimant of its ability to view the order with exhibits free of charge on the Debtors' Legal Information Website. Without limiting the foregoing, the Court hereby directs the Claims Agent to serve the First Omnibus Claims Order in the manner provided hereby.

8. Any order entered by the Court with respect to an objection asserted in an omnibus claims objection shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim covered by such order.

9. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the determination of Contested Claims (the "Claims Hearing Procedures"):

(a) Adjournment Of Claims Hearing.

(i) All Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion, by serving the Claimant with notice as provided herein. The Debtors may send such notice to each Claimant when they deem it appropriate to do so, subject to the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and any further order of this Court.

The Debtors shall schedule the further hearing upon each Contested Claim to a Claims Hearing of the Debtors' election:

(A) for a non-evidentiary hearing to address the legal sufficiency of the particular proof of claim and whether the proof of claim states a claim against the asserted Debtor under Bankruptcy Rule 7012 (a "Sufficiency Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B (a "Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 20 business days prior to the date of such Sufficiency Hearing, or

(B) for an evidentiary hearing on the merits of such Contested Claim (a "Claims Objection Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C (a "Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing" and, collectively with the Notice of Sufficiency Hearing, the "Notices of Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 65 calendar days prior to the date of such Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) The Debtors, in their sole discretion, are authorized to further adjourn a hearing scheduled in accordance herewith at any time by providing notice to the Court and the Claimant at least five business days prior to the date of the scheduled hearing; provided, however, that the hearing on any Contested Claim shall not be adjourned for more than a total of 180 calendar days from date of service of the initial Notice of Hearing set forth in paragraph 9(a)(i)(A) and (B) above without consent of the Claimant with respect thereto, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

(b) Sufficiency Hearing Procedures.

(i) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Debtors wish to file a supplemental pleading, they shall file and serve their pleading no later than ten calendar days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental pleading shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(ii) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Claimant wishes to file a supplemental response, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental response shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(iii) To the extent that this Court determines upon conclusion of the Sufficiency Hearing that a Contested Claim cannot be disallowed in whole or in part without further proceedings, the Debtors shall provide to the Claimant a Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing pursuant to the procedures set forth above.

(c) Mandatory Meet And Confer.

(i) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of

business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, and (C) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold an in-person meet and confer (an "In-Person Meet and Confer") at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan, or such other location as is reasonably acceptable to the Debtors, within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000, (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, or (3) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, and (B) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold a telephonic meet and confer (a "Telephonic Meet and Confer" and, collectively with In-Person Meet and Confers, the "Meet and Confers") within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) The following representatives of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend the Meet and Confer: (A) counsel for each of the parties, except for a Claimant proceeding pro se, who shall be prepared to discuss the matter described in paragraph 9 (k) below, and (B) a person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of the Debtors and the Claimant, respectively; provided, however, that counsel for each of the parties may participate in the Meet and Confer telephonically.

(iv) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Meet and Confer in good faith.

(d) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues. Within five business days after service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors shall file and serve a written statement of disputed issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") upon the Claimant. The Statement Of Disputed Issues shall contain a concise statement summarily setting forth the primary reasons why the claim should be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified as set forth in the claims objection, including, but not limited to, the material factual and legal bases upon which the Debtors will rely in prosecuting the claims objection, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim. The Statement of Disputed Issues shall also include documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim; provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Statement of Disputed Issues; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and

provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints.

(e) Claimant's Supplemental Response. The following procedures apply to the Claimant's written supplemental response (the "Supplemental Response"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k), filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing for a Contested Claim:

(i) The Claimant may file and serve its Supplemental Response (with a copy to chambers) no later than 30 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Response shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Claimant relies on exhibits, the Claimant shall include such exhibits in its Supplemental Response (other than those previously included with either its Proof of Claim or its Response); provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Contested Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Claimant shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Response, as appropriate.

(iii) The Supplemental Response may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the basis of the Contested Claim and evidence supporting the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Claimant intends to call a person not under such Claimant's control at the hearing, the Claimant shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Claimant's basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Claimant shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing; instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Response, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Claimant's control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Debtors may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing, or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Claimant.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Claimant timely filed a Supplemental Response, the Claimant may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Response and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of its witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Response and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Response shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(f) Debtors' Supplemental Reply. The following procedures shall apply to the Debtors' written supplemental reply, if any (the "Supplemental Reply"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing with respect to a Contested Claim:

(i) The Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) a Supplemental Reply no later than 20 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Reply shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Debtors rely on exhibits, the Debtors shall include such exhibits in their Supplemental Reply (other than those previously included with either their objection or reply); provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Reply; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Debtors intend to rely in support of their objection, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Debtors shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Reply.

(iii) The Supplemental Reply may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the Debtors' basis for objecting to the Contested Claim and evidence in support of such objection to the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Debtors intend to call a person not under the Debtors' control at the hearing, the Debtors shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Debtors' basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, as appropriate, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Debtors shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing, instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Reply, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Debtors' control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Claimant may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Debtors.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Debtors timely filed a Supplemental Reply, the Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Reply and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of their witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Reply and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Reply shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(g) Mandatory Non-Binding Summary Mediation. Except as set forth below, at least 15 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the Claimant shall submit to mandatory non-binding summary mediation (each, a

"Mediation") in an effort to consensually resolve the Contested Claim. The Mediation shall be governed by General Order M-143 except as follows. The following procedures shall apply to each Mediation, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) Each Mediation shall be assigned to one of the mediators listed by the Debtors on Exhibit D hereto (each, a "Mediator"). The Debtors and the Claimant shall agree upon the Mediator at the Meet and Confer; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a Mediator, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(ii) The Mediator shall not have the authority to require either the Debtors or the Claimant to provide any additional briefing with respect to the Mediation.

(iii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000) and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan.

(iv) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location reasonably acceptable to the Debtors and the Claimant; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a neutral location at the Meet and Confer, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(v) If (A) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000 or (B) the Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, participation in Mediation shall be voluntary and any Mediation may be held telephonically at either the Debtors' or the Claimant's request.

(vi) A person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend an in-person Mediation or participate in a telephonic Mediation, if any; provided, however, that the Debtors' counsel will not be precluded from attending and participating in a Mediation in the event that the claimant elects not to have its counsel attend or participate in a Mediation.

(vii) Absent consent of each of the Claimant and the Debtors, the length of the Mediation shall be limited to one day.

(viii) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Mediation in good faith.

(ix) The Debtors and the Claimant shall each bear its own costs in participating in the Mediation. The Debtors are hereby authorized to pay the Mediator's fees.

(h) Claims Objection Hearing Discovery. If a Claims Objection Hearing is scheduled for a particular Contested Claim, the Debtors and the Claimant shall be bound by the following discovery procedures, which shall otherwise be governed by the Bankruptcy Rules, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Response, the Debtors may request:

(A) That the Claimant produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Claimant respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Claimant respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Reply, the Claimant may request:

(A) That the Debtors produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Debtors respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Debtors respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors may, at their election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Claimant's Supplemental Response. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(iv) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Claimant may, at its election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Debtors' Supplemental Reply. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(v) Except as provided in paragraph 9(g)(vi) above, nothing in this Order alters any obligation of opposing counsel with regard to communications with non-counsel opponents or any applicable law regarding corporations or other business entities to be represented by counsel.

(i) Conduct Of The Claims Objection Hearing. The Debtors and the Claimant shall each be permitted, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, no more than one hour to present their respective cases, inclusive of time cross-examining their opponent's witnesses and making argument to the Court. The parties shall coordinate with each other in advance of the hearing with respect to, joint exhibit binders, stipulated admission of evidence, anticipated disputes regarding the admission of particular evidence and any designated deposition testimony.

(j) Estimation Based Upon Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount. To the extent that a Contested Claim would be subject to estimation pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Debtors have sought authority to estimate such Contested Claim pursuant to an omnibus claims objection and/or a motion to estimate claims, if the Claimant has filed a Response in accordance with the procedures outlined above which (i) acknowledges that the Contested Claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) provides the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such Contested Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount"), the Debtors are hereby authorized, in their sole discretion, to elect to provisionally accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of such Contested Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code for all purposes other than allowance, but including voting and establishing reserves for purposes of distribution, subject to further objection and reduction as appropriate and section 502(j) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' election shall be made by serving the Claimant with a Notice Of Election To Accept Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E. The Contested Claim will otherwise remain subject in all respects to the procedures outlined herein.

(k) Ability To Modify Procedures By Agreement Or Order Of Court. At the Meet and Confer, the parties shall discuss discovery parameters, briefing, evidence to be presented, the timing outlined herein, and any modifications thereto that are necessary due to the facts and circumstances of the relevant Contested Claim. Should the parties be unable to agree on reasonable modifications to these Claim Hearing Procedures, if any, either party may request that the Court promptly schedule a teleconference to consider such proposed modifications. No discovery, testimony, or motion practice other than that described herein, as modified, shall be permitted, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court.

10. The procedures approved herein shall not apply to claims filed by Banc of America Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10758), Barclays Capital Inc. (as to proof of claim number 11658), Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10732), Cadence Innovation LLC, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10731), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (as to proof of claim number 10763), Merrill Lynch, Peirce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10761), Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10762), the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Robert Bosch GmbH, the State of California Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Michigan Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Properties, Ltd., UBS Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10759), the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (as to proof of claim number 10760) (collectively, the "Excluded Parties") for any purpose, including, but not limited to, any objections to such claims or other litigation in respect of such claims; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall preclude any of the Excluded Parties or the Debtors, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, from seeking to establish appropriate alternative claims resolution procedures.

11. With respect to the claim of Gary Whitney ("Mr. Whitney") (claim number 10157) and NuTech Plastics Engineering, Inc. ("NuTech") (claim number 1279 against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC), nothing in this Order shall limit Mr. Whitney's or NuTech's ability to request relief from the automatic stay provisions under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code subject to the Debtors' right to object to such request.

12. The Debtors shall not serve a Notice of Hearing on Orix Warren, LLC ("Orix Warren") with respect to proof of claim number 10202 until the earliest of the following

to occur: (a) the Debtors assume the lease between Delphi Automotive Systems LLC and Orix Warren with respect to property located at 4551 Research Parkway in Warren, Ohio (the "Orix Lease"), (b) the Debtors reject the Orix Lease, or (c) the Orix Lease terminates or is terminated pursuant to its terms.

13. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any right to seek estimation of a claim under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, any right to seek relief from the automatic stay under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code to liquidate a claim in a different forum, any right to seek protection of information under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code or any right not specifically addressed in this Order.

14. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

15. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: New York, New York
December 6, 2006

/s/Robert D. Drain

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER WITH RESPECT
TO [] OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the United States Bankruptcy

Court for the Southern District of New York entered a [title of order] (the "Order").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT a copy of the Order, excluding exhibits, is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the proof of claim listed below, which you filed against Delphi Corporation and/or other of its subsidiaries and affiliates that are debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), was the subject of the Order and was listed on Exhibit __ to the Order and was accordingly disallowed and expunged, unless otherwise provided below in the column entitled "Treatment Of Claim."

Date Filed	Claim Number	Asserted Claim Amount¹	Basis For Objection	Treatment Of Claim	Surviving Claim Number (if any)

¹ Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you may view the complete exhibits to the Order by requesting a copy from the claims and noticing agent in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, at 1-888-259-2691 or by accessing the Debtors' Legal Information Website at www.delphidocket.com.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of the Proof of Claim and whether the Proof of Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for _____, 200_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim is hereby scheduled for _____, 200__, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT D

LIST OF MEDIATORS

Lawrence Abramczyk
Marc Abrams
Ronald Barliant
Michael Baum
Morton Collins
Susan Cook
Samuel Damren
Eugene Driker
Jonathan Flaxer
Rozanne Giunta
Erwin Katz
Edward Moran
Alan Nisselson
Thomas Plunkett
Marty Reisig

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	X	

NOTICE OF DEBTORS' ELECTION TO ACCEPT CLAIMANT'S
ASSERTED ESTIMATED AMOUNT FOR PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the Claimant filed its response to the objection, wherein Claimant (i) acknowledged that the Proof of Claim asserts claims that are contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) stated that the Claimant believes that the allowable amount of the Proof of Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate, is \$_____ (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), the Debtors hereby provide notice that the Debtors elect to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of the Proof of Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as set forth in the Objection. A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any hearing scheduled pursuant to the Order is hereby cancelled.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors' election to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases, or to further object to the Proof of Claim, on any grounds whatsoever.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT F

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Website:
<http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
REORGANIZED DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF
ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM NUMBER 19081

(ALL TOOL SALES, INC.)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on January 22, 2010, DPH Holdings Corp. and its affiliated reorganized debtors (the "Reorganized Debtors"), successors of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, former debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (f/k/a In re Delphi Corporation, et al.) (collectively, the "Debtors") objected to proof of administrative expense claim number 19081 (the "Claim") filed by All Tool Sales, Inc. (the "Claimant") pursuant to the Reorganized Debtors' Forty-Third Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 503(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To (I) Expunge Certain Administrative Expense (A) Severance Claims, (B) Books And Records Claims, (C) Duplicate Claims, (D) Equity Interests, (E) Prepetition Claims, (F) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (G) Pension, Benefit, And OPEB Claims, (H) Workers' Compensation Claims, And (I) Transferred Workers' Compensation Claims, (II) Modify And Allow Certain Administrative Expense Severance Claims, And (III) Allow Certain Administrative Expense Severance Claims (Docket No. 19356).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on October 6, 2009, the Debtors substantially consummated the First Amended Joint Plan Of Reorganization Of Delphi Corporation And Certain Affiliates, Debtors And Debtors-In-Possession, As Modified (the "Modified Plan"), which had been approved by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York pursuant to an order entered on July 30, 2009 (Docket No. 18707), and emerged from chapter 11 as the Reorganized Debtors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that Article 9.6(a) of the Modified Plan provides that "[t]he Reorganized Debtors shall retain responsibility for administering, disputing, objecting to, compromising, or otherwise resolving all Claims against, and Interests in, the Debtors and making distributions (if any) with respect to all Claims and Interests."

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Order"), the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) And 503(b) Authorizing Debtors To Apply Claims Objection Procedures To Address Contested Administrative Expense Claims (Docket No. 18998) (the "Administrative Claims Procedures Order"), and the Thirteenth Supplemental Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered November 1, 2010 (Docket No. 20765), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Claim is hereby scheduled for January 20, 2011, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, 300 Quarropas Street, Room 118, White Plains, New York 10601-4140 (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully because failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of your Claim. Copies of the Order and the Administrative Claims Procedures Order are attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Reorganized Debtors may further adjourn the Claims Objection Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
November 16, 2010

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re : Chapter 11
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
-----X

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2002(m),
3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, AND 9014 ESTABLISHING (I) DATES FOR
HEARINGS REGARDING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND (II) CERTAIN
NOTICES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

("CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And
Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For
Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And
Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims, dated
October 31, 2006 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and
affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the
"Debtors"); and upon the objections to the Motion and the record of the hearing held on the
Motion; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:¹

A. Proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion has been provided, such notice was good, sufficient and appropriate under the particular circumstances, and no other or further notice of the Motion is or shall be required.

B. The Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The relief requested in the Motion and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. This Court shall conduct special periodic hearings on contested claims matters in these cases (the "Claims Hearing Dates"), to be held in Courtroom 610, United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 unless the Debtors and the parties whose claims are affected are otherwise notified by the Court. The following dates and times have been scheduled as Claims Hearing Dates in these chapter 11 cases:

December 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

January 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

February 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

March 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

¹ Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

March 21, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 5, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 27, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 10, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 22, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 2, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 17, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

September 28, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 11, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 26, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 8, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

December 6, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

2. Any response to a claims objection or an omnibus claims objection (a "Response") must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006,

9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel) and (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese), in each case so as to be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on the seventh calendar day prior to the Omnibus Hearing for which the relevant claims objection or omnibus claims objection is scheduled.

3. Every Response must contain at a minimum the following:

- (a) the title of the claims objection to which the Response is directed;
- (b) the name of the claimant (each holder of a proof of claim, a "Claimant") and a brief description of the basis for the amount of the claim;
- (c) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which the Claimant will rely in opposing the claims objection;
- (d) unless already set forth in the proof of claim previously filed with the Court, documentation sufficient to establish a prima facie right to payment; provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be

confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints;

(e) to the extent that the claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such claim upon liquidation of the claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; and

(f) the address(es) to which the Debtors must return any reply to the Response, if different from the address(es) presented in the claim.

4. Only those Responses made in writing and timely filed and received will be considered by the Court. If a Claimant whose proof of claim is subject to a claims objection and who is served with the relevant claims objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors may present to the Court an appropriate order seeking relief with respect to such claim consistent with the relief sought in the relevant claims objection without further notice to the claimant, provided that, upon entry of such an order, the claimant shall receive notice of the entry of such order as provided below; provided, however, that if the claimant files a timely Response, which does not include the required minimum information provided in paragraph 3 above, the Debtors shall seek disallowance and expungement of the relevant claim or claims only in accordance with the Claims Hearing Procedures provided in paragraph 9 below.

5. To the extent that a Response is filed with respect to any claim listed in a claims objection (each, a "Contested Claim"), each such Claim and the objection to such Claim asserted in the claims objection shall be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

6. The Debtors are hereby authorized and directed to serve each Claimant whose proof of claim is listed in any omnibus claims objection with (a) a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim which specifically identifies the Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to objection and the basis for such objection and (b) a complete copy of the relevant omnibus

claims objection without exhibits. Service of omnibus claims objections in such manner shall constitute good and sufficient notice and no other or further notice to claimants of an omnibus claims objection shall be required.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC (the "Claims Agent") is hereby authorized and directed to serve all orders entered with respect to any omnibus claims objections, including exhibits, upon only the master service list and the 2002 list. The Claims Agent is hereby further authorized and directed to serve all claimants whose proofs of claim are the subject of an order entered with respect to an omnibus claims objection with a copy of such order, without exhibits, and a personalized Notice Of Entry Of Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A specifically identifying such Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to the order, the Court's treatment of such proof of claim, and the basis for such treatment, and advising the Claimant of its ability to view the order with exhibits free of charge on the Debtors' Legal Information Website. Without limiting the foregoing, the Court hereby directs the Claims Agent to serve the First Omnibus Claims Order in the manner provided hereby.

8. Any order entered by the Court with respect to an objection asserted in an omnibus claims objection shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim covered by such order.

9. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the determination of Contested Claims (the "Claims Hearing Procedures"):

(a) Adjournment Of Claims Hearing.

(i) All Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion, by serving the Claimant with notice as provided herein. The Debtors may send such notice to each Claimant when they deem it appropriate to do so, subject to the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and any further order of this Court.

The Debtors shall schedule the further hearing upon each Contested Claim to a Claims Hearing of the Debtors' election:

(A) for a non-evidentiary hearing to address the legal sufficiency of the particular proof of claim and whether the proof of claim states a claim against the asserted Debtor under Bankruptcy Rule 7012 (a "Sufficiency Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B (a "Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 20 business days prior to the date of such Sufficiency Hearing, or

(B) for an evidentiary hearing on the merits of such Contested Claim (a "Claims Objection Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C (a "Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing" and, collectively with the Notice of Sufficiency Hearing, the "Notices of Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 65 calendar days prior to the date of such Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) The Debtors, in their sole discretion, are authorized to further adjourn a hearing scheduled in accordance herewith at any time by providing notice to the Court and the Claimant at least five business days prior to the date of the scheduled hearing; provided, however, that the hearing on any Contested Claim shall not be adjourned for more than a total of 180 calendar days from date of service of the initial Notice of Hearing set forth in paragraph 9(a)(i)(A) and (B) above without consent of the Claimant with respect thereto, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

(b) Sufficiency Hearing Procedures.

(i) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Debtors wish to file a supplemental pleading, they shall file and serve their pleading no later than ten calendar days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental pleading shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(ii) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Claimant wishes to file a supplemental response, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental response shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(iii) To the extent that this Court determines upon conclusion of the Sufficiency Hearing that a Contested Claim cannot be disallowed in whole or in part without further proceedings, the Debtors shall provide to the Claimant a Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing pursuant to the procedures set forth above.

(c) Mandatory Meet And Confer.

(i) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of

business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, and (C) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold an in-person meet and confer (an "In-Person Meet and Confer") at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan, or such other location as is reasonably acceptable to the Debtors, within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000, (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, or (3) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, and (B) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold a telephonic meet and confer (a "Telephonic Meet and Confer" and, collectively with In-Person Meet and Confers, the "Meet and Confers") within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) The following representatives of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend the Meet and Confer: (A) counsel for each of the parties, except for a Claimant proceeding pro se, who shall be prepared to discuss the matter described in paragraph 9 (k) below, and (B) a person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of the Debtors and the Claimant, respectively; provided, however, that counsel for each of the parties may participate in the Meet and Confer telephonically.

(iv) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Meet and Confer in good faith.

(d) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues. Within five business days after service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors shall file and serve a written statement of disputed issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") upon the Claimant. The Statement Of Disputed Issues shall contain a concise statement summarily setting forth the primary reasons why the claim should be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified as set forth in the claims objection, including, but not limited to, the material factual and legal bases upon which the Debtors will rely in prosecuting the claims objection, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim. The Statement of Disputed Issues shall also include documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim; provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Statement of Disputed Issues; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and

provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints.

(e) Claimant's Supplemental Response. The following procedures apply to the Claimant's written supplemental response (the "Supplemental Response"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k), filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing for a Contested Claim:

(i) The Claimant may file and serve its Supplemental Response (with a copy to chambers) no later than 30 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Response shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Claimant relies on exhibits, the Claimant shall include such exhibits in its Supplemental Response (other than those previously included with either its Proof of Claim or its Response); provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Contested Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Claimant shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Response, as appropriate.

(iii) The Supplemental Response may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the basis of the Contested Claim and evidence supporting the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Claimant intends to call a person not under such Claimant's control at the hearing, the Claimant shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Claimant's basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Claimant shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing; instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Response, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Claimant's control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Debtors may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing, or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Claimant.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Claimant timely filed a Supplemental Response, the Claimant may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Response and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of its witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Response and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Response shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(f) Debtors' Supplemental Reply. The following procedures shall apply to the Debtors' written supplemental reply, if any (the "Supplemental Reply"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing with respect to a Contested Claim:

(i) The Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) a Supplemental Reply no later than 20 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Reply shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Debtors rely on exhibits, the Debtors shall include such exhibits in their Supplemental Reply (other than those previously included with either their objection or reply); provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Reply; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Debtors intend to rely in support of their objection, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Debtors shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Reply.

(iii) The Supplemental Reply may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the Debtors' basis for objecting to the Contested Claim and evidence in support of such objection to the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Debtors intend to call a person not under the Debtors' control at the hearing, the Debtors shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Debtors' basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, as appropriate, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Debtors shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing, instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Reply, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Debtors' control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Claimant may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Debtors.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Debtors timely filed a Supplemental Reply, the Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Reply and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of their witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Reply and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Reply shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(g) Mandatory Non-Binding Summary Mediation. Except as set forth below, at least 15 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the Claimant shall submit to mandatory non-binding summary mediation (each, a

"Mediation") in an effort to consensually resolve the Contested Claim. The Mediation shall be governed by General Order M-143 except as follows. The following procedures shall apply to each Mediation, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) Each Mediation shall be assigned to one of the mediators listed by the Debtors on Exhibit D hereto (each, a "Mediator"). The Debtors and the Claimant shall agree upon the Mediator at the Meet and Confer; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a Mediator, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(ii) The Mediator shall not have the authority to require either the Debtors or the Claimant to provide any additional briefing with respect to the Mediation.

(iii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000) and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan.

(iv) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location reasonably acceptable to the Debtors and the Claimant; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a neutral location at the Meet and Confer, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(v) If (A) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000 or (B) the Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, participation in Mediation shall be voluntary and any Mediation may be held telephonically at either the Debtors' or the Claimant's request.

(vi) A person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend an in-person Mediation or participate in a telephonic Mediation, if any; provided, however, that the Debtors' counsel will not be precluded from attending and participating in a Mediation in the event that the claimant elects not to have its counsel attend or participate in a Mediation.

(vii) Absent consent of each of the Claimant and the Debtors, the length of the Mediation shall be limited to one day.

(viii) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Mediation in good faith.

(ix) The Debtors and the Claimant shall each bear its own costs in participating in the Mediation. The Debtors are hereby authorized to pay the Mediator's fees.

(h) Claims Objection Hearing Discovery. If a Claims Objection Hearing is scheduled for a particular Contested Claim, the Debtors and the Claimant shall be bound by the following discovery procedures, which shall otherwise be governed by the Bankruptcy Rules, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Response, the Debtors may request:

(A) That the Claimant produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Claimant respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Claimant respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Reply, the Claimant may request:

(A) That the Debtors produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Debtors respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Debtors respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors may, at their election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Claimant's Supplemental Response. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(iv) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Claimant may, at its election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Debtors' Supplemental Reply. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(v) Except as provided in paragraph 9(g)(vi) above, nothing in this Order alters any obligation of opposing counsel with regard to communications with non-counsel opponents or any applicable law regarding corporations or other business entities to be represented by counsel.

(i) Conduct Of The Claims Objection Hearing. The Debtors and the Claimant shall each be permitted, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, no more than one hour to present their respective cases, inclusive of time cross-examining their opponent's witnesses and making argument to the Court. The parties shall coordinate with each other in advance of the hearing with respect to, joint exhibit binders, stipulated admission of evidence, anticipated disputes regarding the admission of particular evidence and any designated deposition testimony.

(j) Estimation Based Upon Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount. To the extent that a Contested Claim would be subject to estimation pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Debtors have sought authority to estimate such Contested Claim pursuant to an omnibus claims objection and/or a motion to estimate claims, if the Claimant has filed a Response in accordance with the procedures outlined above which (i) acknowledges that the Contested Claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) provides the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such Contested Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount"), the Debtors are hereby authorized, in their sole discretion, to elect to provisionally accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of such Contested Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code for all purposes other than allowance, but including voting and establishing reserves for purposes of distribution, subject to further objection and reduction as appropriate and section 502(j) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' election shall be made by serving the Claimant with a Notice Of Election To Accept Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E. The Contested Claim will otherwise remain subject in all respects to the procedures outlined herein.

(k) Ability To Modify Procedures By Agreement Or Order Of Court. At the Meet and Confer, the parties shall discuss discovery parameters, briefing, evidence to be presented, the timing outlined herein, and any modifications thereto that are necessary due to the facts and circumstances of the relevant Contested Claim. Should the parties be unable to agree on reasonable modifications to these Claim Hearing Procedures, if any, either party may request that the Court promptly schedule a teleconference to consider such proposed modifications. No discovery, testimony, or motion practice other than that described herein, as modified, shall be permitted, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court.

10. The procedures approved herein shall not apply to claims filed by Banc of America Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10758), Barclays Capital Inc. (as to proof of claim number 11658), Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10732), Cadence Innovation LLC, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10731), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (as to proof of claim number 10763), Merrill Lynch, Peirce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10761), Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10762), the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Robert Bosch GmbH, the State of California Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Michigan Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Properties, Ltd., UBS Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10759), the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (as to proof of claim number 10760) (collectively, the "Excluded Parties") for any purpose, including, but not limited to, any objections to such claims or other litigation in respect of such claims; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall preclude any of the Excluded Parties or the Debtors, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, from seeking to establish appropriate alternative claims resolution procedures.

11. With respect to the claim of Gary Whitney ("Mr. Whitney") (claim number 10157) and NuTech Plastics Engineering, Inc. ("NuTech") (claim number 1279 against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC), nothing in this Order shall limit Mr. Whitney's or NuTech's ability to request relief from the automatic stay provisions under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code subject to the Debtors' right to object to such request.

12. The Debtors shall not serve a Notice of Hearing on Orix Warren, LLC ("Orix Warren") with respect to proof of claim number 10202 until the earliest of the following

to occur: (a) the Debtors assume the lease between Delphi Automotive Systems LLC and Orix Warren with respect to property located at 4551 Research Parkway in Warren, Ohio (the "Orix Lease"), (b) the Debtors reject the Orix Lease, or (c) the Orix Lease terminates or is terminated pursuant to its terms.

13. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any right to seek estimation of a claim under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, any right to seek relief from the automatic stay under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code to liquidate a claim in a different forum, any right to seek protection of information under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code or any right not specifically addressed in this Order.

14. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

15. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: New York, New York
December 6, 2006

/s/Robert D. Drain

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER WITH RESPECT
TO [] OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the United States Bankruptcy

Court for the Southern District of New York entered a [title of order] (the "Order").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT a copy of the Order, excluding exhibits, is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the proof of claim listed below, which you filed against Delphi Corporation and/or other of its subsidiaries and affiliates that are debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), was the subject of the Order and was listed on Exhibit __ to the Order and was accordingly disallowed and expunged, unless otherwise provided below in the column entitled "Treatment Of Claim."

Date Filed	Claim Number	Asserted Claim Amount¹	Basis For Objection	Treatment Of Claim	Surviving Claim Number (if any)

¹ Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you may view the complete exhibits to the Order by requesting a copy from the claims and noticing agent in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, at 1-888-259-2691 or by accessing the Debtors' Legal Information Website at www.delphidocket.com.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of the Proof of Claim and whether the Proof of Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for _____, 200_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim is hereby scheduled for _____, 200__, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT D

LIST OF MEDIATORS

Lawrence Abramczyk
Marc Abrams
Ronald Barliant
Michael Baum
Morton Collins
Susan Cook
Samuel Damren
Eugene Driker
Jonathan Flaxer
Rozanne Giunta
Erwin Katz
Edward Moran
Alan Nisselson
Thomas Plunkett
Marty Reisig

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	X	

NOTICE OF DEBTORS' ELECTION TO ACCEPT CLAIMANT'S
ASSERTED ESTIMATED AMOUNT FOR PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the Claimant filed its response to the objection, wherein Claimant (i) acknowledged that the Proof of Claim asserts claims that are contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) stated that the Claimant believes that the allowable amount of the Proof of Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate, is \$_____ (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), the Debtors hereby provide notice that the Debtors elect to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of the Proof of Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as set forth in the Objection. A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any hearing scheduled pursuant to the Order is hereby cancelled.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors' election to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases, or to further object to the Proof of Claim, on any grounds whatsoever.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) AND 503(b) AUTHORIZING
DEBTORS TO APPLY CLAIMS OBJECTION PROCEDURES TO
ADDRESS CONTESTED ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIMS

("ORDER AUTHORIZING USE OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES")

Upon the motion (the "Motion"), dated July 31, 2009, of Delphi Corporation (now known as DPH Holdings Corp.) and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, former debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Reorganized Debtors"), for entry of an order authorizing the Reorganized Debtors to apply the claims objection procedures set forth in the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims on December 6, 2006 (the "Claim Objection Procedures Order") (Docket No. 6089) to contested administrative expense claims; and upon the record of the August 20, 2009 hearing held on the Motion; and counsel for the Reorganized Debtors having represented that GM Components¹ and DIP Holdco

¹ Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

3 have agreed to the terms of this order; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED
THAT:

1. The Motion is GRANTED as provided herein.
2. The Reorganized Debtors are authorized and directed to apply the claims objection procedures set forth in the Claims Objection Procedures Order to any dispute with respect to Administrative Claims.
3. All Administrative Claims shall be subject to the Claims Objection Procedures.
4. With respect to any Administrative Claim that is to be paid by and/or is the responsibility of either GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 pursuant to the DIP Lender-GM Master Disposition Agreement (the "MDA"), DPH Holdings Corp. will (a) provide to GM Components or DIP Holdco 3, as applicable, (i) written notice identifying the Administrative Claim and (ii) reasonably requested documentation relating to the Administrative Claim, and (b) work with GM Components or DIP Holdco 3, as applicable, to develop an appropriate strategy to liquidate or seek disallowance of the Administrative Claim.
5. DPH Holdings Corp. shall not enter into a settlement agreement or make a payment on account of any Administrative Claim for which either GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 is responsible without the express written consent of GM Components or DIP Holdco 3, as applicable. Additionally, to the extent GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 directs DPH Holdings Corp. to resolve an Administrative Claim (for which GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 is responsible) in a particular manner, including the settlement or litigation of such claim, DPH

Holdings Corp. shall resolve the Administrative Claim in accordance with such direction at no further cost, liability, or expense to DPH Holdings Corp.

6. If (a) GM Components or DIP Holdco 3, as applicable, requires DPH Holdings Corp. to liquidate or seek disallowance of an Administrative Claim or (b) after DPH Holdings Corp. applies the Claims Objection Procedures to liquidate or seek disallowance of an Administrative Claim and either GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 is subsequently determined to be responsible for such Administrative Claim pursuant to the MDA, the reasonable costs incurred by DPH Holdings Corp. of liquidating or seeking disallowance of such Administrative Claim, only to the extent incurred after DPH Holdings Corp. has given notice in accordance with paragraph 4(a), above, shall be reimbursed by whichever of GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 is responsible for such Administrative Claim pursuant to the MDA. GM Components or DIP Holdco 3, as applicable, may elect at any time to assume responsibility for liquidating or seeking disallowance of any such Administrative Claim at its own expense.

7. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

Dated: New York, New York
October 22, 2009

/s/Robert D. Drain
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

EXHIBIT G

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Website:
<http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
REORGANIZED DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOFS OF
ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM NUMBERS 18797 AND 19718

(BING METALS GROUP, LLC)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on January 22, 2010, DPH Holdings Corp. and its affiliated reorganized debtors (the "Reorganized Debtors"), successors of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, former debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (f/k/a In re Delphi Corporation, et al.) (collectively, the "Debtors") objected to proofs of administrative expense claim numbers 18797 and 19718 (the "Claims") filed by Bing Metals Group, LLC (the "Claimant") pursuant to the Reorganized Debtors' Forty-Third Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 503(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To (I) Expunge Certain Administrative Expense (A) Severance Claims, (B) Books And Records Claims, (C) Duplicate Claims, (D) Equity Interests, (E) Prepetition Claims, (F) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (G) Pension, Benefit, And OPEB Claims, (H) Workers' Compensation Claims, And (I) Transferred Workers' Compensation Claims, (II) Modify And Allow Certain Administrative Expense Severance Claims, And (III) Allow Certain Administrative Expense Severance Claims (Docket No. 19356).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on October 6, 2009, the Debtors substantially consummated the First Amended Joint Plan Of Reorganization Of Delphi Corporation And Certain Affiliates, Debtors And Debtors-In-Possession, As Modified (the "Modified Plan"), which had been approved by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York pursuant to an order entered on July 30, 2009 (Docket No. 18707), and emerged from chapter 11 as the Reorganized Debtors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that Article 9.6(a) of the Modified Plan provides that "[t]he Reorganized Debtors shall retain responsibility for administering, disputing, objecting to, compromising, or otherwise resolving all Claims against, and Interests in, the Debtors and making distributions (if any) with respect to all Claims and Interests."

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Order"), the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) And 503(b) Authorizing Debtors To Apply Claims Objection Procedures To Address Contested Administrative Expense Claims (Docket No. 18998) (the "Administrative Claims Procedures Order"), and the Thirteenth Supplemental Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered November 1, 2010 (Docket No. 20765), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Claims is hereby scheduled for January 20, 2011, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, 300 Quarropas Street, Room 118, White Plains, New York 10601-4140 (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully because failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of your Claims. Copies of the Order and the Administrative Claims Procedures Order are attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Reorganized Debtors may further adjourn the Claims Objection Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
November 16, 2010

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re : Chapter 11
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
-----X

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2002(m),
3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, AND 9014 ESTABLISHING (I) DATES FOR
HEARINGS REGARDING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND (II) CERTAIN
NOTICES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

("CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And
Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For
Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And
Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims, dated
October 31, 2006 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and
affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the
"Debtors"); and upon the objections to the Motion and the record of the hearing held on the
Motion; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:¹

A. Proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion has been provided, such notice was good, sufficient and appropriate under the particular circumstances, and no other or further notice of the Motion is or shall be required.

B. The Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The relief requested in the Motion and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. This Court shall conduct special periodic hearings on contested claims matters in these cases (the "Claims Hearing Dates"), to be held in Courtroom 610, United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 unless the Debtors and the parties whose claims are affected are otherwise notified by the Court. The following dates and times have been scheduled as Claims Hearing Dates in these chapter 11 cases:

December 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

January 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

February 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

March 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

¹ Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

March 21, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 5, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 27, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 10, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 22, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 2, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 17, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

September 28, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 11, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 26, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 8, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

December 6, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

2. Any response to a claims objection or an omnibus claims objection (a "Response") must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006,

9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel) and (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese), in each case so as to be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on the seventh calendar day prior to the Omnibus Hearing for which the relevant claims objection or omnibus claims objection is scheduled.

3. Every Response must contain at a minimum the following:

- (a) the title of the claims objection to which the Response is directed;
- (b) the name of the claimant (each holder of a proof of claim, a "Claimant") and a brief description of the basis for the amount of the claim;
- (c) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which the Claimant will rely in opposing the claims objection;
- (d) unless already set forth in the proof of claim previously filed with the Court, documentation sufficient to establish a prima facie right to payment; provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be

confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints;

(e) to the extent that the claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such claim upon liquidation of the claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; and

(f) the address(es) to which the Debtors must return any reply to the Response, if different from the address(es) presented in the claim.

4. Only those Responses made in writing and timely filed and received will be considered by the Court. If a Claimant whose proof of claim is subject to a claims objection and who is served with the relevant claims objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors may present to the Court an appropriate order seeking relief with respect to such claim consistent with the relief sought in the relevant claims objection without further notice to the claimant, provided that, upon entry of such an order, the claimant shall receive notice of the entry of such order as provided below; provided, however, that if the claimant files a timely Response, which does not include the required minimum information provided in paragraph 3 above, the Debtors shall seek disallowance and expungement of the relevant claim or claims only in accordance with the Claims Hearing Procedures provided in paragraph 9 below.

5. To the extent that a Response is filed with respect to any claim listed in a claims objection (each, a "Contested Claim"), each such Claim and the objection to such Claim asserted in the claims objection shall be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

6. The Debtors are hereby authorized and directed to serve each Claimant whose proof of claim is listed in any omnibus claims objection with (a) a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim which specifically identifies the Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to objection and the basis for such objection and (b) a complete copy of the relevant omnibus

claims objection without exhibits. Service of omnibus claims objections in such manner shall constitute good and sufficient notice and no other or further notice to claimants of an omnibus claims objection shall be required.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC (the "Claims Agent") is hereby authorized and directed to serve all orders entered with respect to any omnibus claims objections, including exhibits, upon only the master service list and the 2002 list. The Claims Agent is hereby further authorized and directed to serve all claimants whose proofs of claim are the subject of an order entered with respect to an omnibus claims objection with a copy of such order, without exhibits, and a personalized Notice Of Entry Of Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A specifically identifying such Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to the order, the Court's treatment of such proof of claim, and the basis for such treatment, and advising the Claimant of its ability to view the order with exhibits free of charge on the Debtors' Legal Information Website. Without limiting the foregoing, the Court hereby directs the Claims Agent to serve the First Omnibus Claims Order in the manner provided hereby.

8. Any order entered by the Court with respect to an objection asserted in an omnibus claims objection shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim covered by such order.

9. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the determination of Contested Claims (the "Claims Hearing Procedures"):

(a) Adjournment Of Claims Hearing.

(i) All Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion, by serving the Claimant with notice as provided herein. The Debtors may send such notice to each Claimant when they deem it appropriate to do so, subject to the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and any further order of this Court.

The Debtors shall schedule the further hearing upon each Contested Claim to a Claims Hearing of the Debtors' election:

(A) for a non-evidentiary hearing to address the legal sufficiency of the particular proof of claim and whether the proof of claim states a claim against the asserted Debtor under Bankruptcy Rule 7012 (a "Sufficiency Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B (a "Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 20 business days prior to the date of such Sufficiency Hearing, or

(B) for an evidentiary hearing on the merits of such Contested Claim (a "Claims Objection Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C (a "Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing" and, collectively with the Notice of Sufficiency Hearing, the "Notices of Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 65 calendar days prior to the date of such Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) The Debtors, in their sole discretion, are authorized to further adjourn a hearing scheduled in accordance herewith at any time by providing notice to the Court and the Claimant at least five business days prior to the date of the scheduled hearing; provided, however, that the hearing on any Contested Claim shall not be adjourned for more than a total of 180 calendar days from date of service of the initial Notice of Hearing set forth in paragraph 9(a)(i)(A) and (B) above without consent of the Claimant with respect thereto, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

(b) Sufficiency Hearing Procedures.

(i) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Debtors wish to file a supplemental pleading, they shall file and serve their pleading no later than ten calendar days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental pleading shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(ii) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Claimant wishes to file a supplemental response, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental response shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(iii) To the extent that this Court determines upon conclusion of the Sufficiency Hearing that a Contested Claim cannot be disallowed in whole or in part without further proceedings, the Debtors shall provide to the Claimant a Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing pursuant to the procedures set forth above.

(c) Mandatory Meet And Confer.

(i) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of

business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, and (C) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold an in-person meet and confer (an "In-Person Meet and Confer") at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan, or such other location as is reasonably acceptable to the Debtors, within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000, (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, or (3) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, and (B) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold a telephonic meet and confer (a "Telephonic Meet and Confer" and, collectively with In-Person Meet and Confers, the "Meet and Confers") within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) The following representatives of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend the Meet and Confer: (A) counsel for each of the parties, except for a Claimant proceeding pro se, who shall be prepared to discuss the matter described in paragraph 9 (k) below, and (B) a person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of the Debtors and the Claimant, respectively; provided, however, that counsel for each of the parties may participate in the Meet and Confer telephonically.

(iv) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Meet and Confer in good faith.

(d) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues. Within five business days after service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors shall file and serve a written statement of disputed issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") upon the Claimant. The Statement Of Disputed Issues shall contain a concise statement summarily setting forth the primary reasons why the claim should be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified as set forth in the claims objection, including, but not limited to, the material factual and legal bases upon which the Debtors will rely in prosecuting the claims objection, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim. The Statement of Disputed Issues shall also include documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim; provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Statement of Disputed Issues; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and

provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints.

(e) Claimant's Supplemental Response. The following procedures apply to the Claimant's written supplemental response (the "Supplemental Response"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k), filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing for a Contested Claim:

(i) The Claimant may file and serve its Supplemental Response (with a copy to chambers) no later than 30 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Response shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Claimant relies on exhibits, the Claimant shall include such exhibits in its Supplemental Response (other than those previously included with either its Proof of Claim or its Response); provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Contested Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Claimant shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Response, as appropriate.

(iii) The Supplemental Response may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the basis of the Contested Claim and evidence supporting the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Claimant intends to call a person not under such Claimant's control at the hearing, the Claimant shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Claimant's basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Claimant shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing; instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Response, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Claimant's control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Debtors may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing, or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Claimant.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Claimant timely filed a Supplemental Response, the Claimant may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Response and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of its witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Response and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Response shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(f) Debtors' Supplemental Reply. The following procedures shall apply to the Debtors' written supplemental reply, if any (the "Supplemental Reply"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing with respect to a Contested Claim:

(i) The Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) a Supplemental Reply no later than 20 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Reply shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Debtors rely on exhibits, the Debtors shall include such exhibits in their Supplemental Reply (other than those previously included with either their objection or reply); provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Reply; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Debtors intend to rely in support of their objection, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Debtors shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Reply.

(iii) The Supplemental Reply may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the Debtors' basis for objecting to the Contested Claim and evidence in support of such objection to the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Debtors intend to call a person not under the Debtors' control at the hearing, the Debtors shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Debtors' basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, as appropriate, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Debtors shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing, instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Reply, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Debtors' control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Claimant may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Debtors.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Debtors timely filed a Supplemental Reply, the Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Reply and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of their witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Reply and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Reply shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(g) Mandatory Non-Binding Summary Mediation. Except as set forth below, at least 15 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the Claimant shall submit to mandatory non-binding summary mediation (each, a

"Mediation") in an effort to consensually resolve the Contested Claim. The Mediation shall be governed by General Order M-143 except as follows. The following procedures shall apply to each Mediation, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) Each Mediation shall be assigned to one of the mediators listed by the Debtors on Exhibit D hereto (each, a "Mediator"). The Debtors and the Claimant shall agree upon the Mediator at the Meet and Confer; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a Mediator, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(ii) The Mediator shall not have the authority to require either the Debtors or the Claimant to provide any additional briefing with respect to the Mediation.

(iii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000) and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan.

(iv) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location reasonably acceptable to the Debtors and the Claimant; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a neutral location at the Meet and Confer, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(v) If (A) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000 or (B) the Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, participation in Mediation shall be voluntary and any Mediation may be held telephonically at either the Debtors' or the Claimant's request.

(vi) A person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend an in-person Mediation or participate in a telephonic Mediation, if any; provided, however, that the Debtors' counsel will not be precluded from attending and participating in a Mediation in the event that the claimant elects not to have its counsel attend or participate in a Mediation.

(vii) Absent consent of each of the Claimant and the Debtors, the length of the Mediation shall be limited to one day.

(viii) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Mediation in good faith.

(ix) The Debtors and the Claimant shall each bear its own costs in participating in the Mediation. The Debtors are hereby authorized to pay the Mediator's fees.

(h) Claims Objection Hearing Discovery. If a Claims Objection Hearing is scheduled for a particular Contested Claim, the Debtors and the Claimant shall be bound by the following discovery procedures, which shall otherwise be governed by the Bankruptcy Rules, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Response, the Debtors may request:

(A) That the Claimant produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Claimant respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Claimant respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Reply, the Claimant may request:

(A) That the Debtors produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Debtors respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Debtors respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors may, at their election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Claimant's Supplemental Response. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(iv) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Claimant may, at its election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Debtors' Supplemental Reply. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(v) Except as provided in paragraph 9(g)(vi) above, nothing in this Order alters any obligation of opposing counsel with regard to communications with non-counsel opponents or any applicable law regarding corporations or other business entities to be represented by counsel.

(i) Conduct Of The Claims Objection Hearing. The Debtors and the Claimant shall each be permitted, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, no more than one hour to present their respective cases, inclusive of time cross-examining their opponent's witnesses and making argument to the Court. The parties shall coordinate with each other in advance of the hearing with respect to, joint exhibit binders, stipulated admission of evidence, anticipated disputes regarding the admission of particular evidence and any designated deposition testimony.

(j) Estimation Based Upon Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount. To the extent that a Contested Claim would be subject to estimation pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Debtors have sought authority to estimate such Contested Claim pursuant to an omnibus claims objection and/or a motion to estimate claims, if the Claimant has filed a Response in accordance with the procedures outlined above which (i) acknowledges that the Contested Claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) provides the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such Contested Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount"), the Debtors are hereby authorized, in their sole discretion, to elect to provisionally accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of such Contested Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code for all purposes other than allowance, but including voting and establishing reserves for purposes of distribution, subject to further objection and reduction as appropriate and section 502(j) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' election shall be made by serving the Claimant with a Notice Of Election To Accept Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E. The Contested Claim will otherwise remain subject in all respects to the procedures outlined herein.

(k) Ability To Modify Procedures By Agreement Or Order Of Court. At the Meet and Confer, the parties shall discuss discovery parameters, briefing, evidence to be presented, the timing outlined herein, and any modifications thereto that are necessary due to the facts and circumstances of the relevant Contested Claim. Should the parties be unable to agree on reasonable modifications to these Claim Hearing Procedures, if any, either party may request that the Court promptly schedule a teleconference to consider such proposed modifications. No discovery, testimony, or motion practice other than that described herein, as modified, shall be permitted, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court.

10. The procedures approved herein shall not apply to claims filed by Banc of America Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10758), Barclays Capital Inc. (as to proof of claim number 11658), Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10732), Cadence Innovation LLC, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10731), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (as to proof of claim number 10763), Merrill Lynch, Peirce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10761), Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10762), the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Robert Bosch GmbH, the State of California Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Michigan Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Properties, Ltd., UBS Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10759), the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (as to proof of claim number 10760) (collectively, the "Excluded Parties") for any purpose, including, but not limited to, any objections to such claims or other litigation in respect of such claims; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall preclude any of the Excluded Parties or the Debtors, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, from seeking to establish appropriate alternative claims resolution procedures.

11. With respect to the claim of Gary Whitney ("Mr. Whitney") (claim number 10157) and NuTech Plastics Engineering, Inc. ("NuTech") (claim number 1279 against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC), nothing in this Order shall limit Mr. Whitney's or NuTech's ability to request relief from the automatic stay provisions under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code subject to the Debtors' right to object to such request.

12. The Debtors shall not serve a Notice of Hearing on Orix Warren, LLC ("Orix Warren") with respect to proof of claim number 10202 until the earliest of the following

to occur: (a) the Debtors assume the lease between Delphi Automotive Systems LLC and Orix Warren with respect to property located at 4551 Research Parkway in Warren, Ohio (the "Orix Lease"), (b) the Debtors reject the Orix Lease, or (c) the Orix Lease terminates or is terminated pursuant to its terms.

13. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any right to seek estimation of a claim under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, any right to seek relief from the automatic stay under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code to liquidate a claim in a different forum, any right to seek protection of information under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code or any right not specifically addressed in this Order.

14. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

15. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: New York, New York
December 6, 2006

/s/Robert D. Drain

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER WITH RESPECT
TO [] OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the United States Bankruptcy
Court for the Southern District of New York entered a [title of order] (the "Order").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT a copy of the Order, excluding exhibits, is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the proof of claim listed below, which you filed against Delphi Corporation and/or other of its subsidiaries and affiliates that are debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), was the subject of the Order and was listed on Exhibit __ to the Order and was accordingly disallowed and expunged, unless otherwise provided below in the column entitled "Treatment Of Claim."

Date Filed	Claim Number	Asserted Claim Amount¹	Basis For Objection	Treatment Of Claim	Surviving Claim Number (if any)

¹ Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you may view the complete exhibits to the Order by requesting a copy from the claims and noticing agent in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, at 1-888-259-2691 or by accessing the Debtors' Legal Information Website at www.delphidocket.com.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of the Proof of Claim and whether the Proof of Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for _____, 200_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	X	

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim is hereby scheduled for _____, 200__, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT D

LIST OF MEDIATORS

Lawrence Abramczyk
Marc Abrams
Ronald Barliant
Michael Baum
Morton Collins
Susan Cook
Samuel Damren
Eugene Driker
Jonathan Flaxer
Rozanne Giunta
Erwin Katz
Edward Moran
Alan Nisselson
Thomas Plunkett
Marty Reisig

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	X	

NOTICE OF DEBTORS' ELECTION TO ACCEPT CLAIMANT'S
ASSERTED ESTIMATED AMOUNT FOR PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the Claimant filed its response to the objection, wherein Claimant (i) acknowledged that the Proof of Claim asserts claims that are contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) stated that the Claimant believes that the allowable amount of the Proof of Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate, is \$_____ (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), the Debtors hereby provide notice that the Debtors elect to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of the Proof of Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as set forth in the Objection. A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any hearing scheduled pursuant to the Order is hereby cancelled.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors' election to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases, or to further object to the Proof of Claim, on any grounds whatsoever.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) AND 503(b) AUTHORIZING
DEBTORS TO APPLY CLAIMS OBJECTION PROCEDURES TO
ADDRESS CONTESTED ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIMS

("ORDER AUTHORIZING USE OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES")

Upon the motion (the "Motion"), dated July 31, 2009, of Delphi Corporation (now known as DPH Holdings Corp.) and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, former debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Reorganized Debtors"), for entry of an order authorizing the Reorganized Debtors to apply the claims objection procedures set forth in the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims on December 6, 2006 (the "Claim Objection Procedures Order") (Docket No. 6089) to contested administrative expense claims; and upon the record of the August 20, 2009 hearing held on the Motion; and counsel for the Reorganized Debtors having represented that GM Components¹ and DIP Holdco

¹ Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

3 have agreed to the terms of this order; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED
THAT:

1. The Motion is GRANTED as provided herein.
2. The Reorganized Debtors are authorized and directed to apply the claims objection procedures set forth in the Claims Objection Procedures Order to any dispute with respect to Administrative Claims.
3. All Administrative Claims shall be subject to the Claims Objection Procedures.
4. With respect to any Administrative Claim that is to be paid by and/or is the responsibility of either GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 pursuant to the DIP Lender-GM Master Disposition Agreement (the "MDA"), DPH Holdings Corp. will (a) provide to GM Components or DIP Holdco 3, as applicable, (i) written notice identifying the Administrative Claim and (ii) reasonably requested documentation relating to the Administrative Claim, and (b) work with GM Components or DIP Holdco 3, as applicable, to develop an appropriate strategy to liquidate or seek disallowance of the Administrative Claim.
5. DPH Holdings Corp. shall not enter into a settlement agreement or make a payment on account of any Administrative Claim for which either GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 is responsible without the express written consent of GM Components or DIP Holdco 3, as applicable. Additionally, to the extent GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 directs DPH Holdings Corp. to resolve an Administrative Claim (for which GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 is responsible) in a particular manner, including the settlement or litigation of such claim, DPH

Holdings Corp. shall resolve the Administrative Claim in accordance with such direction at no further cost, liability, or expense to DPH Holdings Corp.

6. If (a) GM Components or DIP Holdco 3, as applicable, requires DPH Holdings Corp. to liquidate or seek disallowance of an Administrative Claim or (b) after DPH Holdings Corp. applies the Claims Objection Procedures to liquidate or seek disallowance of an Administrative Claim and either GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 is subsequently determined to be responsible for such Administrative Claim pursuant to the MDA, the reasonable costs incurred by DPH Holdings Corp. of liquidating or seeking disallowance of such Administrative Claim, only to the extent incurred after DPH Holdings Corp. has given notice in accordance with paragraph 4(a), above, shall be reimbursed by whichever of GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 is responsible for such Administrative Claim pursuant to the MDA. GM Components or DIP Holdco 3, as applicable, may elect at any time to assume responsibility for liquidating or seeking disallowance of any such Administrative Claim at its own expense.

7. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

Dated: New York, New York
October 22, 2009

/s/Robert D. Drain
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

EXHIBIT H

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Website:
<http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF SUFFICIENCY HEARING WITH RESPECT TO REORGANIZED
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOFS OF ADMINISTRATIVE
EXPENSE CLAIM NUMBERS 19063, 19134, 19135, 19136 AND 20017

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that as set forth on Exhibit A attached hereto, DPH Holdings Corp. and its affiliated reorganized debtors in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Reorganized Debtors"), successors to Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, former debtors and debtors-in-possession (collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to various proofs of administrative expense claims (the "Claims") filed by certain parties (collectively, the "Claimants").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on October 6, 2009, the Debtors substantially consummated the First Amended Joint Plan Of Reorganization Of Delphi Corporation And Certain Affiliates, Debtors And Debtors-In-Possession, As Modified (the "Modified Plan"), which had been approved by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York pursuant to an order entered on July 30, 2009 (Docket No. 18707), and emerged from chapter 11 as the Reorganized Debtors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that Article 9.6(a) of the Modified Plan provides that "[t]he Reorganized Debtors shall retain responsibility for administering, disputing, objecting to, compromising, or otherwise resolving all Claims against, and Interests in, the Debtors and making distributions (if any) with respect to all Claims and Interests."

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Claims Objection Procedures Order"), the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) And 503(b) Authorizing Debtors To Apply Claims Objection Procedures To Address Contested Administrative Expense Claims (Docket No. 18998) (the "Administrative Claims Objection

Procedures Order" together with the Claims Objection Procedures Order, the "Orders"), and the Twelfth Supplemental Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered July 16, 2010 (Docket No. 20426), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of each of the Claims and whether each Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for December 16, 2010, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, 300 Quarropas Street, Room 118, White Plains, New York 10601-4140.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Orders, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Orders carefully because failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Orders (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of your Claim. Copies of the Orders are attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Reorganized Debtors may further adjourn the Sufficiency Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
November 16, 2010

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

EXHIBIT A

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Proof Of Administrative Expense Claim No.	Date Filed	Party Filing Proof Of Administrative Expense Claim	Owner Of Claim	Asserted Amount	Omnibus Claims Objection	Date Of Omnibus Claims Objection	Debtor Named On Proof Of Administrative Expense Claim
19063	7/15/2009	Brazeway, Inc.	Brazeway, Inc.	\$89,580.02	Forty-Third Omnibus Claims Objection	1/22/2010	Delphi Corporation
19134	7/15/2009	Inteva Products, LLC	Inteva Products, LLC	\$10,377.60	Forty-Seventh Omnibus Claims Objection	4/16/2010	Delphi Corporation
19135	7/15/2009	Inteva Products, LLC	Inteva Products, LLC	Unliquidated	Forty-Seventh Omnibus Claims Objection	4/16/2010	Delphi Corporation
19136	7/15/2009	Inteva Products, LLC	Inteva Products, LLC	\$16,701.76	Forty-Seventh Omnibus Claims Objection	4/16/2010	Delphi Corporation
20017	11/5/2009	Andrew C. Gregos	Andrew C. Gregos	\$528,443.24	Forty-Third Omnibus Claims Objection	1/22/2010	Delphi Corporation

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
: In re : Chapter 11
: :
: DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
: :
: Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
: :
-----X

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2002(m),
3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, AND 9014 ESTABLISHING (I) DATES FOR
HEARINGS REGARDING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND (II) CERTAIN
NOTICES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS

("CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES ORDER")

Upon the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And
Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For
Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And
Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims, dated
October 31, 2006 (the "Motion"), of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and
affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the
"Debtors"); and upon the objections to the Motion and the record of the hearing held on the
Motion; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:¹

A. Proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion has been provided, such notice was good, sufficient and appropriate under the particular circumstances, and no other or further notice of the Motion is or shall be required.

B. The Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157 (b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The relief requested in the Motion and granted herein is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. This Court shall conduct special periodic hearings on contested claims matters in these cases (the "Claims Hearing Dates"), to be held in Courtroom 610, United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander Hamilton Custom House, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 unless the Debtors and the parties whose claims are affected are otherwise notified by the Court. The following dates and times have been scheduled as Claims Hearing Dates in these chapter 11 cases:

December 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

January 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

February 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

March 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

¹ Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

March 21, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 5, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

April 27, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 10, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

May 24, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

June 22, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 12, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

July 20, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 2, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 17, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

August 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

September 28, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 11, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

October 26, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 8, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

November 30, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

December 6, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

2. Any response to a claims objection or an omnibus claims objection (a "Response") must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006,

9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel) and (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese), in each case so as to be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on the seventh calendar day prior to the Omnibus Hearing for which the relevant claims objection or omnibus claims objection is scheduled.

3. Every Response must contain at a minimum the following:

- (a) the title of the claims objection to which the Response is directed;
- (b) the name of the claimant (each holder of a proof of claim, a "Claimant") and a brief description of the basis for the amount of the claim;
- (c) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which the Claimant will rely in opposing the claims objection;
- (d) unless already set forth in the proof of claim previously filed with the Court, documentation sufficient to establish a prima facie right to payment; provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be

confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints;

(e) to the extent that the claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such claim upon liquidation of the claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; and

(f) the address(es) to which the Debtors must return any reply to the Response, if different from the address(es) presented in the claim.

4. Only those Responses made in writing and timely filed and received will be considered by the Court. If a Claimant whose proof of claim is subject to a claims objection and who is served with the relevant claims objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors may present to the Court an appropriate order seeking relief with respect to such claim consistent with the relief sought in the relevant claims objection without further notice to the claimant, provided that, upon entry of such an order, the claimant shall receive notice of the entry of such order as provided below; provided, however, that if the claimant files a timely Response, which does not include the required minimum information provided in paragraph 3 above, the Debtors shall seek disallowance and expungement of the relevant claim or claims only in accordance with the Claims Hearing Procedures provided in paragraph 9 below.

5. To the extent that a Response is filed with respect to any claim listed in a claims objection (each, a "Contested Claim"), each such Claim and the objection to such Claim asserted in the claims objection shall be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

6. The Debtors are hereby authorized and directed to serve each Claimant whose proof of claim is listed in any omnibus claims objection with (a) a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim which specifically identifies the Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to objection and the basis for such objection and (b) a complete copy of the relevant omnibus

claims objection without exhibits. Service of omnibus claims objections in such manner shall constitute good and sufficient notice and no other or further notice to claimants of an omnibus claims objection shall be required.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC (the "Claims Agent") is hereby authorized and directed to serve all orders entered with respect to any omnibus claims objections, including exhibits, upon only the master service list and the 2002 list. The Claims Agent is hereby further authorized and directed to serve all claimants whose proofs of claim are the subject of an order entered with respect to an omnibus claims objection with a copy of such order, without exhibits, and a personalized Notice Of Entry Of Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A specifically identifying such Claimant's proof of claim that is subject to the order, the Court's treatment of such proof of claim, and the basis for such treatment, and advising the Claimant of its ability to view the order with exhibits free of charge on the Debtors' Legal Information Website. Without limiting the foregoing, the Court hereby directs the Claims Agent to serve the First Omnibus Claims Order in the manner provided hereby.

8. Any order entered by the Court with respect to an objection asserted in an omnibus claims objection shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim covered by such order.

9. The following procedures shall apply with respect to the determination of Contested Claims (the "Claims Hearing Procedures"):

(a) Adjournment Of Claims Hearing.

(i) All Contested Claims for which a timely Response is filed shall be automatically adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which shall be determined by the Debtors, in their sole discretion, by serving the Claimant with notice as provided herein. The Debtors may send such notice to each Claimant when they deem it appropriate to do so, subject to the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and any further order of this Court.

The Debtors shall schedule the further hearing upon each Contested Claim to a Claims Hearing of the Debtors' election:

(A) for a non-evidentiary hearing to address the legal sufficiency of the particular proof of claim and whether the proof of claim states a claim against the asserted Debtor under Bankruptcy Rule 7012 (a "Sufficiency Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B (a "Notice Of Sufficiency Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 20 business days prior to the date of such Sufficiency Hearing, or

(B) for an evidentiary hearing on the merits of such Contested Claim (a "Claims Objection Hearing"), by serving upon the relevant Claimant by facsimile or overnight delivery, and filing with this Court, a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C (a "Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing" and, collectively with the Notice of Sufficiency Hearing, the "Notices of Hearing") and a copy of this Order at least 65 calendar days prior to the date of such Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) The Debtors, in their sole discretion, are authorized to further adjourn a hearing scheduled in accordance herewith at any time by providing notice to the Court and the Claimant at least five business days prior to the date of the scheduled hearing; provided, however, that the hearing on any Contested Claim shall not be adjourned for more than a total of 180 calendar days from date of service of the initial Notice of Hearing set forth in paragraph 9(a)(i)(A) and (B) above without consent of the Claimant with respect thereto, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

(b) Sufficiency Hearing Procedures.

(i) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Debtors wish to file a supplemental pleading, they shall file and serve their pleading no later than ten calendar days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental pleading shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(ii) To the extent that a Contested Claim is adjourned to a Sufficiency Hearing, if the Claimant wishes to file a supplemental response, the Claimant shall file and serve its response no later than two business days before the scheduled Sufficiency Hearing. The supplemental response shall not exceed fifteen single-sided, double-spaced pages.

(iii) To the extent that this Court determines upon conclusion of the Sufficiency Hearing that a Contested Claim cannot be disallowed in whole or in part without further proceedings, the Debtors shall provide to the Claimant a Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing pursuant to the procedures set forth above.

(c) Mandatory Meet And Confer.

(i) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of

business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, and (C) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold an in-person meet and confer (an "In-Person Meet and Confer") at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan, or such other location as is reasonably acceptable to the Debtors, within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000, (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, or (3) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, and (B) such Contested Claim is scheduled by the Debtors for a Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the relevant Claimant shall hold a telephonic meet and confer (a "Telephonic Meet and Confer" and, collectively with In-Person Meet and Confers, the "Meet and Confers") within ten business days of service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) The following representatives of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend the Meet and Confer: (A) counsel for each of the parties, except for a Claimant proceeding pro se, who shall be prepared to discuss the matter described in paragraph 9 (k) below, and (B) a person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of the Debtors and the Claimant, respectively; provided, however, that counsel for each of the parties may participate in the Meet and Confer telephonically.

(iv) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Meet and Confer in good faith.

(d) Debtors' Statement Of Disputed Issues. Within five business days after service of the Notice Of Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors shall file and serve a written statement of disputed issues (the "Statement Of Disputed Issues") upon the Claimant. The Statement Of Disputed Issues shall contain a concise statement summarily setting forth the primary reasons why the claim should be disallowed, expunged, reduced, or reclassified as set forth in the claims objection, including, but not limited to, the material factual and legal bases upon which the Debtors will rely in prosecuting the claims objection, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify and assert additional legal and factual bases for disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim. The Statement of Disputed Issues shall also include documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim, without prejudice to the Debtors' right to later identify additional documentation supporting the disallowance, expungement, reduction, or reclassification of the Contested Claim; provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Statement of Disputed Issues; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and

provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints.

(e) Claimant's Supplemental Response. The following procedures apply to the Claimant's written supplemental response (the "Supplemental Response"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k), filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing for a Contested Claim:

(i) The Claimant may file and serve its Supplemental Response (with a copy to chambers) no later than 30 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Response shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Claimant relies on exhibits, the Claimant shall include such exhibits in its Supplemental Response (other than those previously included with either its Proof of Claim or its Response); provided, however, that the Claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Response; provided further, however, that the Claimant shall disclose to the Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the Claimant believes to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Claimant intends to rely in support of its Contested Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Claimant shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Response, as appropriate.

(iii) The Supplemental Response may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the basis of the Contested Claim and evidence supporting the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Claimant intends to call a person not under such Claimant's control at the hearing, the Claimant shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Claimant's basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Claimant shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing; instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Response, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Claimant's control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Debtors may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing, or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Claimant.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Claimant timely filed a Supplemental Response, the Claimant may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Response and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of its witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Response and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Response shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(f) Debtors' Supplemental Reply. The following procedures shall apply to the Debtors' written supplemental reply, if any (the "Supplemental Reply"), subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, filed in connection with a Claims Objection Hearing with respect to a Contested Claim:

(i) The Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) a Supplemental Reply no later than 20 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing. The Supplemental Reply shall not exceed 20 single-sided, double-spaced pages (exclusive of exhibits or affidavits).

(ii) If the Debtors rely on exhibits, the Debtors shall include such exhibits in their Supplemental Reply (other than those previously included with either their objection or reply); provided, however, that the Debtors need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Supplemental Reply; provided further, however, that the Debtors shall disclose to the Claimant all information and provide copies of all documents that the Debtors believe to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the Debtors intend to rely in support of their objection, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints. The Debtors shall include a certificate of counsel or a declaration or affidavit authenticating any documents attached to the Supplemental Reply.

(iii) The Supplemental Reply may include affidavits or declarations from no more than two witnesses setting forth the Debtors' basis for objecting to the Contested Claim and evidence in support of such objection to the Contested Claim; provided, however, that if the Debtors intend to call a person not under the Debtors' control at the hearing, the Debtors shall, in lieu of an affidavit or declaration of such person, identify such person, the Debtors' basis for calling such person as a witness, and the reason that it did not file an affidavit or declaration of such person. If an affiant or declarant does not attend the Claims Objection Hearing, as appropriate, such affiant or declarant's affidavit or declaration shall be stricken. The Debtors shall not be permitted to elicit any direct testimony at the Claims Objection Hearing, instead, the affidavit or declaration submitted with the Supplemental Reply, or such witnesses' deposition transcript if the witnesses were not under the Debtors' control, shall serve as the witnesses' direct testimony and the Claimant may cross examine the witnesses at the Claims Objection Hearing or counter-designate deposition testimony. No other or additional witnesses may introduce evidence at the hearing on behalf of the Debtors.

(iv) No later than three business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, if the Debtors timely filed a Supplemental Reply, the Debtors may file and serve (with a copy to chambers) an amended Supplemental Reply and a supplemental affidavit or declaration on behalf of each of their witnesses solely for the purpose of supplementing the Supplemental Reply and the witnesses' prior affidavits or declarations with respect to matters adduced through the discovery provided by these Claims Hearing Procedures; provided that the amended Supplemental Reply shall be subject to the page limitations set forth above.

(g) Mandatory Non-Binding Summary Mediation. Except as set forth below, at least 15 business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors and the Claimant shall submit to mandatory non-binding summary mediation (each, a

"Mediation") in an effort to consensually resolve the Contested Claim. The Mediation shall be governed by General Order M-143 except as follows. The following procedures shall apply to each Mediation, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) Each Mediation shall be assigned to one of the mediators listed by the Debtors on Exhibit D hereto (each, a "Mediator"). The Debtors and the Claimant shall agree upon the Mediator at the Meet and Confer; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a Mediator, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(ii) The Mediator shall not have the authority to require either the Debtors or the Claimant to provide any additional briefing with respect to the Mediation.

(iii) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000) and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located within 90 miles of Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location in Troy, Michigan.

(iv) If (A) (1) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim exceeds \$1,000,000 or (2) a Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims (unless the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000), and (B) the Claimant (if an individual) or the Claimant's principal place of business (if a governmental unit or a person, as defined in section 101(41) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than an individual) is located more than 90 miles from Troy, Michigan, the Mediation shall be held at a neutral location reasonably acceptable to the Debtors and the Claimant; provided that, if the Debtors and the Claimant are unable to agree upon a neutral location at the Meet and Confer, the parties shall promptly report such inability to agree to the Court.

(v) If (A) the amount in dispute for a Contested Claim is less than or equal to \$1,000,000 or (B) the Contested Claim asserts unliquidated claims and the Claimant with respect thereto irrevocably agrees in writing that the allowed amount of such Contested Claim shall be limited to a maximum of \$1,000,000, participation in Mediation shall be voluntary and any Mediation may be held telephonically at either the Debtors' or the Claimant's request.

(vi) A person possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the Contested Claim on behalf of each of the Debtors and the Claimant shall attend an in-person Mediation or participate in a telephonic Mediation, if any; provided, however, that the Debtors' counsel will not be precluded from attending and participating in a Mediation in the event that the claimant elects not to have its counsel attend or participate in a Mediation.

(vii) Absent consent of each of the Claimant and the Debtors, the length of the Mediation shall be limited to one day.

(viii) The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the foregoing procedures or conduct the Mediation in good faith.

(ix) The Debtors and the Claimant shall each bear its own costs in participating in the Mediation. The Debtors are hereby authorized to pay the Mediator's fees.

(h) Claims Objection Hearing Discovery. If a Claims Objection Hearing is scheduled for a particular Contested Claim, the Debtors and the Claimant shall be bound by the following discovery procedures, which shall otherwise be governed by the Bankruptcy Rules, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below:

(i) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Response, the Debtors may request:

(A) That the Claimant produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Claimant respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Claimant respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(ii) No later than five business days after service of the Supplemental Reply, the Claimant may request:

(A) That the Debtors produce documents relevant to the Contested Claim. Documents shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(B) That the Debtors respond to no more than 15 interrogatories, including discrete subparts. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(C) That the Debtors respond to no more than ten requests for admission. Responses shall be produced at least ten business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing.

(iii) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Debtors may, at their election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Claimant's Supplemental Response. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(iv) No earlier than fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, but at least five business days prior to commencement of the Claims Objection Hearing, the Claimant may, at its election, take the deposition upon oral examination of each witness whose affidavit or declaration was proffered in support of the Debtors' Supplemental Reply. Each deposition shall not exceed three hours.

(v) Except as provided in paragraph 9(g)(vi) above, nothing in this Order alters any obligation of opposing counsel with regard to communications with non-counsel opponents or any applicable law regarding corporations or other business entities to be represented by counsel.

(i) Conduct Of The Claims Objection Hearing. The Debtors and the Claimant shall each be permitted, subject to modification pursuant to paragraph 9(k) below, no more than one hour to present their respective cases, inclusive of time cross-examining their opponent's witnesses and making argument to the Court. The parties shall coordinate with each other in advance of the hearing with respect to, joint exhibit binders, stipulated admission of evidence, anticipated disputes regarding the admission of particular evidence and any designated deposition testimony.

(j) Estimation Based Upon Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount. To the extent that a Contested Claim would be subject to estimation pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Debtors have sought authority to estimate such Contested Claim pursuant to an omnibus claims objection and/or a motion to estimate claims, if the Claimant has filed a Response in accordance with the procedures outlined above which (i) acknowledges that the Contested Claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) provides the amount that the Claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such Contested Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount"), the Debtors are hereby authorized, in their sole discretion, to elect to provisionally accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of such Contested Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code for all purposes other than allowance, but including voting and establishing reserves for purposes of distribution, subject to further objection and reduction as appropriate and section 502(j) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' election shall be made by serving the Claimant with a Notice Of Election To Accept Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E. The Contested Claim will otherwise remain subject in all respects to the procedures outlined herein.

(k) Ability To Modify Procedures By Agreement Or Order Of Court. At the Meet and Confer, the parties shall discuss discovery parameters, briefing, evidence to be presented, the timing outlined herein, and any modifications thereto that are necessary due to the facts and circumstances of the relevant Contested Claim. Should the parties be unable to agree on reasonable modifications to these Claim Hearing Procedures, if any, either party may request that the Court promptly schedule a teleconference to consider such proposed modifications. No discovery, testimony, or motion practice other than that described herein, as modified, shall be permitted, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court.

10. The procedures approved herein shall not apply to claims filed by Banc of America Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10758), Barclays Capital Inc. (as to proof of claim number 11658), Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10732), Cadence Innovation LLC, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10731), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (as to proof of claim number 10763), Merrill Lynch, Peirce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10761), Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. (as to proof of claim number 10762), the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Robert Bosch GmbH, the State of California Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Michigan Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Properties, Ltd., UBS Securities LLC (as to proof of claim number 10759), the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (as to proof of claim number 10760) (collectively, the "Excluded Parties") for any purpose, including, but not limited to, any objections to such claims or other litigation in respect of such claims; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall preclude any of the Excluded Parties or the Debtors, after notice and an opportunity to be heard, from seeking to establish appropriate alternative claims resolution procedures.

11. With respect to the claim of Gary Whitney ("Mr. Whitney") (claim number 10157) and NuTech Plastics Engineering, Inc. ("NuTech") (claim number 1279 against Delphi Automotive Systems LLC), nothing in this Order shall limit Mr. Whitney's or NuTech's ability to request relief from the automatic stay provisions under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code subject to the Debtors' right to object to such request.

12. The Debtors shall not serve a Notice of Hearing on Orix Warren, LLC ("Orix Warren") with respect to proof of claim number 10202 until the earliest of the following

to occur: (a) the Debtors assume the lease between Delphi Automotive Systems LLC and Orix Warren with respect to property located at 4551 Research Parkway in Warren, Ohio (the "Orix Lease"), (b) the Debtors reject the Orix Lease, or (c) the Orix Lease terminates or is terminated pursuant to its terms.

13. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any right to seek estimation of a claim under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, any right to seek relief from the automatic stay under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code to liquidate a claim in a different forum, any right to seek protection of information under section 107(b) of the Bankruptcy Code or any right not specifically addressed in this Order.

14. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

15. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motion.

Dated: New York, New York
December 6, 2006

/s/Robert D. Drain

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER WITH RESPECT
TO [] OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the United States Bankruptcy

Court for the Southern District of New York entered a [title of order] (the "Order").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT a copy of the Order, excluding exhibits, is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the proof of claim listed below, which you filed against Delphi Corporation and/or other of its subsidiaries and affiliates that are debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), was the subject of the Order and was listed on Exhibit __ to the Order and was accordingly disallowed and expunged, unless otherwise provided below in the column entitled "Treatment Of Claim."

Date Filed	Claim Number	Asserted Claim Amount¹	Basis For Objection	Treatment Of Claim	Surviving Claim Number (if any)

¹ Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you may view the complete exhibits to the Order by requesting a copy from the claims and noticing agent in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, at 1-888-259-2691 or by accessing the Debtors' Legal Information Website at www.delphidocket.com.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF HEARING WITH RESPECT TO
DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a sufficiency hearing (the "Sufficiency Hearing") to address the legal sufficiency of the Proof of Claim and whether the Proof of Claim states a colorable claim against the asserted Debtor is hereby scheduled for _____, 200_, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Sufficiency Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING WITH
RESPECT TO DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), a claims objection hearing (the "Claims Objection Hearing") for purposes of holding an evidentiary hearing on the merits of the Proof of Claim is hereby scheduled for _____, 200__, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Claims Objection Hearing will proceed in accordance with the procedures provided in the Order, unless such procedures are modified in accordance with Paragraph 9(k) thereof. Please review the Order carefully – failure to comply with the procedures provided in the Order (or as modified pursuant to Paragraph 9(k)) could result in the disallowance and expungement of the Proof of Claim. A copy of the Order is attached hereto for your convenience.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors may further adjourn the
Hearing at any time at least five business days prior to the scheduled hearing upon notice to the
Court and the Claimant.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT D

LIST OF MEDIATORS

Lawrence Abramczyk
Marc Abrams
Ronald Barliant
Michael Baum
Morton Collins
Susan Cook
Samuel Damren
Eugene Driker
Jonathan Flaxer
Rozanne Giunta
Erwin Katz
Edward Moran
Alan Nisselson
Thomas Plunkett
Marty Reisig

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	X	

NOTICE OF DEBTORS' ELECTION TO ACCEPT CLAIMANT'S
ASSERTED ESTIMATED AMOUNT FOR PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER [_____]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on _____, 200_, Delphi Corporation and certain
of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases

(collectively, the "Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number _____ (the "Proof of Claim") filed by _____ (the "Claimant") pursuant to the [Title Of Applicable Omnibus Claims Objection] (the "Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on _____, 200_, the Claimant filed its response to the objection, wherein Claimant (i) acknowledged that the Proof of Claim asserts claims that are contingent or fully or partially unliquidated and (ii) stated that the Claimant believes that the allowable amount of the Proof of Claim upon liquidation of the Contested Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate, is \$_____ (the "Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims, entered December __, 2006 (the "Order"), the Debtors hereby provide notice that the Debtors elect to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount as the estimated amount of the Proof of Claim pursuant to section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as set forth in the Objection. A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any hearing scheduled pursuant to the Order is hereby cancelled.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors' election to accept the Claimant's Asserted Estimated Amount is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases, or to further object to the Proof of Claim, on any grounds whatsoever.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 200_

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP

By: _____
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

By: _____
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) AND 503(b) AUTHORIZING
DEBTORS TO APPLY CLAIMS OBJECTION PROCEDURES TO
ADDRESS CONTESTED ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIMS

("ORDER AUTHORIZING USE OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM OBJECTION PROCEDURES")

Upon the motion (the "Motion"), dated July 31, 2009, of Delphi Corporation (now known as DPH Holdings Corp.) and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, former debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Reorganized Debtors"), for entry of an order authorizing the Reorganized Debtors to apply the claims objection procedures set forth in the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims on December 6, 2006 (the "Claim Objection Procedures Order") (Docket No. 6089) to contested administrative expense claims; and upon the record of the August 20, 2009 hearing held on the Motion; and counsel for the Reorganized Debtors having represented that GM Components¹ and DIP Holdco

¹ Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

3 have agreed to the terms of this order; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED
THAT:

1. The Motion is GRANTED as provided herein.
2. The Reorganized Debtors are authorized and directed to apply the claims objection procedures set forth in the Claims Objection Procedures Order to any dispute with respect to Administrative Claims.
3. All Administrative Claims shall be subject to the Claims Objection Procedures.
4. With respect to any Administrative Claim that is to be paid by and/or is the responsibility of either GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 pursuant to the DIP Lender-GM Master Disposition Agreement (the "MDA"), DPH Holdings Corp. will (a) provide to GM Components or DIP Holdco 3, as applicable, (i) written notice identifying the Administrative Claim and (ii) reasonably requested documentation relating to the Administrative Claim, and (b) work with GM Components or DIP Holdco 3, as applicable, to develop an appropriate strategy to liquidate or seek disallowance of the Administrative Claim.
5. DPH Holdings Corp. shall not enter into a settlement agreement or make a payment on account of any Administrative Claim for which either GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 is responsible without the express written consent of GM Components or DIP Holdco 3, as applicable. Additionally, to the extent GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 directs DPH Holdings Corp. to resolve an Administrative Claim (for which GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 is responsible) in a particular manner, including the settlement or litigation of such claim, DPH

Holdings Corp. shall resolve the Administrative Claim in accordance with such direction at no further cost, liability, or expense to DPH Holdings Corp.

6. If (a) GM Components or DIP Holdco 3, as applicable, requires DPH Holdings Corp. to liquidate or seek disallowance of an Administrative Claim or (b) after DPH Holdings Corp. applies the Claims Objection Procedures to liquidate or seek disallowance of an Administrative Claim and either GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 is subsequently determined to be responsible for such Administrative Claim pursuant to the MDA, the reasonable costs incurred by DPH Holdings Corp. of liquidating or seeking disallowance of such Administrative Claim, only to the extent incurred after DPH Holdings Corp. has given notice in accordance with paragraph 4(a), above, shall be reimbursed by whichever of GM Components or DIP Holdco 3 is responsible for such Administrative Claim pursuant to the MDA. GM Components or DIP Holdco 3, as applicable, may elect at any time to assume responsibility for liquidating or seeking disallowance of any such Administrative Claim at its own expense.

7. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

Dated: New York, New York
October 22, 2009

/s/Robert D. Drain
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

EXHIBIT I

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Website:
<http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	x	

REORGANIZED DEBTORS' NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF
DEBTORS' SEVENTEENTH OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION
WITH RESPECT TO PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 16576

("NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF SEVENTEENTH OMNIBUS CLAIMS
OBJECTION WITH RESPECT TO PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 16576")

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, former debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), predecessors of DPH Holdings Corp. and its affiliated reorganized debtors (collectively, the "Reorganized Debtors"), objected to proof of claim number 16576 (the "Proof of Claim"), filed by Collins & Aikman Automotive Canada Co. (the "Claimant"), pursuant to the Debtors' Seventeenth Omnibus Objection (Substantive) Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Insufficiently Documented Claims, (B) Claims Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (C) Insurance Claim Not Reflected On Debtors' Books And Records, (D) Untimely Claims And Untimely Tax Claims, And (E) Claims Subject To Modification, Tax Claims Subject To Modification, And Modified Claims Asserting Reclamation (Docket No. 8270) (the "Seventeenth Omnibus Claims Objection").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on October 6, 2009, the Debtors substantially consummated the First Amended Joint Plan Of Reorganization Of Delphi Corporation And Certain Affiliates, Debtors And Debtors-In-Possession, As Modified (the "Modified Plan"), which had been approved by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York pursuant to an order entered on July 30, 2009 (Docket No. 18707), and emerged from chapter 11 as the Reorganized Debtors.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that Article 9.6(a) of the Modified Plan provides that "[t]he Reorganized Debtors shall retain responsibility for administering, disputing, objecting to, compromising, or otherwise resolving all Claims against, and Interests in, the Debtors and making distributions (if any) with respect to all Claims and Interests."

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Reorganized Debtors are withdrawing the Seventeenth Omnibus Claims Objection with respect to the Proof of Claim.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that in accordance with Articles 1.9 and 9.6(b) of the Modified Plan and 11 U.S.C § 502, the Proof of Claim will be allowed as a general unsecured non-priority claim in the amount of \$31,730.72 which reflects the amount asserted on the Proof of Claim.

Dated: New York, New York
November 16, 2010

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

EXHIBIT J

Hearing Date: December 16, 2010
Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Website:
<http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	x	

REORGANIZED DEBTORS' SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY WITH
RESPECT TO PROOF OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM NO. 19571
(JOE N. SWAN)

("SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY – JOE N. SWAN")

DPH Holdings Corp. and certain of its affiliated reorganized debtors in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Reorganized Debtors") hereby submit this Supplemental Reply With Respect To Proof Of Administrative Expense Claim Number 19571 (the "Supplemental Reply") filed by the Mississippi Workers' Compensation Individual Self-Insurer Guaranty Association (the "Association") on behalf Joe N. Swan (the "Claimant") and respectfully represent as follows:

1. On October 19, 2010, the Reorganized Debtors filed their Statement Of Disputed Issues With Respect To Proof Of Administrative Expense Claim Number 19571 (Joe N. Swan) (Docket No. 20679) (the "Statement of Disputed Issues").

2. Because Mr. Swan has not filed a supplemental response, the Statement of Disputed Issues is uncontested and the Reorganized Debtors rely upon the Statement of Disputed Issues.¹

3. The Reorganized Debtors reserve all of the their rights to (a) supplement this Supplemental Reply and (b) assert that Mr. Swan has not followed the claims objection procedures approved by this Court.

¹ The Reorganized Debtors' have granted the Association an extension until November 18, 2010, to file a supplemental response. In the event that the Association files a supplemental response by that date, the Reorganized Debtors' deadline to file a supplemental reply will be December 6, 2010.

WHEREFORE the Reorganized Debtors respectfully request that this Court enter an order (a) disallowing and expunging proof of administrative expense claim number 19571 in its entirety and (b) granting the Reorganized Debtors such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: New York, New York
November 16, 2010

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

EXHIBIT K

Hearing Date: December 16, 2010
Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Website:
<http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	x	

REORGANIZED DEBTORS' SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY WITH
RESPECT TO PROOF OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM NO. 19570
(EMMA C. KYLES)

("SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY – EMMA C. KYLES")

DPH Holdings Corp. and certain of its affiliated reorganized debtors in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Reorganized Debtors") hereby submit this Supplemental Reply With Respect To Proof Of Administrative Expense Claim Number 19570 (the "Supplemental Reply") filed by the Mississippi Workers' Compensation Individual Self-Insurer Guaranty Association (the "Association") on behalf Emma C. Kyles and respectfully represent as follows:

1. On October 19, 2010, the Reorganized Debtors filed their Statement Of Disputed Issues With Respect To Proof Of Administrative Expense Claim Number 19570 (Emma C. Kyles) (Docket No. 20678) (the "Statement of Disputed Issues").

2. Because Ms. Kyles has not filed a supplemental response, the Statement of Disputed Issues is uncontested and the Reorganized Debtors rely upon the Statement of Disputed Issues.¹

3. The Reorganized Debtors reserve all of the their rights to (a) supplement this Supplemental Reply and (b) assert that Ms. Kyles has not followed the claims objection procedures approved by this Court.

¹ The Reorganized Debtors' have granted the Association an extension until November 18, 2010, to file a supplemental response. In the event that the Association files a supplemental response by that date, the Reorganized Debtors' deadline to file a supplemental reply will be December 6, 2010.

WHEREFORE the Reorganized Debtors respectfully request that this Court enter an order (a) modifying and allowing proof of administrative expense claim number 19570 as an administrative expense priority claim payable to the Claimant in the amount of \$6,042.00 in full satisfaction of proof of administrative expense claim number 19570 and (b) granting the Reorganized Debtors such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: New York, New York
November 16, 2010

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

EXHIBIT L

Hearing Date: December 16, 2010
Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Website:
<http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	x	

REORGANIZED DEBTORS' SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY WITH
RESPECT TO PROOF OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM NO. 19566
(KENDRICK D. HOLMES)

("SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY – KENDRICK D. HOLMES")

DPH Holdings Corp. and certain of its affiliated reorganized debtors in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Reorganized Debtors") hereby submit this Supplemental Reply With Respect To Proof Of Administrative Expense Claim Number 19566 (the "Supplemental Reply") filed by the Mississippi Workers' Compensation Individual Self-Insurer Guaranty Association (the "Association") on behalf of Kendrick D. Holmes (the "Claimant") and respectfully represent as follows:

1. On October 19, 2010, the Reorganized Debtors filed their Statement Of Disputed Issues With Respect To Proof Of Administrative Expense Claim Number 19566 (Kendrick D. Holmes) (Docket No. 20677) (the "Statement of Disputed Issues").

2. Because Mr. Holmes has not filed a supplemental response, the Statement of Disputed Issues is uncontested and the Reorganized Debtors rely upon the Statement of Disputed Issues.¹

3. The Reorganized Debtors reserve all of the their rights to (a) supplement this Supplemental Reply and (b) assert that Mr. Holmes has not followed the claims objection procedures approved by this Court.

¹ The Reorganized Debtors' have granted the Association an extension until November 18, 2010, to file a supplemental response. In the event that the Association files a supplemental response by that date, the Reorganized Debtors' deadline to file a supplemental reply will be December 6, 2010.

WHEREFORE the Reorganized Debtors respectfully request that this Court enter an order (a) modifying and allowing proof of administrative expense claim number 19566 as an administrative expense priority claim payable to the Claimant in the amount of \$23,935.00 in full satisfaction of proof of administrative expense claim number 19566 and (b) granting the Reorganized Debtors such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: New York, New York
November 16, 2010

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

EXHIBIT M

Hearing Date: December 16, 2010
Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Website:
<http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DPH HOLDINGS CORP., <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	x	

REORGANIZED DEBTORS' SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY WITH
RESPECT TO PROOF OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM NO. 19567
(CATHY L. ANDERSON)

("SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY – CATHY L. ANDERSON")

DPH Holdings Corp. and certain of its affiliated reorganized debtors in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Reorganized Debtors") hereby submit this Supplemental Reply With Respect To Proof Of Administrative Expense Claim Number 19567 (the "Supplemental Reply") filed by the Mississippi Workers' Compensation Individual Self-Insurer Guaranty Association (the "Association") on behalf of Cathy L. Anderson (the "Claimant") and respectfully represent as follows:

1. On October 26, 2010, the Reorganized Debtors filed their Statement Of Disputed Issues With Respect To Proof Of Administrative Expense Claim Number 19567 (Cathy L. Anderson) (Docket No. 20747) (the "Statement of Disputed Issues").

2. Because Ms. Anderson has not filed a supplemental response, the Statement of Disputed Issues is uncontested and the Reorganized Debtors rely upon the Statement of Disputed Issues.¹

3. The Reorganized Debtors reserve all of the their rights to (a) supplement this Supplemental Reply and (b) assert that Ms. Anderson has not followed the claims objection procedures approved by this Court.

¹ The Reorganized Debtors' have granted the Association an extension until November 18, 2010, to file a supplemental response. In the event that the Association files a supplemental response by that date, the Reorganized Debtors' deadline to file a supplemental reply will be December 6, 2010.

WHEREFORE the Reorganized Debtors respectfully request that this Court enter an order (a) modifying and allowing proof of administrative expense claim number 19567 as an administrative expense priority claim payable to the Claimant in the amount of \$4,688.00 in full satisfaction of proof of administrative expense claim number 19567 and (b) granting the Reorganized Debtors such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: New York, New York
November 16, 2010

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al.,
Reorganized Debtors

EXHIBIT N

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Contrarian Funds LLC	Alisa Mumola	411 W Putnam Ave Ste 225		Greenwich	CT	06830-0000
Contrarian Funds LLC	D Rosner A Shiff D Zinman D Fliman	Kasowitz Benson Torres Friedman LLP	1633 Broadway 22nd Fl	New York	NY	10019
Liquidity Solutions	DBA Revenue Management	One University Plaza	Suite 312	Hackensack	NJ	07601-0000
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Nava Hazan	340 Madison Avenue		New York	NY	10173-1922
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Peter M Acton Jr	28 State Street		Boston	MA	02109-0000

EXHIBIT O

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Ohio Bureau of Workers Compensation	Law Section Bankruptcy Unit	30 W Spring St	PO Box 15567	Columbus	OH	43215-0567

EXHIBIT P

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Ropers Majeski Kohn & Bentley	Andrew L Margulis Esq	17 State Street	Suite 2400	New York	NY	10004
Technology Properties Ltd	Daniel E Leckrone	PO Box 20250		San Jose	CA	95160
Technology Properties Ltd	Heinz Binder Wendy W Smith	Binder & Malter LLP	2775 Park Ave	Santa Clara	CA	95050

EXHIBIT Q

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
All Tool Sales Inc	Nathan Coco	McDermott Will & Emery LLP	227 W Monroe	Chicago	IL	60606
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Gary O Ravert	340 Madison Avenue		New York	NY	10173
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Nathan F Coco	227 West Monroe Street		Chicago	IL	60606

EXHIBIT R

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Bing Metals Group LLC	Patrick J Kukla Esq	Carson Fischer PLC	4111 Andover Rd W 2nd Fl	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48302

EXHIBIT S

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Andrew C Gregos		3076 Crescent Dr NE		Warren	OH	44483
Brazeway Inc	Bruce N Elliot	Conlin McKenney & Philbrick PC	350 S Main St Ste 400	Ann Arbor	MI	48104-2131
Inteva Products LLC	Derek L Wright Judy A O'Neill	Foley & Lardner LLP	321 N Clark St Ste 2800	Chicago	IL	60654
Inteva Products LLC	Judy A O'Neill	Foley & Lardner LLP	500 Woodward Ave Ste 2700	Detroit	MI	48226
Inteva Products LLC	Katherine E Hall	Foley & Lardner LLP	111 N Orange Ave Ste 1800 PO Box 2193	Orlando	FL	32802-2193
Inteva Products LLC	Salvatore A Barbatano	Foley & Lardner LLP	90 Park Avenue	New York	NY	10016

EXHIBIT T

Company	Address1	City	State	Zip
Collins & Aikman Automotive Canada Co	26533 Evergreen	Southfield	MI	48076

EXHIBIT U

Company	Contact	Address1	City	State	Zip	Country	Email
Axis Consulting Group Inc	Allan A Rutman MBA CA	1 Toronto St Ste 910	Toronto	ON	M5C 2V6	Canada	aar@zeifmans.ca

EXHIBIT V

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Joe N Swan	Gilbert PLLC	A Spencer Gilbert III	4500 I 55 N Ste 246 PO Box 13187	Jackson	MS	39236
Joe N Swan	Mississippi Workers Compensation Individual Self Insurer Guaranty Assn	PO Box 13187		Jackson	MS	39236
Joe N Swan		341 E Northside Dr		Jackson	MS	39206

EXHIBIT W

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Emma C Kyles	Gilbert PLLC	A Spencer Gilbert III	4500 I 55 N Ste 246 PO Box 13187	Jackson	MS	39236
Emma C Kyles	Mississippi Workers Compensation Individual Self Insurer Guaranty Assn	PO Box 13187		Jackson	MS	39236
Emma Kyles		5500 W North Side Dr		Bolton	MS	39041
Emma Kyles		5560 W North Side Dr		Bolton	MS	39041

EXHIBIT X

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Kendrick D Holmes	Gilbert PLLC	A Spencer Gilbert III	4500 I 55 N Ste 246 PO Box 13187	Jackson	MS	39236
Kendrick D Holmes	Mississippi Workers Compensation Individual Self Insurer Guaranty Assn	PO Box 13187		Jackson	MS	39236
Kendrick D Holmes	Morgan & Morgan	Christopher Neyland Esq	188 East Capitol Street	Jackson	MS	39201
Kendrick Holmes		5106 Nantuckett Rd		Jackson	MS	39209
Kendrick Holmes		5106 Nantuckett Dr		Jackson	MS	39209

EXHIBIT Y

Company	Contact	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Cathy Anderson		146 Lake Castle Rd		Madison	MS	39110
Cathy L Anderson	Gilbert PLLC	A Spencer Gilbert III	4500 I 55 N Ste 246 PO Box 13187	Jackson	MS	39236
Cathy L Anderson	Mississippi Workers Compensation Individual Self Insurer Guaranty Assn	PO Box 13187		Jackson	MS	39236
Cathy L Anderson	Morgan & Morgan	Christopher Neyland Esq	188 East Capitol Street	Jackson	MS	39201

EXHIBIT Z

Company	Contact	Address1	City	State	Zip	Country
Axis Consulting Group Inc	Allan A Rutman MBA CA	1 Toronto St Ste 910	Toronto	ON	M5C 2V6	Canada